IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent of:	James E. Jervis
U.S. Patent No.:	6,306,141
Issue Date:	October 23, 2001
Serial No.:	08/483,291
Filing Date:	June 7, 1995
Title:	MEDICAL DEVICES INCORPORATING SIM ALLOY
	ELEMENTS

Submitted via Electronic Filing Mail Stop PATENT BOARD Patent Trial and Appeal Board Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

PETITION FOR *INTER PARTES* REVIEW OF U.S. PATENT NUMBER 6,306,141 UNDER 35 U.S.C. §§ 311-319

Lombard Medical Technologies PLC ("Lombard" or "Petitioner") hereby requests *Inter Partes* Review ("IPR") of Claims 1-10 and 18-22 in U.S. Patent Number 6,306,141 ("141 patent") (**Exhibit 1001**). A detailed statement supporting the petition follows.

The requisite fee accompanies this request. If any additional fee is necessary the Director is authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 16–0605. This document, together with all exhibits referenced herein, has been served on the patent owner at the address of record for the 141 patent, as well as on the counsel of record for the 141 patent, as reflected in the accompanying Certificate of Service.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	REA	L PARTY IN INTEREST UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)1
II.	GRO	UNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)1
III.	REL	ATED MATTERS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)1
IV.	DESI and 4	GNATION OF COUNSEL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) 2.10(a)-(b)1
V.	SERV	VICE INFORMATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)1
VI.	STAT 37 C.	FEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED UNDER F.R. §§ 42.22(a)(1) and 42.104(b)(1)-(2)2
VII.	HOW CON	/ THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE TO BE STRUED UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)
VIII.	REAS § 42.2 REAS WILL	SONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. 22(a)(2) and 42.104(b)(4) SHOWING THAT THERE IS A SONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE PETITIONER L PREVAIL UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(a)
	A.	Subject Matter of the 141 Patent4
	B.	Prosecution History Of The 141 Patent: Issuance Based On
		a False Representation Of The Properties Of Nitinol6
	C.	The IPR Claims are Obvious in view of Balko, Kirk-
		Othmer, and Foster under § 103(a)12
	D.	The IPR Claims are Anticipated by Dotter under § 102(e)22
	Е.	Claims 6-10 are Obvious in view of Dotter under § 103(a)30
	F.	Claims 1-5 and 18-22 are Anticipated by Cragg under §
		102(a)
	G.	Claims 1-5 and 18-22 are Obvious In View of Miyauchi &
		Cragg under § 103(a)

H.	Claims 6-10 are Obvious in view of Dotter & Miyauchi		
	und	er § 103(a)	43
I.	The	IPR Claims are Invalid For Obvious-Type Double	
	Pate	enting	46
	i.	The IPR Claims Are Obvious Variants of Claims in	
		the '378 Patent	47
	ii.	The IPR Claims Are Not Entitled to Safe Harbor	53
COI	NCLU	SION	59

IX.

EXHIBIT LIST

1001	U.S. Patent No. 6,306,141 to Jervis
1002	U.S. Patent No. 4,512,338 to Balko et al.
1003	Schetky, <i>Shape Memory Alloys</i> , Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology, Vol. 20 726-736 (3d Ed. 1982)
1004	U.S. Patent No. 4,503,569 to Dotter
1005	A. Cragg et al., Nonsurgical Placement of Arterial Endoprostheses: A New Technique Using Nitinol Wire, Radiology, Vol. 147: 261-263 (April 1983)
1006	Japanese Patent Publication No. S58-46923 (filed Sept. 12, 1981; disclosed Mar. 18, 1983) to Miyauchi et al.
1007	Certified Translation of Japanese Patent Publication No. S58-46923 to Miyauchi et al.
1008	U.S. Patent No. 5,597,378 to Jervis
1009	Declaration of Scott M. Russell
1010	Curriculum Vitae of Scott M. Russell
1011	U.S. Patent No. 4,307,723 to Finney
1012	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 6,306,141
1013	Prosecution History of U.S. Patent No. 5,597,378
1014	U.S. Patent No. 4,485,805 to Foster
1015	Certified Transcript of Deposition of Dr. Lee Middleman, Dec. 10-11, 2008.
1016	Inherent Properties Video Presentation by Mr. Scott M. Russell

1017	Double Patenting Video Presentation (Part 1) by Mr. Scott M. Russell
1018	C. Dotter et al., <i>Transluminal Expandable Nitinol Coil Stent Grafting:</i> <i>Preliminary Report</i> , Radiology, Vol. 147: 259 (April 1983)
1019	Otsuka et al., <i>Pseudoelastiticy</i> , 4 Metals Forum No. 3, 142 (Aus. Inst. of Metals 1981)
1020	Delaey, et al., Thermoelasticity, pseudoelasticity and the memory effects associated with martensitic transformations. Part 1: Structural and microstructural changes associated with the transformations, 9 Journal of Materials Science 1521 (1974)
1021	Krishnan, et al., Thermoplasticity, pseudoelastiticy and the memory effects associated with martensitic transformations. Part 2: The macroscopic mechanical behavior, 9 Journal of Materials Science 1536 (1974)
1022	U.S. Patent No. 3,890,977 to Wilson
1023	European Patent Publication No. 0129634 to Drettner
1024	Canadian Patent No. 1001034 to McWhorter
1025	U.S. Patent No. 4,401,433 to Luther
1026	Double Patenting Video Presentation (Part 1) by Mr. Scott M. Russell
1027	Kauffman et al., <i>The Story of Nitinol: The Serendipitous Discovery of the Memory Metal and Its Applications</i> , Vol. 2, No. 2 The Chemical Educator 1, 4-6
1028	Ling et al., <i>Phase Transitions and Shape Memory in NiTi</i> , 11A Metallurgica Transactions A 77, 77-79 (1980)
1029	Schetky, <i>Shape-Memory Alloys</i> , 241:5 Scientific American 74-82 (November 1979)
1030	Patel et al., Criterion for the Action of Applied Stress in the Martensitic Transformation, 1 Acta Metalurgica 531-538 (1953)

