



1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6	IN THE CIRCUIT COURT OF THE STATE OF OREGON		
7	FOR THE COUNTY OF CLACKAMAS		
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	ERIC A. JOHNSON, Plaintiff, v. FOSTER FARMS, LLC, a California limited liability company, Defendant.	Case No. COMPLAINT (Disability Discrimination; Workers' Compensation Discrimination, OSHA Reporting Unsafe Work Conditions; FMLA Retaliation; Statutory Whistleblowing; BOLI Retaliation; Wrongful Discharge; Disability- Failure to Accommodate) CLAIMS NOT SUBJECT TO MANDATORY ARBITRATION Prayer Amount: \$500,000 Filing Fee State: ORS § 21.160(c)	
17	Plaintiff alleges:		
18	FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
19	(Disability Discrimination - ORS Chapter 659A.112, et seq.)		
20	1.		
21	Plaintiff is a resident and citizen of the State of Oregon.		
22		2.	
23	Defendant Foster Farms, LLC is a California limited liability company doing business		
24	in Oregon. At all material times Defendant acted through agents and employees who at all		
25	material times acted within the course and scope of their agency and employment for		
26	Defendant.		
Page	1 - COMPLAINT		



1		3.
2	Defen	dant employed Plaintiff from on or about July 23, 2018 until August 25, 2020
3	when Defend	ant terminated Plaintiff's employment.
4		4.
5	Prior	to his termination, on or about May 3, 2020, Plaintiff had a heart attack, that he
6	and his docto	rs attributed, in part, due to exposure to peroxide vapors at work initially on
7	about April 2	8, 2020. Plaintiff's heart attack caused him to be hospitalized for three days and
8	to be off worl	k. Plaintiff returned to work on or about July 10, 2020.
9		5.
10	Prior	to his termination, on multiple occasions Plaintiff informed Defendant that he
11	believed that	his heart attack was caused by the peroxide fumes he was exposed to at work.
12		6.
13	Plaintiff's heart attack was a disability that substantially limited him in one or more	
14	major life activities and/or in a major bodily function the pulmonary system.	
15		7.
16	Defendant discriminated against Plaintiff in one or more of the following ways on	
17	account of his	s disability, record of disability and/or perceived disability;
18	a.	it failed to engage in the interactive process with Plaintiff to attempt to
19		identify a reasonable accommodation;
20	b.	it failed to reasonably accommodate his disability; and/or
21	c.	it terminated Plaintiff in substantial part because of his disability, perceived
22		disability, and/or record of disability, and/or because he requested
23		accommodation.
24		8.
25	As a r	result of said acts, Plaintiff has suffered and will suffer economic damage in an
26	amount to be	proven at trial, which amount is alleged to be up to \$100,000.

Page 2 - COMPLAINT



1	9.		
2	As a further result of said acts Plaintiff has suffered and will suffer emotional distress		
3	in a sum to be proven at trial, which sum is alleged to be up to \$400,000.		
4	10.		
5	Defendant acted with malice and/or demonstrated a reckless and outrageous		
6	indifference to a highly unreasonable risk of harm and acted with a conscious indifference to		
7	the health, safety and welfare of Plaintiff, and Plaintiff reserves the right to alleged punitive		
8	damages pursuant to ORS 31.725 and 31.730.		
9	11.		
10	Pursuant to ORS 20.107 and ORS 659A.885, Plaintiff is also entitled to an award of		
11	reasonable attorneys' fees, expert witness fees, costs and disbursements.		
12	SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF		
13	(Workers' Compensation Discrimination - ORS 659A.040, et seq.)		
14	12.		
15	Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 11.		
16	13.		
17	Prior to Plaintiff's termination, and on or about May 3, 2020, Plaintiff suffered a hear		
18	attack and recognized or realized a prior on-the-job injury to his lungs and/or heart due to		
19	exposure to peroxide fumes, which he reported to Defendant, and requested the paperwork to		
20	file a workers' compensation claim. Defendant denied Plaintiff's request for the paperwork		
21	to file a workers' compensation claim.		
22	14.		
23	After being told of Plaintiff's request to make a workers' compensation claim on or		
24	about May 6, 2020, Defendant subjected Plaintiff to injured worker discrimination by		
25	creating a hostile work environment after he returned to work and/or by terminating him in		
26	substantial part because he was an injured worker and/or had invoked the procedures of the		

Page 3 - COMPLAINT



1	workers' compensation laws.
2	15.
3	The hostile work environment, to which Plaintiff was subjected included but was not
4	limited to: being over scrutinized and/or micro-managed; being subjected to disparate
5	discipline regarding start times, breaks and lunches; being talked down to and/or ostracized;
6	and/or being treated in a manner to compel him to quit.
7	THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF
8	(OSHA Discrimination – ORS 659.062)
9	16.
10	Plaintiff realleges paragraphs 1 through 11.
11	17.
12	Prior to Plaintiff's termination, Plaintiff voiced his concerns about what he believed
13	in good faith were unsafe working conditions, including, but not limited to, being exposed to
14	peroxide vapor or fumes, which caused breathing irritation and breathing difficulties and/or
15	was hurtful and/or harmful to his eyes.
16	18.
17	On or about July 28, 2020, while following his lead's instructions, Plaintiff took
18	pictures on his phone of the amount of peroxide he was exposed to at work. Plaintiff
19	provided the picture to his manager, while informing the manager of his health and/or safety
20	concerns.
21	19.
22	Plaintiff was terminated in substantial part for opposing what he believed in good
23	faith were unsafe working conditions.
24	///
25	///
26	///

Page 4 - COMPLAINT



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

