

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

-----X

VEEAM SOFTWARE CORPORATION,

Petitioner,

v.

SYMANTEC CORPORATION,

Patent Owner.

-----X

Case No. IPR2013-00150 (Patent 7,093,086)

DEPOSITION OF

Matthew D. Green, Ph.D.

March 28, 2014

New York, New York

Lead: Ms. Gordon

Firm: Sterne Kessler

DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP

1726 M Street NW, Suite 1010

Washington, DC 20036

(202) 232-0646

VEEAM 1032

Veeam v. Symantec

IPR 2013-00150

1 APPEARANCES
2
3 On behalf of Petitioner:
4
5 LORI A. GORDON, ESQ.
6 DANIEL BLOCK, ESQ.
7 BYRON L. PICKARD, ESQ.
8 Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox
9 1100 New York Avenue
10 Washington, DC 20005
11 202-371-2600
12 lgordon@skgf.com
13 dblock@skgf.com
14 bpickard@skgf.com
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

Page 2

1 On Behalf of Patent Owner:
2
3 JOSEPH J. RICHETTI, ESQ.
4 HASSAN ALBAKRI, ESQ.
5 Bryan Cave LLP
6 1290 Avenue of the Americas
7 New York, New York 10104-3300
8 212-541-2000
9 joe.richetti@bryancave.com
10 hassan.albakri@bryancave.com
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18 DIGITAL EVIDENCE GROUP
19 Mark Iuzzolino, Court Reporter
20
21
22

Page 3

1 TABLE OF CONTENTS
2
3
4 WITNESS EXAMINED BY PAGE
5 MATTHEW D. GREEN
6 Ms. Gordon 6
7
8
9 E X H I B I T S
10
11 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE
12
13 Green-1 Declaration of Matthew D. 8
14 Green
15 Green-2 Reply to Petitioner's 17
16 Opposition to Patent
17 Owner's Motion to Amend
18 Green-3 Document entitled Patent 33
19 Owner's Motion to Amend
20
21
22

Page 4

1 NUMBER DESCRIPTION PAGE
2
3 Green-4 Declaration in Support of 35
4 Patent Owner's Motion to
5 Amend
6 Green-5 US Patent 7,093,086 37
7 Green-6 US Patent 6,795,966 68
8 Green-7 Deposition of Dr. Shenoy 71
9 Green-8 VMware ESX Server Guide 74
10 Version 1.2
11 Green-9 US Patent No. 7,213,246 96
12 Green-10 Patent assignment record from 96
13 the USPTO
14 Green-11 Document entitled Response to 99
15 Office Action
16 Green-12 Petitioner's Opposition to 140
17 Patent Owner's First Motion
18 to Amend
19 Green-13 US Patent 6,931,558 155
20
21
22

Page 5

1 MATTHEW D. GREEN
 2 having been first duly sworn, was examined and
 3 testified as follows:
 4
 5 EXAMINATION
 6 BY MS. GORDON:
 7 Q. Good morning, Dr. Green.
 8 Would you state your full name for the
 9 record, please?
 10 A. Matthew Daniel Green.
 11 Q. And like before, I just want to go through
 12 a few ground rules before we start this deposition,
 13 along the lines of what we talked about last time we
 14 were here in New York.
 15 Do you understand that you're going to
 16 be testifying under oath today?
 17 A. I do.
 18 Q. And as part of that oath, you understand
 19 that you're here to testify fully and accurately and
 20 to the best of your knowledge?
 21 A. Yes.
 22 Q. Okay. And at times during this deposition

Page 6

1 there may be questions that you don't understand. If
 2 that's the case, please, let me know, and I'll try
 3 and rephrase the questions to ask you in a different
 4 manner.
 5 This deposition is going to be recorded
 6 by the court reporter at the end of the table. Do
 7 you understand that you need to speak up and answer
 8 verbally to my questions so the court reporter can
 9 hear you?
 10 A. I will absolutely do my best. I speak
 11 softly.
 12 Q. We had an issue last time where the court
 13 reporter couldn't hear either one of us. So we had a
 14 habit, I think, last time of taking a break about
 15 every hour. If you need a break before that, just
 16 let me know. We'll finish the question that's
 17 pending, and we'll take a break.
 18 A. Okay.
 19 Q. Does that sound fair?
 20 Is there any reason that you cannot
 21 give full or accurate testimony today?
 22 A. No.