- **1031** Otsuka et al., *Stress and Strain Induced Martensitic Transformations*, Proceedings of the Int'l Conference on Martensitic Transformations: ICOMAT 1979, Cambridge, MA Jun 1979, 607.
- **1032** Miyazaki, et al., Transformation Pseudoelasticity and Deformation Behavior in a Ti-50.6at%Ni Alloy, 15 Scripta Metallurgica 287, Fig. 1 (1981)

I. REAL PARTY IN INTEREST UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(1)

The real party in interest for Petitioner is LOMBARD MEDICAL TECHNOLOGIES PLC.

II. GROUNDS FOR STANDING UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(a)

Petitioner certifies that the 141 patent is available for IPR and that Petitioner is not barred or estopped from requesting an IPR challenging the patent claims on the grounds identified herein.

III. <u>RELATED MATTERS UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(2)</u>

Petitioner is not aware of any current judicial or administrative matters that would affect, or be affected by, a decision in this proceeding.

IV. <u>DESIGNATION OF COUNSEL UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(3) and</u> <u>42.10(a)-(b)</u>

Lead counsel for the Petitioner is Steven D. Hemminger of Alston & Bird LLP, USPTO Reg. No. 30,755. Backup counsel for the Petitioner is Christopher B. Kelly of Alston & Bird LLP, USPTO Reg. No. 62,573. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R § 42.10(b), a Power of Attorney accompanies this petition.

V. SERVICE INFORMATION UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.8(b)(4)

Petitioner's lead counsel may be reached by phone at 650-838-2029, by email at <u>steve.hemminger@alston.com</u>, and by facsimile at 650-838-2001. Petitioner may be served as follows:

Steven D. Hemminger ALSTON & BIRD LLP 275 Middlefield Road, Suite 150 Menlo Park, CA 94025-4008

VI. <u>STATEMENT OF PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED UNDER</u> <u>37 C.F.R. §§ 42.22(a)(1) and 42.104(b)(1)-(2)</u>

For the reasons presented herein, Petitioner seeks the following relief:

(Ground #1) Invalidation of Claims 1-10 and 18-22 of the 141 patent (the IPR Claims) under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious in view of U.S. Patent No. 4,512,338 to Balko et al. ("Balko," Exhibit 1002); Schetky, *Shape Memory Alloys*, 20 Kirk-Othmer Encyclopedia of Chemical Technology 726-736 (3d Ed. 1982) ("Kirk-Othmer," Exhibit 1003); and U.S. Patent No. 4,485,805 to Foster ("Foster," Exhibit 1014).

(Ground #2) Invalidation of The IPR Claims under 35 U.S.C. § 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 4,503,569 to Dotter ("Dotter," Exhibit 1004) or—alternatively—under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious in view of Dotter.

(Ground #3) Invalidation of Claims 1-5 and 18-22 of the 141 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 102(a) as being anticipated by Cragg *et al.*, *Nonsurgical Placement of Arterial Endoprostheses: A New Technique Using Nitinol Wire*, 147 Radiology No. 1, 261-263 (April 1983) ("Cragg," Exhibit 1005);

(Ground #4) Invalidation of Claims 1-5 and 18-22 of the 141 patent under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being obvious in view of Japanese Patent Publication No.

S58-46923 to Miyauchi et al. ("Miyauchi," original **Exhibit 1006**, and certified English translation **Exhibit 1007**) and Cragg.

(Ground #5) Invalidation of Claims 6-10 of the 141 patent under 35 U.S.C.

§ 103(a) as being obvious in view of Dotter and Miyauchi.

(Ground #6) Invalidation of The IPR Claims under the doctrine of obvious-

type double patenting over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 5,597,378 to Jervis, filed

October 2, 1992 and issued on January 28, 1997 ("the '378 Patent", Exhibit 1008).

VII. <u>HOW THE CHALLENGED CLAIMS ARE TO BE CONSTRUED</u> <u>UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(3)</u>

The IPR Claims should be accorded their "broadest reasonable construction" in light of the specification of the 141 patent. 37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b).

VIII. <u>REASONS FOR THE RELIEF REQUESTED UNDER 37 C.F.R. §</u> <u>42.22(a)(2) and 42.104(b)(4) SHOWING THAT THERE IS A</u> <u>REASONABLE LIKELIHOOD THAT THE PETITIONER WILL</u> <u>PREVAIL UNDER 35 U.S.C. § 314(a)</u>

The 141 patent claims priority to U.S. Appl. No. 06/541,852 ("852 Application"), filed in October of 1983. As a result of terminal disclaimers based on obviousness type double patenting rejections, the other 4 patents issuing from that application all expired on the same date as the first patent issuing from the 852 Application, May 4, 2004. As a result, the 141 patent claims represent the last gasp of its owner Medtronic, Inc. to exclude others in the medical device industry from using technology known in the art for more than 30 years.

