

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAP AMERICA, INC.
Petitioner

v.

PI-NET INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Patent Owner

Case CBM2014-00018
Patent 8,037,158

Mailed: October 29, 2013

Before PATRICK E. BAKER, *Trial Paralegal*

NOTICE OF FILING DATE ACCORDED TO PETITION
AND
TIME FOR FILING PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE

The petition for covered business method patent review in the above proceeding has been accorded the filing date of October 21, 2013.

Administrative Patent Judge Brian J. McNamara has been designated to manage the proceeding. 37 C.F.R. § 42.5.

A review of the petition identified the following defects:

- **Failure to incorporate the certificate of service within the petition document.**
- **Failure to include a statement that the construed claim terms are to be given their “ordinary and customary meaning.”**
- **Font size of table of contents smaller than 14-point. 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(a)(2)(ii)(A).**
- **Reproduced figures are too small, rendering the associated text illegible.**

Petitioner must correct the defects within **FIVE BUSINESS DAYS** from this notice. Failure to correct the defects may result in an order to show cause as to why the Board should institute the trial. No substantive changes (e.g., new grounds) may be made to the petition.

Patent Owner may file a preliminary response to the petition no later than three months from the date of this notice. The preliminary response is limited to setting forth the reasons why the requested review should not be instituted. Patent Owner may also file an election to waive the preliminary response to expedite the proceeding. For more information, please consult the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756 (Aug. 14, 2012), which is available on the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>.

Patent Owner is advised of the requirement to submit mandatory notice information under 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(a)(2) within 21 days of service of the petition.

Case CBM2014-00018
Patent 8,037,158

The parties are encouraged to use the heading on the first page of this Notice for all future filings in the proceeding.

The parties are advised that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), recognition of counsel *pro hac vice* requires a showing of good cause. The parties are authorized to file motions for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R.

§ 42.10(c). Such motions shall be filed in accordance with the “Order -- Authorizing Motion *for Pro Hac Vice* Admission” in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7, a copy of which is available on the Board Web site under “Representative Orders, Decisions, and Notices.”

The parties are reminded that unless otherwise permitted by 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(b)(2), all filings in this proceeding must be made electronically in the Patent Review Processing System (PRPS), accessible from the Board Web site at <http://www.uspto.gov/PTAB>.

If there are any questions pertaining to this notice, please contact Patrick E. Baker at 571-272-6192 or the Patent Trial and Appeal Board at 571-272-7822.

Case CBM2014-00018
Patent 8,037,158

PETITIONER:

Michael Q. Lee
mlee-PTAB@skgf.com

Lori A. Gordon
lgordon-PTAB@skgf.com

PATENT OWNER:

Pi-Net International, Inc.
Attn: Dr. Lakshmi Arunachalam
222 Stanford Avenue
Menlo Park, CA 94025

Lawrence B. Goodwin, Esq.
LAWRENCE B. GOODWIN, P.C.
525 East 86th Street, Suite 5H
New York, NY 10028