

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

IBG LLC, INTERACTIVE BROKERS, LLC,
TRADESTATION GROUP, INC., and
TRADESTATION SECURITIES, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

TRADING TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC.,
Patent Owner.

CBM2016-00090
U.S. Patent No. 7,725,382 B2

Before SALLY C. MEDLEY, MEREDITH C. PETRAVICK, and
JEREMY M. PLENZLER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETRAVICK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Granting Motions to Seal and Motions to Preserve Record Pending Appeal
37 C.F.R. §§ 42.14, 42.54, 42.56

INTRODUCTION

Motions to Seal

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.14, Patent Owner and Petitioner filed motions to seal certain exhibits as indicated in the table below. Papers 22, 40, 57¹.

Paper No.	Paper and Exhibits Requested to be Sealed
22	Paper 20, 2143, 2144, 2154, 2169, 2172, 2224, 2225, 2232, 2247, 2270, 2286, 2294, 2295, 2403, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2529, and 2530
57	1064, 1067, and 1074

The motions are not opposed.

There is a strong public policy for making all information filed in a covered business method patent review open to the public. Under 35 U.S.C. § 326(a)(1), the default rule is that all papers filed in a covered business method patent review are open and available for access by the public; a party, however, may file a concurrent motion to seal (37 C.F.R. § 42.14). The standard for granting a motion to seal is “for good cause.” 37 C.F.R. § 42.54. The party moving to seal bears the burden of proof in showing entitlement to the requested relief, and must explain why the information sought to be sealed constitutes confidential information. 37 C.F.R. § 42.20(c).

¹ Petitioner filed a motion to seal Exhibits 1064, 1067, and 1074 as Paper 40. On December 6, 2017, via email, the Board informed would be denied and authorized the filing of revised joint motion to seal these exhibits. *See* Ex. 3002. The parties filed the revised joint motion as Paper 57.

The parties assert that there is good cause to seal the exhibits because they contain third-party sensitive business information and confidential admissions/statements that would not otherwise be published or made available to the public. Paper 22, 7–9; Paper 57, 2. We agree. The information the parties seeks to seal was not relied on in the Final Written Decision. As such protecting the confidential information from public disclosure only minimally impacts the public’s interest in maintaining a complete file history. Further, the third-parties object to the release of their confidential information into the public domain. *See e.g.*, Paper 22, 8.

A motion to seal is required to include a proposed protective order and a certification that the moving party has in good faith conferred or attempted to confer with the opposing party in an effort to come to an agreement as to the scope of the proposed protective order for this covered business method patent review. 37 C.F.R. § 42.54. Patent Owner indicates that the parties have conferred and agree to entry of the default protective located at Office Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48771 (Aug. 14, 2012) (Appendix B). Paper 22, 8.

Based on Patent Owner’s unopposed representations and the reasonably limited scope of the protection sought, we determined that good cause exists to grant the motions to seal. 37 C.F.R. § 42.54.

Motion to Preserve Record Pending Appeal

Patent Owner filed an unopposed motion requesting that the records in these proceedings be preserved pending outcome of appeal. Paper 58. A notice of appeal has not yet been filed in CBM2016-00090. Petitioners do not oppose the request. Paper 58, 1. Sealed information ordinarily becomes

publicly available after final judgment. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48756, 48761 (Aug. 14, 2012). A party may file a motion to expunge confidential information from the record, however, if wishing to preserve its confidentiality. 37 C.F.R. § 42.56.

Here, Patent Owner asks that the record be preserved as is, i.e., without removal or disclosure to the public of the information filed under seal, pending appeal. Paper 58, 1. Under the present circumstances, it is reasonable to maintain the records undisturbed pending outcome of any appeal that is taken. Patent Owner request that the information filed under seal in these proceedings be expunged from the record within ten days of the disposition of all appeals. *Id.* at 3–4. Patent Owner’s request is denied as premature. For each of these proceedings, at the conclusion of appeal, or if no appeal is taken within ten business days of the expiration of the period to file a notice of appeal, Patent Owner may contact the Board for authorization to file a motion to expunge confidential information. If Patent Owner does not contact the Board, then the information filed under seal may be made public in due course. *See* 77 Fed. Reg. at 48761.

It is:

ORDERED that Patent Owner’s motion to seal (Paper 22) and the join motion to seal (Paper 57) are *granted*;

FURTHER ORDERED that within ten business days of the conclusion of appeal, or if no appeal is taken within ten business days of the expiration of the period to file a notice of appeal, Patent Owner may contact the Board for authorization to file a motion to expunge confidential information; and

CBM2016-00090
Patent 7,725,382

FURTHER ORDERED that the record shall remain undisturbed as discussed herein until such time that a motion to expunge confidential information is filed or, if the Patent Owner fails to contact the Board as required herein, the information filed under seal shall be made public in due course.

PETITIONER:

Robert Sokohl
Rsokohl-ptab@skgf.com

Lori Gordon
Lgordon-ptab@skgf.com

Richard Bemben
Rbemben-ptab@skgf.com

Donald Banowit
Dbanowit-ptab@skgf.com

John Phillips
ptabinbound@fr.com

Adam Kessel
kessel@fr.com

PATENT OWNER:

Leif Sigmond
lsigmond@bakerlaw.com

Michael Gannon
mgannon@bakerlaw.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.