In fact, as explained further below, the only reason the 141 patent claims issued is because the PTAB relied on a declaration of an "expert" in stress induced martensite, who has since admitted that he is not and never was an expert in that field. In addition, the IPR Claims are unpatentable over various prior art references that were not before the USPTO during prosecution.

A. Subject Matter of the 141 Patent

The IPR Claims are generally directed to a medical device that includes (i) a shape memory alloy (SMA) element, capable of displaying stress-induced martensite (SIM) at body temperature, and (ii) a placement device for delivery of the SMA element into a mammal. 141 patent, 2:59 to 3:4, 10:59 to 14:23. All SMA elements, such as Nitinol, include a "martensite" phase and an "austenite" phase. These phases refer to different crystalline structures of the SMA, each of which has different inherent properties. Just as water can transform between various phases (e.g., vapor, liquid, ice), all SMAs are capable of transforming between the austenite phase and the martensite phase; the former a comparatively rigid solid (useful for maintaining the patency of a blood vessel) and the later a more malleable solid (useful for delivery through a catheter).

The transformation between these phases can occur as a result of a change in temperature or stress. For example, just like when H_2O is in its liquid phase (water) and is sufficiently cooled, it transforms to its solid state (ice); if when an

SMA is in its austenite phase and it is sufficiently cooled, it transforms to its martensite phase. This transformation as a result of temperature is often referred to as "thermally induced martensite" or "TIM." Likewise, if an SMA can change state as a result of temperature, the application of sufficient stress to the SMA when in its austenite phase, will transform the SMA to its martensite phase. This transformation as a result of stress is often referred to as "stress induced martensite" or "SIM." 141 patent, 1:52-53. An important inherent property of every SMA that can transform to martensite thermally, is that it can transform to martensite through the application stress-i.e., if TIM then SIM. This inherent property was never disclosed in the application. More importantly for this petition, Medtronic, in the appeal during prosecution of the 141 patent application, Medtronic not only did not disclose this inherent property, but misled the board in to reaching the conclusion that not all SMAs that exhibit TIM exhibit SIM, and on that basis allowed the claims.

SMAs also have a "shape memory" property that enables them to memorize their austenitic shape. This is exhibited in two ways: thermal shape memory and mechanical shape memory (also referred to as "pseudoelasticity"). Thermal shape memory generally refers to when one cools austenite to form martensite, deforms the martensite, and then heats the alloy so that it reverts back to its undeformed austenitic state. Mechanical shape memory refers to the same process, but wherein martensite is formed by the application of stress, rather than by cooling, and the release of stress allows the austenite phase to be restored without any change in temperature. tin this is an inherent property of Nitinol, one of the SMAs used in most medical devices in the late 1970's and early 1980's.

To assist the Board in understanding these SMA properties, Petitioners have submitted the declaration of Mr. Scott M. Russell—an expert in shape memory alloys—providing a detailed explanation of the inherent properties of SMAs ("Expert Report" or "ER," **Exhibit 1009**), as well as a video presentation by Mr. Russell providing further explanation of these inherent properties ("Inherent Properties Video," **Exhibit 1016**). Mr. Russell focuses on the properties of "Nitinol," a shape memory alloy formed of nickel and titanium. Nitinol is the most widely used shape memory alloy in medical applications, is referenced throughout the 141 patent, and is the SMA disclosed in all of the prior art references discussed herein. 141 patent, 9:14 to 10:7. As discussed in detail below, an understanding of the inherent properties of Nitinol will be important in assessing validity of the IPR Claims.

B. Prosecution History Of The 141 Patent: Issuance Based On a False Representation Of The Properties Of Nitinol

The 141 patent characterizes the improvement of its claimed medical device as "the substitution of an alloy element <u>which displays stress-induced martensite at</u> body temperature." Id. at 3:1-4 (emphasis added). In particular, the 141 patent suggests that the known shape memory elements in medical devices only exhibited TIM, which rendered them more difficult to deliver into the body due to the requirement for temperature control. *Id.* at 1:26 to 2:54; 9:14 to 10:7. In particular the specification identifies the desirability of "a way to in which the advantageous property of shape memory alloys, i.e., their ability to return to an original shape after relatively substantial deformation, could be used in the medical devices without requiring the delicacy of alloying control and/or the temperature control of placement or removal needed by present shape memory alloy devices." Id. at 2:48-54. This passage incorrectly states that the Nitinol SMA devices in the prior art listed in the Background did not already possess those properties – they did. The statement that by "substituting" an alloy element exhibiting SIM for one that exhibits TIM, the shape memory alloy element is more easily deliverable and therefore a significant improvement over the prior art (Abstract & 2:59 to 3:4) is at best misleading.

During prosecution, the Examiner issued a Final Office Action rejecting the IPR Claims as being obvious in view of Balko, Kirk-Othmer, and Foster. Prosecution History of 141 patent ("141 History," **Exhibit 1012**), Final OA, Sept. 15, 1997, pp. 2-3. The Examiner found that Balko disclosed every feature of independent Claims 1, 6, and 18—a shape memory alloy in the form of a Nitinol

wire graft (22) and a hollow placement device in the form of a sheath (50)—but lacked specific disclosure that its Nitinol wire was pseudoelastic and capable of exhibiting stress-induced martensite.¹ The Examiner recognized that Kirk-Othmer discloses that Nitinol is inherently capable of exhibiting pseudoelastic behavior, that it was therefore obvious that Balko's Nitinol device has pseudoelastic properties, because it was well known in the art that pseudoelastic Nitinol could inherently exhibit a stress-induced martensite state at body temperature. *Id.* at 2.

