Paper No. \_\_\_ Filed: August 19, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE						
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD						
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD						
SONY MOBILE COMMUNICATIONS (USA) INC.						
Petitioner						
V.						
CONTENT AGGREGATION SOLUTIONS LLC						
Patent Owner						
Patent No. 8,756,155						

PETITION FOR COVERED BUSINESS METHOD REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NO. 8,756,155

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	INTRODUCTION1					
II.	MANDATORY NOTICES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8					
III.	PAYMENT OF FEES UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.15(b)					
IV.	GROUNDS FOR STANDING					
	A.	The '155 Patent Is a Covered Business Method Patent	3			
	B.	The '155 Patent Is Not Directed to a Technological Invention	9			
	C.	Petitioner Has Been Sued for Infringement	14			
V.	PETI	TIONER IS NOT ESTOPPED	14			
VI.	PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED					
	A.	Proposed Grounds and Prior Art	15			
	B.	The Proposed Grounds are Not Redundant	16			
VII.	THE '155 PATENT					
	A.	Overview of the '155 Patent	16			
	B.	The Prosecution History of the '155 Patent	17			
	C.	The Priority Date of the '155 Patent	17			
VIII.	CLAIM CONSTRUCTION					
	A.	"indicator"	19			
IX.	DETAILED EXPLANATION OF GROUNDS FOR INSTITUTION21					
	A. Ground 1: Claims 1, 15, and 16 Are Directed to Patent- Ineligible Subject Matter					
		1. Legal Standard	23			



### Petition for Covered Business Method Review Patent No. 8,756,155

		2.	Step One: Claims 1, 15, and 16 Are Directed to An Abstract Idea	27
		3.	Step Two: The Limitations of Claims 1, 15 and 16 Provide No Inventive Concept	37
	B.	Ground 2: <i>Choi</i> and <i>Brown I</i> Render Claims 1, 15, and 16 Obvious.		
		1.	Summary of <i>Choi</i>	50
		2.	Summary of Brown I	52
		3.	Claim 1	54
		4.	Claim 15	70
		5.	Claim 16	71
	C.	Ground 3: AvantGo Manual and Brown II Render Claims 1, 15, and 16 Obvious		
		1.	Summary of the AvantGo Manual	73
		2.	Summary of Brown II	76
		3.	Claim 1	77
		4.	Claim 15	90
		5.	Claim 16	93
X.	CON	CLUS	ION	94
CER	TIFICA	ATE O	F COMPLIANCE	
CER	TIFICA	ATE O	F SERVICE	



#### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

Page(s)

## Cases Affinity Labs of Texas, LLC v. Amazon. Com, Inc., 2015 WL 3757497 (W.D. Tex. June 12, 2015)......39, 40, 46 Alice Corp. v. CLS Bank Int'l, Apple Inc. v. Sightsound Techs., LLC, Bancorp Servs., L.L.C. v. Sun Life Assurance Co. of Canada, 687 F.3d 1266, (Fed. Cir. 2012) .......44 Bascom Global Internet Servs., Inc. v. AT&T Mobility LLC, 2016 WL 3514158 (Fed. Cir. June 27, 2016)......25, 46, 47, 48 buySAFE, Inc. v. Google, Inc., Cal. Inst. of Tech. v. Hughes Comms. Inc., 59 F. Supp. 3d 974 (C.D. Cal. 2014)......27 Certain Activity Tracking Devices, Sys., & Components Thereof, Inv. No. 337-TA-963, Order No. 54, 2016 WL 2770226 (Apr. 27, Content Extraction & Transmission LLC v. Wells Fargo Bank, N.A., 776 F.3d 1343 (Fed. Cir. 2014) ......24, 26, 31, 36 CRS Advanced Techs., Inc. v. Frontline Techs., Inc., CBM2012-00005, Paper No. 17 (Jan. 23, 2013)......4 Cyberfone Sys., LLC v. CNN Interactive Grp., Inc., 558 Fed. Appx. 988 (Fed. Cir. 2014)......23, 27, 31 DDR Holdings, LLC v. Hotels.com, L.P.,



Dealertrack, Inc. v. Huber, 674 F.3d 1315 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	25
Digitech Image Techs., LLC v. Elecs. for Imaging, Inc., 758 F.3d 1344 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	31
eBay, Inc. v. Advanced Auctions LLC, CBM2014-00047, Paper No. 15 (June 25, 2014)	5, 36
Elec. Power Grp., LLC v. Alstom S.A., No. 2015-1778, 2016 WL 4073318 (Fed. Cir. Aug. 1, 2016)	passim
Enfish, LLC v. Microsoft Corp., 822 F.3d 1327 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	24, 32, 34
Epicor Software Corp. v. Protegrity Corp., CBM2015-00006, Paper No. 54 (Apr. 18, 2016)	5
Google Inc. v. SimpleAir, Inc., CBM2014-00170, Paper No. 13 (Jan. 22, 2015)	5
Informatica Corp. v. Protegrity Corp., CBM2015-00010, Paper No. 13 (May 11, 2015)	7
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Capital One Bank (USA), 792 F.3d 1363 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	passim
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Erie Indem. Co., 134 F. Supp. 3d 877 (W.D. Pa. 2015)	31
Intellectual Ventures I LLC v. Symantec Corp., 100 F. Supp. 3d 371 (D. Del. Apr. 22, 2015)	
Internet Patents Corp. v. Active Network, Inc., 790 F.3d 1343, 1348 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	passim
J.P. Morgan Chase & Co. v. Intellectual Ventures II LLC, CBM2014-00157, Paper No. 8 (Jan. 14, 2015)	37, 40
Joao Bock Transaction Sys., LLC v. Jack Henry & Associates, Inc., 76 F. Supp. 3d 513 (D. Del. 2014), aff'd, 803 F.3d 667 (Fed. Cir.	
2015), cert. denied, 136 S. Ct. 1468 (2016)	26



# DOCKET

## Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

