UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NICHIA CORPORATION Petitioner,

v.

EMCORE CORPORATION Patent Owner.

Case No. IPR2012-00005 (JYC) Patent 6,653,215

Held: November 6, 2013

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, STEPHEN C. SIU, and JONI Y. CHANG, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

MATTHEW SMITH, ESQUIRE

Turner Boyd, LLP

2570 West El Camino Real, Suite 380

Mountain View, California 94040

and



STEPHEN B. MAEBIUS, ESQUIRE
CHASE J. BRILL, ESQUIRE
Foley & Lardner LLP
Washington Harbour
3000 K Street, NW, Suite 600
Washington, DC 20007-5109
ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:
MICHAEL TOMASULO, ESQUIRE
DAVID K. LIN, ESQUIRE
Winston & Strawn LLP
333 South Grand Avenue
Los Angeles, California 90071
The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday,
November 6, 2013, commencing at 1:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and
Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.
PROCEEDINGS
JUDGE CHANG: Good afternoon. Welcome to the PTO. This is an
oral hearing for case IBR2012-00005. The Board instituted this Inter Partes
review for patent 6,653,215 on February 12th, 2013.
At this time, we would like counsel to introduce yourself,
beginning with petitioner.
MR. SMITH: Thank you, Your Honor, this is Matthew Smith
from Turner Boyd, LLP for the petitioner, Nichia Corporation. I have with



1	me Chase Brill at counsel table, and Mr. Maebius, from Foley & Lardner
2	and also a representative from Nichia, Mr. Miki.
3	JUDGE CHANG: Thank you.
4	MR. TOMASULO: Good afternoon, Your Honor, my name is
5	Michael Tomasulo for patent owner. With me is David Lin who is an
6	associate of Winston & Strawn. I have Mr. Richard Merisier with Trial
7	Graphics who is going to help us with our onscreen visual presentation. And
8	I have a representative from Emcore, Mr. Daniel McGlynn, and a
9	representative from Emcore's licensee, Everlight, Ms. Cindy Chou. Both
10	Mr. McGlynn and Ms. Chow are attorneys.
11	JUDGE: Welcome. Thank you.
12	Each party has one hour to present their arguments. This is
13	consistent with our order granting the parties' request for an oral hearing.
14	Petitioner will proceed first, presenting its case as to the challenged-to
15	claims. Since in this case the patent owner filed a motion to amend, so the
16	petitioner may reserve rebuttal time for its case and time for responding to
17	the patent owner's case with regard to the motion to amend claims.
18	Thereafter, patent owner will respond to the petitioner's case,
19	and also present its case with regard to the motion to amend. Patent owner
20	may reserve rebuttal time for its case as to the motion to amend claims. And
21	after that, the petitioner will use up the rest of the time to respond to the
22	patent owner's presentation on all matters.
23	And I just want to make sure that Judge Turner, are you okay
24	over there? Judge Turner?
25	JUDGE TURNER: I can hear you, yes, thank you, I'm here.



1	JUDGE CHANG: Great. So, we can start.
2	MR. TOMASULO: May I ask a procedural clarification before
3	we start?
4	JUDGE CHANG: Sure.
5	MR. TOMASULO: With respect to the order that one of the
6	recent orders instituted regarding the scope of oral argument, it was made
7	pretty clear that the parties are restricted to arguments that they made. And,
8	so, if Mr. Smith, for instance, has an objection that I've gone beyond proper
9	argument, would it be customary for him to wait until his rebuttal time to
10	reserve that? I would appreciate that I wouldn't interject my objections
11	during his presentation and reserve my objections until once he's concluded,
12	and similarly he would do the same for me, but I want to make sure that to
13	the extent either one of us do have objections, we understand the proper
14	procedure for preserving them for the record.
15	JUDGE CHANG: Okay, that's a good question. Usually, so
16	far, we have several AIA hearings, it's very similar to our other oral
17	hearings, most of the time we do have a lot of questions, so in answering the
18	questions, you might go outside the scope of the papers or argument that you
19	filed, but we do ask that the counsel stay with the argument that was
20	presented in your papers. But I realize sometimes it might go outside of it,
21	and we try to give some leeway, but if your whole presentation is outside the
22	scope of your paper, I mean, I think that to reserve the time and also
23	efficiency of this proceeding, we would like to probably, you know, stop
24	counsel from that line of argument.



1	So, I'm just going to try to be reasonable. I can't say at this
2	point that, you know, I hope that not everybody's going to jump up and say
3	objection to every single sentence, but we're going to give you leeway to go
4	outside the scope of your paper, but like I said, if your main argument for ten
5	to 15 minutes to be all outside the scope of your brief, then we will probably
6	stop you. Is that fair?
7	MR. TOMASULO: It's certainly fair, but I'm still not entirely
8	clear. I would prefer to not interrupt his presentation with an objection.
9	JUDGE CHANG: Okay.
10	MR. TOMASULO: May I just reserve my objections and make
11	them at the time of my presentation?
12	JUDGE CHANG: You can.
13	MR. TOMASULO: I would just as soon not have Mr. Smith to
14	interrupt me as well.
15	MR. SMITH: I'm happy to agree to that, Your Honor.
16	JUDGE CHANG: How's this? We're going to conduct it in a
17	nice manner. So, I will ask Mr. Smith not to interrupt you, but I do want to
18	make sure you understand that we may be able to interrupt your presentation
19	with questions as well as may ask you where is that argument in your brief,
20	so that way we can understand whether the argument was originally
21	presented in your paper.
22	MR. TOMASULO: Understood, I'm certain that we would
23	both welcome your questions.
24	JUDGE CHANG: Okay, that sounds great.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