Medtronic Appealed to the Board and submitted with its arguments a declaration by Dr. Middleman, whom Medtronic represented was "an expert in the field of stress-induced martensite (SIM) alloy elements." 141 History, Appeal Brief, Jun. 18, 1998, p. 18 and Middleman Dec. Dr. Middleman stated:

Although nitinol can exhibit properties of an SIM material, it can do so <u>only if it undergoes a treatment process to make it exhibit the</u> <u>properties of an SIM material</u>. This process requires an extensive, time consuming, and expensive procedure. Where is the suggestion in Balko or any of the other references to use nitinol exhibiting SIM behavior rather than less expensive conventional Nitinol? There is no such suggestion *Id.* at Middleman Dec, p. 4 (emphasis added).

¹ The Examiner also felt Balko lacked sufficient disclosure of a guide wire, and pointed to Foster for disclosure of this feature. *Id.* at 3.

In its Decision, the Board reversed the Examiner's rejections, relying principally on Dr. Middleman's declaration.

As shown by Kirk-Othmer and the Middleman declaration, <u>nitinol</u> <u>does not exhibit SIM properties unless it receives additional</u> <u>treatment</u>, of which there is no suggestion in Balko. We therefore conclude that the examiner has not made out a <u>prima facie</u> case that the SMAs disclosed by Balko would inherently display SIM properties. *Id.* at Decision on Appeal, Feb. 26, 2001, p. 6 (emphasis added).

While the Board understandably accepted Dr. Middleman's representations because in the ex parte appeal process Medtronic represented he was an expert in SIM with knowledge of Nitinol processing, the reality is that Dr. Middleman has since admitted that he is not an expert in SIM.

In 2007, Medtronic sued AGA Medical Corp. ("AGA") for infringement of, *inter alia*, the 141 patent. *Medtronic, Inc. et al. v. AGA Medical Corporation*, Case No. C07 00567 MMC (N.D.C.A.) (settled and dismissed in 2010). During the course of litigation, Dr. Middleman was deposed. Deposition of Dr. Middleman, Dec. 10-10, 2008 ("Middleman Deposition," **Exhibit 1015**). Contrary to Medtronic's representation to the Board that Dr. Middleman was an expert in the field of SIM, Dr. Middleman testified that, not only was he not an expert in SIM, but that he could not even recall the meaning of SIM.

Middleman Deposition, p. 100:

- 17 Q. Did you ever consider yourself to be an
- 18 expert in the use of stress-induced martensite 19 materials?
- 20 A. No.
- 21 Q. Did you ever consider yourself to be an
- 22 expert in the use of stress-induced martensite
- 23 materials in medical devices?
- A. I would not have characterized myself as an 25 expert, no.

Middleman Deposition, p. 29:

- 19 Q. When did you first learn about a
- 20 characteristic called SIM?
- 21 A. SIM? Remind me –
- 22 Q. You don't know what SIM is as you sit here
- 23 now?
- 24 A. I don't.

Middleman Deposition, p. 29:

- 3 Q. If you'll look at column 2 of the '989
- 4 patent, line 52. If you'll read those two
- 5 paragraphs beginning at line 52.
- 6 A. Okay.
- 7 Q. Do you recall whether there was any special
- a work that needed to be done to get the nitinol that
- 9 could exhibit the superelastic effects described in
- 10 the paragraph at column 2, lines 57 through 60?
- 11 A. No, I don't.

Middleman Deposition, p. 34:

- 9 Q. So your entire experience with shape-memory
- 10 alloy products was in the 1989 through 1991 time 11 frame?
- 12 A. I believe so. I mean, as I said to you, I
- 13 was exposed to nitinol before that, but it was just 14 one of many Raychem technologies.
- 15 Q. When you said you were exposed to nitinol
- 16 before that, that was just kind of playing around
- 17 with the wires --
- 18 A. Yes.

Middleman Deposition, p. 229:

- 2 Q. Well, if you believed it was true, correct?
- 3 A. Yes.
- 4 Q. And you have admitted you are not an expert 5 in the manufacturing of stress-induced martensite.
- 6 A. Correct.

Middleman Deposition, p. 100:

- 1 Q. Isn't it true that you believed you had
- 2 expertise in the use of nitinol in medical devices?
- 3 A. I was definitely not an expert in nitinol.
- 4 Q. You were not an expert in stress-induced 5 martensite?
- A. Absolutely not.

Middleman Deposition, p. 146-148:

- 25 Q. Sure. When you were working at Raychem,
- 1 did you ever do any investigation to determine how
- 2 costly, how expensive it is to create an alloy that
- 3 had a stress-induced martensite effect at about body
- 4 temperature in the 30 to 40 degrees C range? 5 A. No.
- Q. Did you ever gather up any knowledge as to
 7 how expensive it is to create shape-memory alloys
 8 that have a stress-induced martensite effect at all
- 9 regardless of the temperature range?
- 9 regardless of the temperature range
- A. I was aware that it was a complex process,
 11 that it was an expensive process. But that's all.
 - Q. Where did you get that information?
 - A. People like Jack Harrison and Tom described
- 14 in general terms. I've seen the equipment that they 15 were using to heat the metals. I saw the quantities 16 they produced.
- Q. Do you know whether it was more expensive
 to create a shape-memory alloy with stress-induced
 martensite as opposed to a shape-memory alloy?
 - A. I don't.

