EXHIBIT E



Network Working Group

Request for Comments: 1213

Obsoletes: RFC 1158

Performance Systems International

Editors

March 1991

Management Information Base for Network Management of TCP/IP-based internets:

MIB-II

Status of this Memo

This memo defines the second version of the Management Information Base (MIB-II) for use with network management protocols in TCP/IP-based internets. This RFC specifies an IAB standards track protocol for the Internet community, and requests discussion and suggestions for improvements. Please refer to the current edition of the "IAB Official Protocol Standards" for the standardization state and status of this protocol. Distribution of this memo is unlimited.

Table of Contents

1. Abstract	2
2. Introduction	2
3. Changes from RFC 1156	3
3.1 Deprecated Objects	3
3.2 Display Strings	4
3.3 Physical Addresses	4
3.4 The System Group	5
3.5 The Interfaces Group	5
3.6 The Address Translation Group	6
3.7 The IP Group	6
3.8 The ICMP Group	7
3.9 The TCP Group	7
3.10 The UDP Group	7
3.11 The EGP Group	7
3.12 The Transmission Group	8
3.13 The SNMP Group	8
3.14 Changes from RFC 1158	9
4. Objects	10
4.1 Format of Definitions	10
5. Overview	10
6. Definitions	12
6.1 Textual Conventions	12
6.2 Groups in MIB-II	13
6.3 The System Group	13

SNMP Working Group

[Page 1]



6.4 The Interfaces Group	16
6.5 The Address Translation Group	23
6.6 The IP Group	26
6.7 The ICMP Group	41
6.8 The TCP Group	46
6.9 The UDP Group	52
6.10 The EGP Group	54
6.11 The Transmission Group	60
6.12 The SNMP Group	60
7. Acknowledgements	67
8. References	69
9. Security Considerations	70
10 Authors' Addresses	70

1. Abstract

This memo defines the second version of the Management Information Base (MIB-II) for use with network management protocols in TCP/IP-based internets. In particular, together with its companion memos which describe the structure of management information (RFC 1155) along with the network management protocol (RFC 1157) for TCP/IP-based internets, these documents provide a simple, workable architecture and system for managing TCP/IP-based internets and in particular the Internet community.

2. Introduction

As reported in RFC 1052, IAB Recommendations for the Development of Internet Network Management Standards [1], a two-prong strategy for network management of TCP/IP-based internets was undertaken. In the short-term, the Simple Network Management Protocol (SNMP) was to be used to manage nodes in the Internet community. In the long-term, the use of the OSI network management framework was to be examined. Two documents were produced to define the management information: RFC 1065, which defined the Structure of Management Information (SMI) [2], and RFC 1066, which defined the Management Information Base (MIB) [3]. Both of these documents were designed so as to be compatible with both the SNMP and the OSI network management framework.

This strategy was quite successful in the short-term: Internet-based network management technology was fielded, by both the research and commercial communities, within a few months. As a result of this, portions of the Internet community became network manageable in a timely fashion.

As reported in RFC 1109, Report of the Second Ad Hoc Network Management Review Group [4], the requirements of the SNMP and the OSI

SNMP Working Group

[Page 2]



network management frameworks were more different than anticipated. As such, the requirement for compatibility between the SMI/MIB and both frameworks was suspended. This action permitted the operational network management framework, the SNMP, to respond to new operational needs in the Internet community by producing this document.

As such, the current network management framework for TCP/IP- based internets consists of: Structure and Identification of Management Information for TCP/IP-based internets, RFC 1155 [12], which describes how managed objects contained in the MIB are defined; Management Information Base for Network Management of TCP/IP-based internets: MIB-II, this memo, which describes the managed objects contained in the MIB (and supercedes RFC 1156 [13]); and, the Simple Network Management Protocol, RFC 1098 [5], which defines the protocol used to manage these objects.

3. Changes from RFC 1156

Features of this MIB include:

- (1) incremental additions to reflect new operational requirements;
- (2) upwards compatibility with the SMI/MIB and the SNMP;
- (3) improved support for multi-protocol entities; and,
- (4) textual clean-up of the MIB to improve clarity and readability.

The objects defined in MIB-II have the OBJECT IDENTIFIER prefix:

```
mib-2 OBJECT IDENTIFIER ::= { mgmt 1 }
```

which is identical to the prefix used in MIB-I.

3.1. Deprecated Objects

In order to better prepare implementors for future changes in the MIB, a new term "deprecated" may be used when describing an object. A deprecated object in the MIB is one which must be supported, but one which will most likely be removed from the next version of the MIB (e.g., MIB-III).

MIB-II marks one object as being deprecated:

atTable

SNMP Working Group

[Page 3]

March 1991

RFC 1213 MIB-II



As a result of deprecating the atTable object, the entire Address Translation group is deprecated.

Note that no functionality is lost with the deprecation of these objects: new objects providing equivalent or superior functionality are defined in MIB-II.

3.2. Display Strings

In the past, there have been misinterpretations of the MIB as to when a string of octets should contain printable characters, meant to be displayed to a human. As a textual convention in the MIB, the datatype

DisplayString ::=
 OCTET STRING

is introduced. A DisplayString is restricted to the NVT ASCII character set, as defined in pages 10-11 of [6].

The following objects are now defined in terms of DisplayString:

sysDescr ifDescr

It should be noted that this change has no effect on either the syntax nor semantics of these objects. The use of the DisplayString notation is merely an artifact of the explanatory method used in MIB-II and future MIBs.

Further it should be noted that any object defined in terms of OCTET STRING may contain arbitrary binary data, in which each octet may take any value from 0 to 255 (decimal).

3.3. Physical Addresses

As a further, textual convention in the MIB, the datatype

PhysAddress ::= OCTET STRING

is introduced to represent media- or physical-level addresses.

The following objects are now defined in terms of PhysAddress:

ifPhysAddress
atPhysAddress
ipNetToMediaPhysAddress

SNMP Working Group

[Page 4]

RFC 1213 MIB-II March 1991



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

