Trials@uspto.gov Paper 41
Tel: 571-272-7822 Entered: August 26, 2014

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DYNAMIC DRINKWARE, INC. Petitioner,

v.

NATIONAL GRAPHICS, INC. Patent Owner.

Case IPR2013-00131 Patent 6,635,196

Held: July 24, 2014

Before: TRENTON WARD, THOMAS L. GIANNETTI, and MITCHELL G. WEATHERLY, Administrative Patent Judges.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

MATT McCLEAN, ESQUIRE PATRICK BERGIN, ESQUIRE Davis & Kuelthau 111 E. Kilbourn Avenue, Suite 1400 Milwaukee, Wisconsin



1	ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:
2	MICHAEL GRIGGS, ESQUIRE
3	SARAH WONG
4	Boyle Fredrickson
5	840 N. Plankinton Avenue
6	Milwaukee, Wisconsin
7	
8	
9	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday
10 11	July 24, 2014, commencing at 10:00 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and
12	Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.
13	
14	
15	PROCEEDINGS
16	
17	JUDGE GIANNETTI: Please be seated.
18	So, good morning, everyone.
19	ALL COUNSEL: Good morning.
20	JUDGE GIANNETTI: We are here for final hearing in
21	case IPR2013-01131, Dynamic Drinkware, LLC, vs. National
22	Graphics, Inc. I'm Judge Giannetti. To my right is Judge Ward; to
23	my left is Judge Weatherly. We're the panel for this hearing.
24	May I have appearances of counsel, please? Who is
25	appearing for the Petitioner?
26	MR. MCCLEAN: Yes, thank you. My name is Matt
27	McClean. I'm appearing on behalf of the Petitioner, Dynamic
28	Drinkware With me is co-counsel Patrick Rergin



1	JUDGE GIANNETTI: And who's appearing for the
2	Patent Owner?
3	MR. GRIGGS: Yes, thank you. Good morning. My
4	name is Michael Griggs. I'm from Boyle Fredrickson, representing
5	the Patent Owner, National Graphics, Inc., and with me is my
6	colleague Sarah Wong.
7	JUDGE GIANNETTI: Okay. So, Counsel, we set one
8	hour per side for this hearing. Each side will have an opportunity to
9	reserve time for rebuttal. The rebuttal of the Patent Owner is limited
10	to addressing the motion to amend, which should be if you are
11	going to address the motion to amend, Petitioner should include it in
12	your main argument.
13	Okay, I think we're ready to proceed. Any questions
14	from counsel?
15	MR. MCCLEAN: One question, a quick clarification.
16	You would like us to address the motion to amend
17	JUDGE GIANNETTI: No. The motion to amend should
18	be I had that wrong. Motion to amend should be addressed by
19	Patent Owner; then you will have an opportunity to respond in your
20	in your reply.
21	MR. MCCLEAN: In our second session.
22	JUDGE GIANNETTI: And then they will have a chance
23	to rebut that in their rebuttal.
24	MR MCCLEAN: Okay thank you



1	JUDGE GIANNETTI: The Patent Owner's rebuttal is
2	limited to the motion to amend.
3	Okay. Who will be presenting the argument for the
4	Petitioner?
5	MR. MCCLEAN: Initially, I will, Judge.
6	JUDGE GIANNETTI: Mr. McClean?
7	MR. MCCLEAN: Yes, sir.
8	JUDGE GIANNETTI: You can proceed when you are
9	ready.
10	MR. MCCLEAN: Thank you.
11	JUDGE GIANNETTI: Just wait a second here. We
12	will
13	MR. MCCLEAN: Do the judges have a preference if I'm
14	at the podium or at the table?
15	JUDGE GIANNETTI: I think that's up to you. I think
16	we have a preference for the podium because there's a microphone
17	there, so if that's not too inconvenient.
18	MR. MCCLEAN: Not at all.
19	JUDGE GIANNETTI: Let me just give me a second
20	here to start the timer.
21	Okay, you can proceed now.
2.2.	MR MCCLEAN: Thank you



1	I'd like to reserve the last 30 minutes to address the
2	motion to amend and any reply arguments that may come up with
3	respect to the petition, if that's all right.
4	JUDGE GIANNETTI: That's fine. And we will try to
5	give you a warning a few minutes before your when you're into
6	your rebuttal time.
7	MR. MCCLEAN: Thank you.
8	Well, to the Panel, good morning again. My name is
9	Matt McClean of the law firm of Davis & Kuelthau from Milwaukee,
10	Wisconsin. I am here on behalf of the Petitioner, Dynamic
11	Drinkware.
12	We have submitted now a series of briefs and exhibits
13	that I trust you have had an opportunity to get through and review. I'd
14	like to use my time initially this morning to really summarize and hit
15	on a couple of key points.
16	The '196 patent, which is the Goggins patent that we put
17	for review, describes a process for incorporating a lenticular image
18	into a molded plastic article. As I think the Petitioner the Patent
19	Owner contends, admits, says in their patent, it does not involve the
20	invention of the creation of lenticular images; it does not involve
21	the invention of molded plastic, generally, or the introduction of
22	molded plastic to create in-molded image-like cups, like other
23	commercial goods. All of that stuff is long-developed prior art.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