12

13

20

21

22

- Q. Did you ever know that?
- A. I don't think so.
- 23 Q. Ever did any studies with regard to that?
- 24 A. No.
- 25 Q. So all your knowledge about the cost and

1 complexity and everything, that's just hearsay that

- 2 you heard from people in the metals division at
- 3 Raychem?
- 4 MS. ESPINOSA: I'll object to the extent
- 5 you made a legal characterization.
- 6 THE WITNESS: Yeah, I think that's true.

Middleman Deposition, p. 29:

- 12 Q. Stress-induced martensite is sometimes
- 13 referred to as superelasticity, correct?
- 14 A. I don't know.

Id. at pp. 29, 34, 100, 146-148, 229.²

As explained by Mr. Russell, an actual expert in SMAs, *all Nitinol alloys that can exhibit thermally-induced martensite (TIM) can inherently also exhibit stress-induced martensite (SIM)*. ER, pp. 11-16. This follows fundamental thermodynamic principles and, in fact, *no special treatment is required for a Nitinol alloy that exhibits TIM to also exhibit SIM*. *Id*. As such, the Examiner was correct that Balko's Nitinol wire—which exhibits TIM—would inherently be capable of exhibiting SIM. *See* Balko, 3:30 to 4:47 (thermal transformation from martensite to austenite). The requirement for "special treatment" set forth by Dr. Middleman—and upon which the Board based its Decision on Appeal—is quite simply false. *Id*. at 11-16 and 27-31.

Given that the Board's reliance on the Middleman Declaration was misplaced, the IPR Claims should be invalidated as being obvious under § 103(a) in view of the references relied on by the Examiner—Balko, Kirk-Othmer, and Foster. In addition, other references disclose all of the features of Claims 1-10 and 18-22, some of which—Dotter and Miyauchi—were not considered during prosecution of the 141 patent.

² Statements indicated "A" were made by Dr. Middleman; statements indicated "Q" were made by AGA's counsel Mr. Steve Hemminger.

All of the prior art reference discussed below use a Nitinol alloy element in a medical device. In considering them, it is important to bear in mind three inherent properties of Nitinol alloys in assessing the validity of the IPR Claims:

- Nitinol alloys that exhibit TIM also inherently exhibit SIM.
- In such Nitinol alloys, martensite is martensite and there is no difference between martensite formed by changing temperature and martensite formed by changing stress.
- Any Nitinol material that can exhibit TIM will be pseudoelastic if stressed between A_S and M_D temperatures.

The scientific basis for each of these inherent properties is described in detail in Petitioner's Expert Report and Inherent Properties Video.

C. The IPR Claims are Obvious in view of Balko, Kirk-Othmer, and Foster³ under § 103(a)

Balko discloses various embodiments of a Nitinol coil (24) configured for insertion into a human body. As shown in Fig. 6 below, the Nitinol coil (24) is configured to be deformed to a martensitic state in which it is a "relatively straight length of wire" for delivery into a human body through a sheath. Balko, 3:54-63.

³ Balko was filed on Jan. 25, 1983 and issued on Apr. 23, 1985, and thus qualifies as prior art under § 102(e). Kirk-Othmer was published in 1982 and thus qualifies as prior art under § 102(a). Foster was filed on Aug. 24, 1982 and issued on Dec. 4, 1984, and thus qualifies as prior art under § 102(e).

Upon extrusion out of the sheath and into a blood vessel, the Nitinol wire (24) reverts back to its austenitic coil configuration to maintain the patency of the vessel. *Id.* at 3:54-63; Figs. 1-8.



Balko's Nitinol coil (24) is inherently capable of exhibiting the same behavior as the memory alloy element and stent recited in the IPR Claims. For example, Balko teaches that its Nitinol coil (24) is capable of thermal transformation between its austenitic and martensitic states (i.e., the coil exhibits TIM). *Id.* at 3:30 to 4:12. Because any Nitinol alloy that exhibits TIM is inherently capable of exhibiting SIM, Balko's Nitinol coil (24) can inherently exhibit SIM. *See* ER, pp. 11-16. Moreover, Balko teaches that its coil can be alloyed to have an A_F temperature below body temperature. Balko, 3:54 to 4:12. As discussed in the Expert Report, an alloy's A_F temperature is inherently above its A_F temperature. ER, pp. 16-20. Accordingly, the coil (24) inherently can form SIM at and below body temperature. Balko, 3:54 to 4:12; *see also* ER, pp. § 2. As taught in Kirk-Othmer and explained in Russell's Expert Report, Balko's Nitinol coil (24) is inherently capable of exhibiting pseudoelastic behavior. K-O, 727-28, 731, Table 1; *see also* ER, pp. 16-22.

A detailed chart showing where each feature of the IPR Claims is disclosed in Balko, Kirk-Othmer, and Foster follows. For the each of the claim charts below, Petitioner notes that reference can be made to the corresponding claim charts in the attached Expert Report (Exhibit 1009) for the opinions of Mr. Scott M. Russell.

Kirk-Othmer discusses properties of shape memory alloys, while Foster discloses a guide wire to insert a medical device into a human body. Thus, a person of ordinary skill would have found motivation to look to and utilize their respective teachings with Balko's teachings of the benefits of a shape memory medical device.