Page 7

1 Q. Great.
 2 MS. GORDON: So could you, please, mark
 3 this as Exhibit 1 for this deposition?
 4 ---
 5 (Green Exhibit 1 is marked.)
 6 ---
 7 BY MS. GORDON:
 8 Q. Dr. Green, you've been handed what's been
 9 marked as Exhibit 1 for this deposition. It's the
 10 Declaration of Matthew D. Green in support of patent
 11 owner's reply to petitioner's opposition.
 12 Do you see that?
 13 A. Well, I see that on the front. It seems
 14 like this may be a bad copy. At the very end it cuts
 15 off parts of the image on page 41 and ends with
 16 "error undefined, offending command." I think it
 17 might be just a bad printout.
 18 MS. GORDON: Do you mind if we go off the
 19 record?
 20 (There is an off-the-record discussion.)
 21 BY MS. GORDON:
 22 Q. Dr. Green, you've been handed what's been

Page 8

1 marked as Exhibit 1 for this deposition. It's a
 2 document that's titled, "Declaration of Matthew D.
 3 Green in Support of Patent Owner's Reply to
 4 Petitioner's Opposition."
 5 Do you see that?
 6 A. I do.
 7 Q. So for purposes of this deposition, can we
 8 refer to this as the "second motion to amend
 9 declaration," because I think we're going to get into
 10 some terminology issues late between your first and
 11 your second. So we'll refer to this as your second
 12 motion to amend declaration.
 13 A. That's fine.
 14 Q. Before -- you understand that you're here
 15 to testify today related to the testimony you
 16 provided in this reply document. Is that correct?
 17 A. Yes.
 18 Q. Okay. So how did you prepare for this
 19 deposition today?
 20 A. Well, of course, I reread this document. I
 21 reread previous declarations, motions, reviewed a lot
 22 of material that was listed in this document, really

Page 9

1 read a lot of material.
 2 Q. Did you talk to anyone other than the
 3 attorneys from Bryan Cave in preparation for this
 4 deposition?
 5 A. No.
 6 Q. Okay. Returning to your second motion to
 7 amend declaration, how many hours did you spend
 8 preparing this declaration?
 9 A. A lot. I don't know, maybe 50 to 100. I
 10 worked into part of the vacation on it.
 11 Q. When did you start preparing this
 12 declaration?
 13 A. I don't remember the date. It was several
 14 weeks ago.
 15 Q. And when you said 50 to 100 hours, what did
 16 that involve?
 17 A. That involved reviewing literature, reading
 18 previous motions and declarations that I had received
 19 from Veeam. Involved drafting, editing.
 20 Q. Did you draft the entire declaration
 21 yourself?
 22 A. So I drafted the declaration, and then some

Page 10

1 edits were suggested, proposed by the attorneys. I
 2 reviewed them, and then I essentially produced a
 3 final declaration myself.
 4 Q. When you said you drafted the declaration,
 5 did you draft Exhibit B to this declaration?
 6 A. No, I did not draft this chart.
 7 Q. Who drafted Exhibit B?
 8 A. So Exhibit B was drafted by the attorneys
 9 for the patent owner. I reviewed it. I made some
 10 suggestions, and then I included it in my report
 11 having reviewed it.
 12 Q. When were you first provided with
 13 Exhibit B?
 14 A. Some weeks ago, I don't know when.
 15 Q. But it wasn't before your first
 16 declaration -- your first -- were you provided B
 17 prior to your first motion to amend declaration?
 18 A. No, I was not. It was my opinion that
 19 there was -- really, there was support in my first
 20 declaration and it wasn't necessary in that
 21 declaration.
 22 Q. So you felt that your first declaration

Page 11

1 provided adequate support for your opinion?
 2 A. Yes.
 3 Q. Did you provide any edits to Appendix B?
 4 A. I think I might have made a suggestion or
 5 two. I don't think I provided written evidence.
 6 Q. Do you remember what those suggestions
 7 were?
 8 A. No.
 9 Q. Do you remember what claims those related
 10 to?
 11 A. No, not really.
 12 Q. And I think you testified those were --
 13 were those minor edits?
 14 A. Yes.
 15 Q. Now, Exhibit C that's attached here, did
 16 you draft Exhibit C?
 17 A. Appendix C?
 18 Q. I'm sorry, appendix C.
 19 A. So I, in discussions with the lawyers, gave
 20 a list of materials, and then they produced this list
 21 based on that.
 22 Q. Did the lawyers provide any additional

