

Table of Contents

I.	Introduction	1
II.	Objections to Grounds for Eligibility for Covered Business Method Patent Review	1
II	Patent Owner’s Response to 35 U.S.C. § 101 Issues	12
IV.	The Claims of the ‘158 Patent and their Construction.....	17
A.	Web application.....	18
B.	Service network atop the World Wide Web	24
C.	Web user input device	27
D.	Utilizing a routed transactional data structure that is both complete and non-deferred.....	28
E.	The routing occurring in response to the subsequent signals.....	37
F.	Object Routing	38
G.	Distributed on-line service information bases.....	42
H.	Virtual Information Store	42
I.	Point Of Service Application	44
V.	Grounds Under Section 103	57
A.	Obviousness in General.....	57
B.	The Prior Art, Alone Or In Combination, Does Not Include Elements Of Claims 1-3 And 11, As Properly Construed.	58
C.	Hindsight Combination of Lawlor and Computerworld	63
VI.	Claims 1 – 6 and 11 Are Not Indefinite.	66
VII.	Conclusion	68
	CERTIFICATION OF SERVICE (37 CFR 42.6(e), 42.105(a)).....	70

TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

American Piledriving Equipment, Inc. v. Geoquip, Inc., 637 F.3d 1324, 1331 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....30

Bicon, Inc. v. Straumann Co., 441 F.3d 945, 950 (Fed. Cir. 2006)..... 16, 32, 44, 61

CLS Bank Intern. v. Alice Corp. Pty. Ltd., 685 F. 3d 1341 (Fed. Cir. 2012)17

Datamize, LLC v. Plumtree Software, Inc., 417 F.3d 1342, 1347 (Fed.Cir.2005)..69

Haemonetics Corp. v. Baxter Healthcare Corp., 607 F. 3d 776, 783 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....68

Halliburton Energy Services, Inc. v. MI LLC, 514 F. 3d 1244, 1250 (Fed. Cir. 2008).....69

Hearing Components, Inc. v. Shure Inc., 600 F. 3d 1357, 1366 (Fed. Cir. 2010) ..70

Perkin-Elmer Corp. v. Westinghouse Elec. Corp., 822 F.2d 1528, 1532–33 (Fed. Cir. 1987)..... 16, 17, 32, 61

Phillips v. AWH Corp., 415 F.3d 1303, 1314 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (*en banc*).....29

Power-One, Inc. v. Artesyn Technologies, Inc., 599 F. 3d 1343, 1350 (Fed. Cir. 2010).....68

Renishaw PLC v. Marposs Societa' per Azioni, 158 F.3d 1243, 1248 (Fed. Cir. 1998)..... 30, 40

Star Scientific, Inc. v. R.J. Reynolds Tobacco Co., 655 F.3d 1364, 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2011).....70

U.S. Surgical Corp., 103 F.3d at 1568..... 35, 36, 41

Unique Concepts, Inc. v. Brown, 939 F.2d 1558, 1562 (Fed. Cir. 1991 16, 32, 61

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 1019

35 U.S.C. § 1031
35 USC § 112 (b) 1, 70, 71
37 C. F. R. §§ 42.120 and 42.300(a).....1, 9
Regulations
77 Fed. Reg. 48734 at 4873910

...

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit No.	Description
Exhibit 1002	'158 Prosecution History, Amendment of December 27, 2010 at page 11 and the whole document (previously filed)
PI-NET 2008	Prosecution History for U.S. Patent No. 5,778,178 (previously filed)
PI-NET 2009	Excerpts From Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 8,037,158, Previously Submitted (C.A. No. 12-355-RGA) (previously filed)
PI-NET 2010	Bardash Decl. of April 1, 2013, Para. 46 and whole document, Previously Submitted (C.A. No. 12-355-RGA) (previously filed)
PI-NET 2012	http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/classification/uspc705/sched705.htm
PI-NET 2013	http://www.uspto.gov/web/patents/classification/uspc709/defs709.htm
PI-NET 2014	http://www.webopedia.com/TERM/V/virtual.html
PI-NET 2015	http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Data_structure
PI-NET 2016	http://www.webopedia.com/DidYouKnow/Internet/2002/Web_vs_Internet.asp
PI-NET 2017	Easttom Declaration of November 25, 2013, <i>Pi-Net International, Inc. v. JP Morgan Chase & Co</i> , No. 1:12-cv-00282 (D. Del) Previously Submitted
PI-NET 2018	http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Web_application
PI-NET 2019	“Web Applications - What is a Web Application” http://webtrends.about.com/od/webapplications/a/web_application.htm
PI-NET 2020	Prosecution History of Parent 8,108,492 Patent

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.