141 Patent:	Balko (Exh. 1002) + Kirk-Othmer (Exh. 1003)
Claims 1-10 & 18-22	+ Foster (Exh. 1014)
1. A medical device for	Non-limiting preamble. However, Balko discloses a
insertion into a	Nitinol wire coil (24) configured for insertion into a
mammalian body, the	human vessel, such as an artery. Abstract; 2:27 to
device comprising	6:16; Figs. 1-8. ⁴
(a) a hollow placement	Balko discloses a hollow placement device in the
device;	form of a sheath (20). 3:4-29.
(b) a memory alloy	Balko discloses a memory alloy element in the form
element	of a Nitinol wire coil (24/24a/26/26a, collectively
	"24"). 3:30 to 6:7; Figs. 1-8.
formed at least partly	Balko discloses a Nitinol coil (24) having a transition

⁴ Column, line, page numbers and the like in each claim chart refer to a respective

chart's lead reference unless otherwise indicated.

from pseudoelastic	temperature (A_F) at which the coil will thermally
shape-memory alloy,	transform from martensite to austenite. 3:30 to 4:47.
	Above A_F , Balko's Nitinol coil (24) is inherently
	pseudoelastic. Expert Report (herein "ER"), § II.
	Kirk-Othmer also recognizes the inherent
	pseudoelasticity of Balko's Nitinol coil. Kirk-Othmer
	("K-O"), 727-28, 731, Table 1.
the alloy displaying	Balko's Nitinol coil can be thermally transformed
reversible stress-induced	between austenite and martensite (i.e., the coil can
martensite at about body	exhibit TIM). 3:30 to 4:12. All Nitinol alloys that
temperature such that it	exhibit TIM inherently can exhibit SIM. ER, § II.
has a stress-induced	Balko's coil (24) is alloyed such that it will thermally
martensitic state and an	transform from martensite to austenite at a
austenitic state,	transformation temperature (A_F) that is "somewhat
	below the normal body temperature." 3:54 to 4:12.
	Accordingly, at body temperature (above A_F), the
	Nitinol coil (24) can inherently be transformed to its
	martensitic state by stress (i.e., stress-induced
	martensitic state). Id. At body temperature and
	absent stress, the Nitinol coil (24) will inherently
	revert to back to its austenitic state (i.e., reversible
	transformation) ER § II: K-O 726-29 731 For
	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) martensite is martensite and
	there is no difference between martensite formed by
	applying stress and martensite formed by adjusting
	temperature FR & II
the memory alloy	Balko discloses that its Nitinol coil (24) can be
element having (i) a	deformed in its martensitic state to a "relatively
deformed shape when	straight length of continuous wire" (deformed shape)
the alloy is in its stress	and returns to a continuous coil shape (unstressed
induced martensitic state	shape) when in its austenitic state. 3:54-63, Figs. 1-8.
and (ii) a different	As discussed above, the transformation between these
unstressed shape when	shapes can be caused by stress or temperature and—
the alloy is in its	for Balko's coil—there is no difference between
austenitic state; and	martensite formed by changing stress and martensite
	formed by changing temperature. ER, § II; K-O,
	726-27, 731.
(c) a guide wire;	Balko discloses a guide wire in the form of its
	member (52), which is a "wire" element configured to
	help a surgeon manipulate the sheath (20). 4:38-47.

	Foster discloses use of a guide wire in the form of a
	stylette (16) configured for guiding a medical device
	into a body cavity. Foster, 3:62 to 4:51, 5:21-46.
the memory alloy	Balko discloses that its Nitinol wire coil (24)
element being within the	(memory alloy element) is configured for placement
hollow placement	in its sheath (20) (hollow placement device). 4:4-36;
device, and	col. 5:13-47; Figs. 5-8.
the placement device	The sheath (20) is inserted by "conventional
being guidable by the	techniques," which one of ordinary skill would know
guide wire,	includes guiding by a guide wire. 3:4-13; Fig. 1.
	Balko also states the wire member (52) enables
	positioning of the sheath (20). 4:38-47. Foster
	discloses its stylette (16) enables positioning of a
	medical device in a body cavity. Foster, 3:62 to 4:51.
	5:21-46.
the hollow placement	The Nitinol coil (24) in its coiled shape has a
device stressing the	diameter sufficient to maintain the patency of a blood
memory alloy element at	vessel, while the sheath (20)—through which the coil
a temperature greater	(24) is fed—has a smaller diameter for insertion into
than the A_s of the alloy	a blood vessel. 3:4-29; 4:13-57. When positioned
so that the memory alloy	within the sheath (20) and delivered into the body, the
element is in its	coil (24) heats to body temperature (just above the
deformed shape.	coil's A_F and inherently above A_S) and thus
n i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i i	inherently attempts to revert from its deformed
	martensitic shape back to its larger austenitic state.
	K-O. 726-27, 729; ER. § II. The coil remains in its
	deformed shape because the sheath (20) constrains it
	by applying stress as the coil attempts to expand. <i>Id</i> .
wherein the memory	As noted above, it would have been obvious to a
alloy element can be	person of ordinary skill to use a guide wire to extrude
extruded from the	the Nitinol coil (24) from the sheath (20), and Balko
hollow placement device	discloses the coil (24) can be extruded by the wire
by the guide wire at a	member (52) or "further wire." 4:13 to 5:40; see also
temperature greater than	Foster, 3:62 to 4:51, 5:21-46. In Balko's $A_F =$ below
the $A_{\rm S}$ of the alloy to	body temperature embodiment, the Nitinol coil (24)
transform at least a	since it is restrained in the sheath (20) is still held in a
portion of the alloy from	martensitic state by stress as it is passed through the
its stress-induced	sheath (20) at body temperature. 3:54 to 4:57; K-O,
martensitic state so that	726-731. When the coil (24) is extruded from the
the memory alloy	sheath (20) into the blood vessel, the coil (24) stays at

