trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822

DOCKET

CBM2015-00071, Paper No. 34

June 17, 2016

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

- - - - - - -

UNITED STATES PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

- - - - - - - -

GOOGLE, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

BETTER FOOD CHOICES, LLC,

Patent Owner.

- - - - - - -

Case CBM2015-00071

Patent 5,841,115

- - - - - - -

Oral Hearing held on: Thursday, May 19, 2016

Before: MICHAEL P. TIERNEY, GLENN J. PERRY, and MINN CHUNG, Administrative Patent Judges

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, May 19, 2016, at 1:03 p.m., Hearing Room B, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia. Reported by: Carla L. Andrews

APPEARANCES:

On behalf of the Petitioner:

RYAN J. McBRAYER, ESQ. JONATHAN PUTMAN, ESQ. Perkins Coie 1201 Third Avenue Suite 4900 Seattle, Washington 98101-3099 (206) 359-3073

On behalf of the Patent Owner:

ROBERT HUNTSMAN, ESQ. Huntsman Law Group, PLLC 10400 W. Overland Suite 174 Boise, Idaho 83709 (208) 860-4379 Case CBM2015-00071 Patent 5,841,115

1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S
2	(1:03 p.m.)
3	JUDGE TIERNEY: All right, everyone,
4	welcome to the hearing today for CBM 2015-00071.
5	Before I begin today, do we have any
6	procedural questions before I begin? I believe each side
7	had 45 minutes today, and they can reserve time for
8	rebuttal. I would like to know if there are any procedural
9	questions we need out of way before we start the hearing?
10	MR. McBRAYER: Your Honor, Ryan
11	McBrayer for Petitioner Google. One procedural question
12	that would help us to have resolved is yesterday Petitioner
13	Google filed a consent actually, two days ago filed a
14	consent motion to substitute in a set of new
15	demonstratives for trial. And we have those cued up for
16	presentation.
17	The difference between the previously filed
18	demonstratives and these are that these addressed a
19	Federal Circuit court case that was decided just two days
20	ago that impacts the outcome of this proceeding.
21	So we want to verify that our motion is
22	granted so that we can present the updated demonstratives.
23	The parties have stipulated that Google can, but we
24	wanted to confirm that with the Board.

Case CBM2015-00071 Patent 5,841,115

1	JUDGE TIERNEY: Judge Chung, do you have
2	any objection?
3	JUDGE CHUNG: No objection.
4	JUDGE TIERNEY: Judge Perry, do you have
5	any objection?
6	JUDGE PERRY: No.
7	JUDGE TIERNEY: Motion granted.
8	Are there any other procedural issues?
9	MR. McBRAYER: Not from petitioner, Your
10	Honor.
11	MR. HUNTSMAN: I just wanted to confirm
12	with the Board I have asked Mr. Shepley, who is the
13	inventor, to assist me at counsel table. And we discussed
14	this with someone on the phone earlier. But I just wanted
15	to make sure it is appropriate for him assisting me at the
16	table today.
17	JUDGE TIERNEY: I have no objection. Judge
18	Perry?
19	JUDGE PERRY: No problem.
20	JUDGE TIERNEY: Judge Chung?
21	JUDGE CHUNG: No objection.
22	JUDGE TIERNEY: No objections today.
23	I believe that one last time. Any further
24	procedural issues? All right.
25	We will begin with the petitioner.

Λ

Case CBM2015-00071 Patent 5,841,115

1	And you have 45 minutes and reserve time for
2	rebuttal. If you feel like you need additional time, let us
3	know. The same goes for the patent owner. I will not cut
4	you off mid-sentence. But just let us know if you need
5	additional time. We realize that we have quite a bit to
6	cover. But we will start with the 45-minute mark.
7	Would you like to reserve any time for
8	rebuttal?
9	MR. McBRAYER: Yes, Your Honor. We will
10	be reserving time for rebuttal. And we hope not to take
11	up our full 45 minutes at all today.
12	JUDGE TIERNEY: Okay.
13	MR. McBRAYER: With leave of the Board, I
14	will let my co-counsel warn me. We hope to end this
15	initial presentation after about 15 minutes, depending
16	upon the Board's questions.
17	JUDGE TIERNEY: Okay. Begin when you
18	are ready.
19	MR. McBRAYER: May it please the Board, I
20	am Ryan McBrayer representing Petitioner Google.
21	And with me here today are my co-counsel
22	John Putman also of Perkins Coie. And from Google,
23	Victor Shu, sitting in the back row to Your Honor's left.
24	The Board should decide in Google's favor
25	today and can decide in Google's favor based solely on

5

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.