Page 12

1 materials on the list that you had not provided to
 2 them?
 3 A. I don't think so.
 4 Q. So everything on this list were documents
 5 that you had provided to the attorneys to include?
 6 A. Just to be clear, they were documents that
 7 I had reviewed, that some of the documents were given
 8 to me by the attorneys, so they knew about them.
 9 Q. But you instructed the attorneys what to
 10 include on Appendix C?
 11 A. No, I simply discussed with them what
 12 materials I had reviewed, and they knew that, and
 13 then they produced Appendix C, which was consistent
 14 with the materials I reviewed.
 15 Q. Did you review Appendix C after you
 16 received it from the lawyers?
 17 A. I believe so, yes, as part of my report.
 18 Q. And each and every document listed in
 19 Appendix C you have reviewed?
 20 A. I believe so. I've looked at these
 21 documents. It's possible one might have slipped
 22 through but I believe I reviewed them all.

Page 13

1 Q. And did you review them all in preparation
 2 of your second motion to amend?
 3 A. There are a lot of documents in here. I
 4 reviewed documents throughout this whole process.
 5 Q. So you don't recall whether these were
 6 reviewed specifically in preparation of your second
 7 motion to amend?
 8 A. It's a mixture, I think.
 9 Q. Now, turning back to the declaration, did
 10 you draft each and every paragraph in this
 11 declaration?
 12 A. I drafted and I edited every single
 13 paragraph and I submitted it as my report.
 14 Q. So you typed -- physically typed in every
 15 paragraph in this declaration?
 16 A. Do you mean were there some suggestions in
 17 here that there proposed by other people?
 18 Q. No, I meant the actual putting the words
 19 into the document, did you actually type each and
 20 every one of these words into the document yourself?
 21 A. So I drafted them, and in some cases there
 22 were suggestions made and I incorporated those

Page 14

1 suggestions.
 2 Q. So every paragraph originated with you on
 3 your word processor?
 4 A. No.
 5 MR. RICHETTI: Objection.
 6 A. I'm a little confused about this question.
 7 As I said, I worked with the attorneys --
 8 Q. Right.
 9 A. -- and I drafted a response, and then they
 10 offered some suggestions, and that included some
 11 material that they felt was relevant and so --
 12 Q. And did the attorneys provide any
 13 paragraphs to insert into the document?
 14 A. They provided some text that they felt was
 15 relevant.
 16 Q. Okay. Do you recall which text was
 17 provided to you from the attorneys?
 18 A. No, not specifically. I mean, there were a
 19 lot of corrections and changes throughout.
 20 Q. Did you -- as part of the drafting process,
 21 did you prepare an initial draft?
 22 A. So I think that I wrote some material and

Page 15

1 then there was an exchange where we physically looked
 2 at it together.
 3 Q. Do you recall how long your initial draft
 4 was?
 5 A. I don't recall.
 6 Q. Okay. And --
 7 A. To be clear, there were periods where I had
 8 a page, then there were periods where I had two
 9 pages, and so on. It wasn't as though there was a
 10 draft and then suddenly there was a final draft.
 11 Q. But during the process you maintained the
 12 control of the document locally on your system?
 13 A. I did. I did.
 14 Q. And do you recall roughly how many drafts
 15 that you exchanged of this declaration?
 16 A. I don't even know that that is meaningful.
 17 There were periods where we were
 18 verbally exchanging comments. So, I mean, I'm not
 19 sure that there is this concept of these isolated
 20 point-in-time drafts.
 21 Q. And outside of the attorneys for Bryan
 22 Cave, did you share your drafts in any form with

Page 16

1 anyone else?
 2 A. No, I don't think so.
 3 Q. Okay. And as the process -- during the
 4 process of preparing the second motion to amend
 5 declaration, did you speak to anyone outside of the
 6 attorneys from Bryan Cave?
 7 A. No.
 8 Q. Okay.
 9 ---
 10 (Green Exhibit 2 is marked.)
 11 ---
 12 BY MS. GORDON:
 13 Q. You're being handed what's been marked as
 14 Green Deposition Exhibit No. 2. It's titled the
 15 "Reply to Petitioner's Opposition to Patent Owner's
 16 Motion to Amend."
 17 Do you see that?
 18 A. I do.
 19 Q. Have you seen this document before?
 20 A. I just want to make sure I understand this
 21 is the right document.
 22 Q. Take your time.

Page 17

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.