element transforms from	body temperature (above A_s), the stress applied by
its deformed shape to its	the sheath (20) is removed, and the coil transforms
unstressed shape,	from its deformed martensitic shape to its unstressed
	austenitic shape. K-O, 727-28, 731, Table 1
	(pseudoelasticity); ER, § II.
and wherein the alloy is	As Balko discloses A _F is just below body
selected so that the	temperature, the coil (24) will be above A_F when it is
transformation can occur	in the sheath (20) in the blood vessel. When the coil
without any change in	is extruded from the catheter (24) (i.e., the stress is
temperature of the	removed), the coil inherently transforms from its
placement device or the	stressed, martensitic state to its unstressed, austenitic
memory alloy element.	shape without a change in temperature of the coil or
	the sheath (20). K-O, p. 727-28, 731; ER, § II.
2. The device of claim 1	Balko discloses that its Nitinol coil is configured for
wherein the memory	use as a stent in maintaining the patency of a blood
alloy element is a stent.	vessel, such as the aorta. 4:13-57.
3. The device of claim 2,	Balko discloses that its Nitinol coil may be secured to
including a guide wire	a fabric graft (22) and it would have been obvious to
for endarterial placement	a person of ordinary skill to the place the resulting
of a stent graft.	stent graft using a guide wire. 3:4-53. Foster also
	discloses use of a guide wire (16) for guiding a
	medical device into a body cavity. Foster, 3:62 to
	4:51, 5:21-46.
4. The invention of claim	As detailed above, the aforementioned transformation
1 wherein the	of Balko's coil (24) from its martensitic state to its
transformation occurs	austenitic state occurs through a change in stress; no
without any change in	change in state of the sheath (20) is required. K-O, p.
the state of the	731; ER, § II.
placement device.	
5. The device of claim 1,	Balko discloses a catheter in the form of its sheath
wherein the hollow	(20). 3:4 to 4:57.
placement device is a	
catheter.	
6. A medical device	Non-limiting preamble. Balko discloses an apparatus
which comprises:	for delivering a Nitinol wire coil (24) into a human
	vessel. Abstract; 2:27 to 6:16; Figs. 1-8.
(a) a stent for endarterial	Balko discloses a stent in the form a Nitinol wire coil
placement within a	(24) configured for endarterial placement in a blood
human body so that the	vessel, such as an artery. 2:55-59; 3:30-39 to 5:26.

stent is substantially at	Upon insertion into the blood vessel, the Nitinol coil
human body	(24) will be at human body temperature.
temperature,	
the stent comprising a shape memory alloy which displays stress induced martensite behavior at body temperature; and	Balko's Nitinol coil can be thermally transformed between austenite and martensite (i.e., the coil can exhibit thermally-induced martensite, or "TIM"). 3:30 to 4:12. All Nitinol alloys that exhibit TIM inherently can exhibit "stress-induced" martensite, or "SIM." ER, § II. Balko's coil (24) is alloyed such that it will thermally transform from martensite to austenite at a transformation temperature (A _F) that is "somewhat below the normal body temperature." 3:54 to 4:12. Accordingly, at body temperature (above A _F), the Nitinol coil (24) can inherently be transformed to its martensitic state by stress (i.e., stress-induced martensitic state). <i>Id</i> . For Balko's Nitinol coil (24), martensite is martensite and there is no difference between martensite formed by applying stress and martensite formed by adjusting temperature. ER, § II.
(b) a restraint holding the stent in a deformed configuration at a temperature less than the body temperature of the human for endarterial positioning of the stent within the human body in its deformed configuration, the deformation occurring through stress induced martensite;	temperature. ER, § II. Balko's sheath (20) (restraint) is used for endarterial positioning of the Nitinol coil (24) in a human blood vessel. 3:4 to 5:40. Balko discloses that the Nitinol coil (24) can have an A_F below body temperature and—as discussed above in relation to Claim 1—the sheath (20) is capable of holding the coil (24) in a deformed, martensitic state with the coil at A_F (below body temp). 3:54 to 4:12; ER, § II. Moreover, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in view of Nitinol's known pseudoelasticity to configure the coil with a room temperature A_F . K-O, 731, 733; ER, § II. In such an embodiment, when the Nitinol coil (24) is inserted into the sheath (20) at room temperature (below body temp) for delivery into the body, the sheath (20) would deform the coil from its austenitic state to its martensitic state through stress and hold the coil in this configuration as it is delivered to the body (below body temp until the coil reaches the blood vessel).

