Paper 20

Date: September 16, 2014

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

BANK OF AMERICA, N.A., PNC FINANCIAL SERVICES GROUP, INC., and PNC BANK, N.A., Petitioners,

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC, Patent Owner. <sup>1</sup>

Case CBM2014-00028 Case CBM2014-00030 Case CBM2014-00033

THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, Administrative Patent Judge.

ORDER
Decision on Motions
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The parties are not authorized to use this form of caption.



Case CBM2014-00028 Case CBM2014-00030 Case CBM2014-00033

Petitioner has filed motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Michael Summersgill and Nina S. Tallon in each of these proceedings. Patent Owner did not oppose. The motions are *granted*.

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), the Board may recognize counsel *pro hac vice* during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause. In authorizing motions for *pro hac vice*, the Board requires the moving party to provide a statement of facts showing there is good cause for the Board to recognize counsel *pro hac vice* and an affidavit or declaration of the individual seeking to appear in these proceedings.

In its motions, Petitioner states that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Summersgill and Ms. Tallon *pro hac vice* because they are experienced litigating attorneys familiar with subject matter of the proceedings and a members in good standing of the Massachusetts bar. Mr. Summersgill and Ms. Tallon have made a declarations attesting to, and explaining, these facts. The declarations comply with the requirements set forth in the Notice.

Upon consideration, Petitioner has demonstrated that Mr. Summersgill and Ms.Tallon have sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in these proceedings. Moreover, the Board recognizes that there is a need for Petitioner to have them be involved in these proceedings. Accordingly, Petitioner has established that there is good cause for admitting Mr. Summersgill and Ms. Tallon.

It is therefore

ORDERED that Petitioner's motions for admission of Michael



Case CBM2014-00028 Case CBM2014-00030 Case CBM2014-00033

Summersgill and Nina S. Tallon pro hac vice are granted;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner is to continue to have a registered practitioner represent it as lead counsel for these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Summersgill and Ms. Tallon are to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Part 42 of Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Summersgill and Ms. Tallon are subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq*.



Case CBM2014-00028 Case CBM2014-00030 Case CBM2014-00033

## PETITIONERS:

Donald R. Steinberg
Monica Grewal
WILMER CUTLER PICKERING
don.steinberg@wilmerhale.com
monica.grewal@wilmerhale.com

## PATENT OWNER:

Henry A. Petri, Jr.
James P. Murphy
NOVAK DRUCE CONNOLLY BOVE + QUIGG LLP
<a href="mailto:henry.petri@novakdruce.com">henry.petri@novakdruce.com</a>
james.murphy@novakdruce.com

Herbert D. Hart III
Jonathan R. Sick
Eligio C. Pimentel
MCANDREWS, HELD & MALLOY, LTD.
hhart@mcandrews-ip.com
jsick@mcandrews-ip.com
epimentel@mcandrews-ip.com

