

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

GLOBAL TEL\*LINK CORPORATION,  
Petitioner,

v.

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2014-01278 (Patent 7,860,222), IPR2014-01282 (Patent 7,860,222),  
IPR2016-01220 (Patent 9,007,420), IPR2016-01333 (Patent 9,094,500),  
IPR2016-01362 (Patent 9,083,850), CBM2017-00043 (Patent 7,529,357),  
CBM2017-00044 (Patent 8,340,260), IPR2017-01435 (Patent 7,529,357),  
IPR2017-01436 (Patent 8,340,260), IPR2017-01437 (Patent 7,916,845)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, BARBARA A. BENOIT, BEVERLY M.  
BUNTING, and GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, *Administrative Patent  
Judges.*

TURNER, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION

Granting Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings Pursuant to Settlement  
*35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a), 327(a) and 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72–42.74*

---

<sup>1</sup> We use this caption in this paper to indicate that this Order applies to, and is entered in, all of the indicated cases. The parties are not authorized to use this caption.

Cases IPR2014-01278, IPR2014-01282, IPR2016-01220, IPR2016-01333, IPR2016-01362, CBM2017-00043, CBM2017-00044, IPR2017-01435, IPR2017-01436, IPR2017-01437  
Patents 7,860,222; 9,007,420; 9,094,500; 9,083,850; 7,529,357; 8,340,260; 7,916,845

On December 6, 2017, and pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a), 327(a), the parties filed an omnibus Joint Motion to terminate in each of the above cited proceedings. *See* Appendix (“Joint Motion”). Along with the Joint Motion, the parties filed a Settlement Agreement (Ex. 1100),<sup>2</sup> and a Joint Request to Treat the Settlement Agreement as Business Confidential Information, in each proceeding. *See* Appendix (“Joint Request”). We authorized the above filings in an email sent to the parties on December 4, 2017.

The parties represent that they have settled their disputes and memorialized their settlement in the written Settlement Agreement submitted in each case. In the Joint Motion, the parties also represent that the Settlement Agreement resolves all disputes between the parties in the post grant proceedings and the related lawsuits. The Settlement Agreement appears to be a true copy of the agreement between the parties, specifies the instant proceedings, and identifies other matters that are to be settled between the parties. Ex. 1100. On this record, no motion by any third party for joinder with these reviews is pending.

The proceedings are at different stages, with some cases being remanded from the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit for further consideration, other cases having a trial instituted therein, other cases where institution was denied, but where rehearing of that denial has been requested, and still other cases where no decision on institution has yet been made.

---

<sup>2</sup> The Settlement Agreement was filed with the same exhibit number in each of the above-listed proceedings.

Cases IPR2014-01278, IPR2014-01282, IPR2016-01220, IPR2016-01333, IPR2016-01362, CBM2017-00043, CBM2017-00044, IPR2017-01435, IPR2017-01436, IPR2017-01437  
Patents 7,860,222; 9,007,420; 9,094,500; 9,083,850; 7,529,357; 8,340,260; 7,916,845

Although at different stages, upon consideration of the facts before us and the arguments presented in the Joint Motion, we determine that it is appropriate to terminate the proceedings with respect to both parties. *See* 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(a), 327(a); 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.72, 42.74. Therefore, the Joint Motion is granted. This paper does not constitute a final written decision pursuant to 35 U.S.C. §§ 318(a) or 328(a).

#### ORDER

For the foregoing reasons, it is:

ORDERED that the Omnibus Joint Motion to Terminate Proceedings in IPR2014-01278, IPR2014-01282, IPR2016-01220, IPR2016-01333, IPR2016-01362, CBM2017-00043, CBM2017-00044, IPR2017-01435, IPR2017-01436, and IPR2017-01437 is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the Settlement Agreements, Exhibit 1100 in each proceeding, be treated as business confidential information, be designated “Board Only,” and be kept separate from the file of the involved patent under the provisions of 35 U.S.C. §§ 317(b), 327(b) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.74(c).

Cases IPR2014-01278, IPR2014-01282, IPR2016-01220, IPR2016-01333, IPR2016-01362, CBM2017-00043, CBM2017-00044, IPR2017-01435, IPR2017-01436, IPR2017-01437  
Patents 7,860,222; 9,007,420; 9,094,500; 9,083,850; 7,529,357; 8,340,260; 7,916,845

#### APPENDIX

CASE	Joint Motion	Joint Request
IPR2014-01278	Paper 37	Paper 38
IPR2014-01282	Paper 35	Paper 36
IPR2016-01220	Paper 33	Paper 34
IPR2016-01333	Paper 26	Paper 27
IPR2016-01362	Paper 39	Paper 40
CBM2017-00043	Paper 9	Paper 10
CBM2017-00044	Paper 9	Paper 10
IPR2017-01435	Paper 9	Paper 10
IPR2017-01436	Paper 10	Paper 11
IPR2017-01437	Paper 9	Paper 10

Cases IPR2014-01278, IPR2014-01282, IPR2016-01220, IPR2016-01333,  
IPR2016-01362, CBM2017-00043, CBM2017-00044, IPR2017-01435,  
IPR2017-01436, IPR2017-01437  
Patents 7,860,222; 9,007,420; 9,094,500; 9,083,850; 7,529,357; 8,340,260;  
7,916,845

FOR PETITIONER:

Lori A. Gordon

Ross G. Hicks

Joseph E. Mutschelknaus

Steven M. Pappas

Steven W. Peters

Byron L. Pickard

Michael B. Ray

Ryan C. Richardson

Lauren C. Schleh

Michael D. Specht

Daniel Yonan

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC

[lgordon-PTAB@skgf.com](mailto:lgordon-PTAB@skgf.com)

[rhicks-PTAB@skgf.com](mailto:rhicks-PTAB@skgf.com)

[jmutsche-PTAB@skgf.com](mailto:jmutsche-PTAB@skgf.com)

[spappas@skgf.com](mailto:spappas@skgf.com)

[Speters-ptab@skgf.com](mailto:Speters-ptab@skgf.com)

[mray-PTAB@skgf.com](mailto:mray-PTAB@skgf.com)

[rrichardson-PTAB@skgf.com](mailto:rrichardson-PTAB@skgf.com)

[lschleh-PTAB@skgf.com](mailto:lschleh-PTAB@skgf.com)

[mspecht-PTAB@skgf.com](mailto:mspecht-PTAB@skgf.com)

[dyanan-PTAB@skgf.com](mailto:dyanan-PTAB@skgf.com)

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.