

Filed on behalf of SAP America, Inc.

By: Michael Q. Lee
Lori A. Gordon
Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox PLLC
1100 New York Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C.
Tel: (202) 371-2600
Fax: (202) 371-2540

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAP AMERICA, INC.
Petitioner,

v.

PI-NET INTERNATIONAL, INC.
Patent Owner.

Patent 8,037,158

**CORRECTED PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD
REVIEW OF CLAIMS 4-6, 9 AND 10 OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,037,158**

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. MANDATORY NOTICES (37 C.F.R. § 42.8(A)(1))	1
II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(A)).	4
A. THE PTAB PREVIOUSLY HELD THE ‘158 PATENT ELIGIBLE FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW	4
a) Claims 4-6, 9 and 10 are Directed to a Covered Business Method	4
b) Claims 4-6, 9 and 10 are Not Directed to a “Technological Invention.”	6
B. PETITIONER HAS STANDING TO PETITION FOR REVIEW, AND PETITIONER IS NOT ESTOPPED (37 C.F.R. § 42.302)	9
III. IDENTIFICATION OF CHALLENGE	10
A. STATUTORY GROUNDS FOR THE CHALLENGE	10
B. CITATION OF PRIOR ART	11
C. THE CLAIMS OF THE ‘158 PATENT AND THEIR CONSTRUCTION	13
IV. GROUNDS UNDER SECTION 101	16
A. GROUND 1: CLAIMS 9 AND 10 ARE INVALID FOR FAILING TO RECITE STATUTORY SUBJECT MATTER UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 101	16
a) Claim 1	16
b) Claims 9 and 10	17
V. GROUNDS UNDER SECTION 103	18
A. LEVEL OF ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART	18
B. GROUNDS BASED ON LAWLOR	18
a) Ground 2: Lawlor, Computerworld And CORBA1 Render Claims 4-6 Unpatentable	18
(1) Lawlor and Computerworld render claim 1 unpatentable	19
(a) Lawlor and Computerworld teach “a method for performing a real time Web transaction from a Web application over a digital network atop the Web”	19
(b) Lawlor and Computerworld teach “providing a Web page for display on a computer system coupled to an input device”	20
(c) Lawlor and Computerworld teach “providing a point-of-service application as a selection within the Web page, wherein the point-of-service application provides access to both a checking and savings account, the point-of-service application operating in a service network atop the World Wide Web”	21
(d) Lawlor teaches “accepting a first signal from the Web user input device to select the point-of-service application”	24

(e) Lawlor teaches “accepting subsequent signals from the Web user input device”	24
(f) Lawlor and Computerworld render obvious “transferring funds from the checking account to the savings account in real-time utilizing a routed transactional data structure that is both complete and non-deferred, in addition to being specific to the point-of-service application, the routing occurring in response to the subsequent signals”	25
(i) Lawlor teaches “transferring funds from the checking account to the savings account in real time”	25
(ii) Lawlor teaches “utilizing a routed transactional data structure that is both complete and non-deferred, in addition to being specific to the point-of-service application, the routing occurring in response to the subsequent signals”	26
(2) Lawlor, Computerworld, and CORBA1 render claim 4 unpatentable	28
(a) Object Routing	28
(b) Web Application	34
(3) Lawlor, Computerworld, and CORBA1 render claim 5 unpatentable	35
(4) Lawlor, Computerworld, and CORBA1 render claim 6 unpatentable	38
b) Ground 3: Lawlor, Computerworld, CORBA1 and SNMP render claims 5 and 6 unpatentable	40
(1) Claim 5	40
(2) Claim 6	42
c) Ground 4: Lawlor, Computerworld, and CORBA2 render claims 4-6 Unpatentable	43
(1) Claim 1	43
(2) Claim 4	43
(a) Object Routing	44
(b) Web Application	46
(3) Claim 5	48
(4) Claim 6	52
d) Ground 5: Lawlor, Computerworld, CORBA2 and SNMP render claims 5 and 6 unpatentable	53
e) Rationale for Combining Lawlor, Computerworld, Corba standard and SNMP	53
(1) Lawlor and Computerworld	53
(a) Nature of the Problem to be Solved	54
(b) Combination of Prior Art Elements	54
(2) Rationale for Modifying Lawlor and Computerworld with the CORBA standard	56

(3) Rationale for Modifying Lawlor, Computerworld, the Corba standard with SNMP	57
C. GROUNDS BASED ON STANFORD FEDERAL CREDIT UNION (SFCU)	59
a) Ground 6: SFCU, Electronic Banking and CORBA1 render claims 4-6 unpatentable	59
(1) SFCU and Electronic Banking render claim 1 unpatentable	59
(a) SFCU teaches “a method for performing a real time Web transaction from a Web application over a digital network atop the Web”	59
(b) SFCU teaches “providing a Web page for display on a computer system coupled to an input device”	60
(c) SFCU renders obvious “providing a point-of-service application as a selection within the Web page, wherein the point-of-service application provides access to both a checking and savings account, the point-of-service application operating in a service network atop the World Wide Web”	60
(d) SFCU renders obvious “accepting a first signal from the Web user input device to select the point-of-service application”	62
(e) SFCU renders obvious “accepting subsequent signals from the Web user input device”	63
(f) SFCU and Electronic Banking teaches “transferring funds from the checking account to the savings account in real-time utilizing a routed transactional data structure that is both complete and non-deferred, in addition to being specific to the point-of-service application, the routing occurring in response to the subsequent signals”	64
(i) SFCU teaches “transferring funds from the checking account to the savings account”	64
(ii) SFCU and Electronic Banking teach “utilizing a routed transactional data structure that is both complete and non-deferred, in addition to being specific to the point-of-service application, the routing occurring in response to the subsequent signals”	65
(2) SFCU, Electronic Banking, and CORBA1 render claim 4 unpatentable	67
(3) SFCU, Electronic Banking, and CORBA1 render claim 5 unpatentable	69
(4) SFCU, Electronic Banking, and CORBA1 render claim 6 unpatentable	69
b) Ground 7: SFCU, Electronic Banking, CORBA1 and SNMP render claims 5 and 6 unpatentable	70
(1) Claim 5	70

(2) Claim 6	71
c) Ground 8: SFCU, Electronic Banking, and CORBA2 render claims 4-6 unpatentable.....	72
(1) Claim 1	72
(2) Claim 4	73
(3) Claim 5	74
(4) Claim 6	74
d) Ground 9: SFCU, Electronic Banking, CORBA2 and SNMP render claims 5 and 6 unpatentable.....	75
e) Rationale for Combining SFCU, Electronic Banking, CORBA and SNMP.....	76
(1) SFCU and Electronic Banking	76
(a) Nature of the Problem to be Solved.....	76
(b) Combination of Prior Art Elements.....	77
(2) Rationale for Modifying SFCU and Electronic Banking with the CORBA standard.....	78
(3) Rationale for Modifying SFCU, Electronic Banking, the CORBA standard with SNMP	78
VI. CONCLUSION.....	80

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.