IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Covered Business Method)
Review of:)
U.S. Patent No. 8,041,805)
Issued: Oct. 18, 2011)
Application No.: 11/458,625)
Filing Date: Jul. 19, 2006)

For: System and Method for Reporting to a Website Owner User Reactions to Particular Web Pages of a Website

FILED VIA PRPS

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,041,805

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1
II.	REQUIREMENTS FOR PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW
	 A. Grounds for Standing (37 C.F.R. § 42.304(a))
III.	IDENTIFICATION OF CLAIMS BEING CHALLENGED (§42.304(B))
IV.	OVERVIEW OF THE '805 PATENT
	 A. The Patent Describes a Website Response Measurement Tool4 B. The User Feedback Tool Is Used for Improving the Effectiveness of Website Marketing and Customer Communications and Services, And Can Be Applied to Commercial Transactions
V.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION
	 A. Applicable Law
VI.	PERSON HAVING ORDINARY SKILL IN THE ART
VII.	THE '805 PATENT IS A COVERED BUSINESS METHOD PATENT
	 A. The '805 Patent Is Directed to a Financial Product or Service20 1. The '805 Patent can be applied to commercial transactions, which is directly a financial activity

	2.	The '805 Patent is directed to a survey tool for improving the effectiveness of websites for marketing, customer interfaces,	
		usability, and customer communications	24
	3.	The '805 Patent is also directed to an evaluation tool used by	
		investors to measure the value of websites.	31
	4.	All Claims of the '805 Patent Are CBM-Eligible	34
	B.	The '805 Patent Is Not Directed to a Technological Invention	34
	1.	The patent includes only generic technology components that	
		were well-known in the art	35
	2.	The patent does not solve a technical problem with a technical	
		solution.	38
VIII.		CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE UNPATENTABLE UNDER 35 C. § 101	39
	A.	The Legal Standard Under 35 U.S.C. § 101	39
	B.	Claims 1 and 10 Are Unpatentable	
	C.	Claims 2 and 11 Are Unpatentable	
	D.	Claims 3 and 12 Are Unpatentable	
	E.	Claims 4 and 13 Are Unpatentable	57
	F.	Claims 5 and 14 Are Unpatentable	58
	G.	Claims 6 and 15 Are Unpatentable	58
	H.	Claims 7 and 16 Are Unpatentable	59
	I.	Claims 8 and 17 Are Unpatentable	60
	J.	Claim 9 Is Unpatentable	60
	K.	Claims 18–33 Are Unpatentable	
IX.	CON	CLUSION	61

EXHIBIT LIST

Exhibit	Description
1001	Declaration of John Chisholm in Support of Petition for Covered Method Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,041,805 ("Chisholm Decl.")
1002	U.S. Patent No. 8,041,805, entitled System and Method for Reporting to a Website Owner User Reactions to Particular Web Pages of a Website, and issued on Oct. 18, 2011 ("'805 Patent")
1003	File History for U.S. Patent No. 8,041,805 ("'805 File History")
1004	Curriculum Vitae of John Chisholm
1005	Excerpts of Microsoft Press Computer Dictionary (1997)
1006	Scott E. Sampson, "Employing Internet Technologies to Gather Customers' Quality Perceptions," presented and published at the November 1997 annual meeting of the Decision Sciences Institute ("Sampson 1997")
1007	Scott E. Sampson, "Gathering Customer Feedback via the Internet: Instruments and Prospects," Industrial Management & Data Systems (vol. 2, 1998) ("Sampson 1998")
1008	HTML 4.0 Specification (April 24, 1998)
1009	Hoagland website (July 10, 1998)
1010	Citibank.com website (January 4, 1997)
1011	Cisco.com website (December 20, 1996)
1012	iMall.com website (October 26, 1996)
1013	BYTE.com website (December 20, 1996)
1014	John Pletz, "Call it the Eureka Index" (June 25, 2012)
1015	Advertising Research Foundation Brochure (October 1998) ("ARF Brochure")

1016	"Building Client Loyalty Yields Strong ROI for Major Financial Services Provider" (April 2004)
1017	John Chisholm, "Applying Customer, Market, and Workforce Intelligence in Mergers & Acquisitions" (January 23, 2005)
1018	File History for U.S. Patent No. 6,421,724 ("724 File History")
1019	CustomerSat.com website, as of May 26, 1998 ("CustomerSat")
1020	Excerpts of PERL 5 by Example, by David Medinets, published 1996 ("Medinets")
1021	U.S. Patent No. 6,421,724, entitled Web Site Response Measurement Tool, and issued on Jul. 16, 2002 ("'724 Patent")
1022	Jeff Sengstack, "Fearless Feedback," NewMedia (May 5, 2000)
1023	"OpinionLab Expands Integration of Customer Feedback with Web Analytics to Drive Actionable Insights" (Mar. 30, 2010)

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.