wherein the stent is	When the deformed Nitinol coil (24) is extruded from
sufficiently deformed	the sheath (20) in the blood vessel at body
that when the stent is at	temperature (above the coil's A_F), the coil (24)
human body temperature	inherently reverts to its unstressed, austenitic coil
removal of the restraint	configuration due to the release of the stress applied
of the stent, without	by the sheath (20). 3:54 to 5:40, Figs. 1-8; K-O, 731,
change in temperature of	733; ER, § II. This occurs without a change in
the device, releases at	temperature as the coil (24) is inherently at body
least a portion of the	temperature when positioned in the sheath (20) in the
stent from its deformed	vessel, and remains at body temperature when
configuration.	extruded into the blood vessel.
7. A device as claimed in	Balko's sheath (20) is hollow (hollow restraint) and
6, in which the restraint	its Nitinol coil (24) is configured for delivery through
is hollow, and the stent	the sheath (20) (stent partially within restraint). 3:4 to
is positioned at least	5:40; Figs. 1-8.
partially within the	
restraint.	
8. A device as claimed in	Balko's sheath (20) is a catheter. 3:4 to 4:57.
claim 6 or 7, in which	
the restraint is a catheter.	
9. A device as claimed in	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension,	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state).
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced, and	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil (24) is positioned within
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced, and wherein the restraint	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil (24) is positioned within the sheath (20). Figs. 1-8; see discussion of Claim 1.
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced, and wherein the restraint prevents transverse	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil (24) is positioned within the sheath (20). Figs. 1-8; see discussion of Claim 1.
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced, and wherein the restraint prevents transverse expansion of the stent.	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil (24) is positioned within the sheath (20). Figs. 1-8; see discussion of Claim 1.
 9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced, and wherein the restraint prevents transverse expansion of the stent. 10. The device of claim 	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil (24) is positioned within the sheath (20). Figs. 1-8; see discussion of Claim 1. When the deformed Nitinol coil (24) is extruded from
 9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced, and wherein the restraint prevents transverse expansion of the stent. 10. The device of claim 6, wherein the shape 	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil (24) is positioned within the sheath (20). Figs. 1-8; see discussion of Claim 1. When the deformed Nitinol coil (24) is extruded from the sheath (20) in the blood vessel at body
 9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced, and wherein the restraint prevents transverse expansion of the stent. 10. The device of claim 6, wherein the shape memory alloy is 	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil (24) is positioned within the sheath (20). Figs. 1-8; see discussion of Claim 1. When the deformed Nitinol coil (24) is extruded from the sheath (20) in the blood vessel at body temperature (above the coil's A_F), the coil (24)
 9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced, and wherein the restraint prevents transverse expansion of the stent. 10. The device of claim 6, wherein the shape memory alloy is sufficiently deformed 	 Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil (24) is positioned within the sheath (20). Figs. 1-8; see discussion of Claim 1. When the deformed Nitinol coil (24) is extruded from the sheath (20) in the blood vessel at body temperature (above the coil's A_F), the coil (24) inherently reverts to its unstressed coil configuration
 9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced, and wherein the restraint prevents transverse expansion of the stent. 10. The device of claim 6, wherein the shape memory alloy is sufficiently deformed that removal of the 	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil (24) is positioned within the sheath (20). Figs. 1-8; see discussion of Claim 1. When the deformed Nitinol coil (24) is extruded from the sheath (20) in the blood vessel at body temperature (above the coil's A _F), the coil (24) inherently reverts to its unstressed coil configuration due to the release of the stress applied by the sheath
 9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced, and wherein the restraint prevents transverse expansion of the stent. 10. The device of claim 6, wherein the shape memory alloy is sufficiently deformed that removal of the restraint from the shape 	 Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil (24) is positioned within the sheath (20). Figs. 1-8; see discussion of Claim 1. When the deformed Nitinol coil (24) is extruded from the sheath (20) in the blood vessel at body temperature (above the coil's A_F), the coil (24) inherently reverts to its unstressed coil configuration due to the release of the stress applied by the sheath (20). 3:54 to 5:40, Figs. 1-8; K-O, 731, 733; ER, § II.
 9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or 7, in which the stent has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension, wherein the stent is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced, and wherein the restraint prevents transverse expansion of the stent. 10. The device of claim 6, wherein the shape memory alloy is sufficiently deformed that removal of the restraint from the shape memory alloy releases at 	Balko's Nitinol coil (24) has a transverse dimension and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-8. The coil (24) is deformed by its transverse dimension being reduced (from a continuous coil in its austenitic state to a straight length of wire in its martensitic state). 3:54 to 4:57. As discussed above, Balko's sheath (20) is dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (24) from its martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil (24) is positioned within the sheath (20). Figs. 1-8; see discussion of Claim 1. When the deformed Nitinol coil (24) is extruded from the sheath (20) in the blood vessel at body temperature (above the coil's A _F), the coil (24) inherently reverts to its unstressed coil configuration due to the release of the stress applied by the sheath (20). 3:54 to 5:40, Figs. 1-8; K-O, 731, 733; ER, § II. This transformation occurs at body temperature due

shape memory alloy	any need for a change in state of the sheath (20). See
element from its	discussion of Claim 6.
deformed configuration	
without change in state	
of restraint.	
18. A medical device	Non-limiting preamble. Balko discloses an apparatus
comprising:	for delivering a Nitinol wire coil (24) into a human
	vessel. Abstract; 2:27 to 6:16; Figs. 1-8.
(a) a wire stent	Balko discloses a wire stent in the form of a Nitinol
	wire coil (24). 2:55-59; 3:30-39 to 5:26.
formed at least partly	Balko discloses its Nitinol coil (24) has a transition
from a pseudoelastic	temperature (A_F) at which the coil will thermally
shape memory alloy,	transform from martensite to austenite. 3:30 to 4:47.
	Above A _F , Balko's Nitinol coil (24) is inherently
	pseudoelastic. Expert Report (herein "ER"), § II.
	Kirk-Othmer also recognizes the inherent
	pseudoelasticity of Balko's Nitinol coil. Kirk-Othmer
	("K-O"), 727-28, 731, Table 1.
the alloy displaying	Balko's Nitinol coil can be thermally transformed
reversible stress-induced	between austenite and martensite (i.e., the coil can
martensite at about	exhibit TIM). 3:30 to 4:12. All Nitinol alloys that
human body temperature	exhibit TIM inherently can exhibit SIM. ER, § II.
such as it has a deformed	Balko's coil (24) is alloyed such that it will thermally
shape when the alloy is	transform from martensite to austenite at a
in its stress induced	transformation temperature (A_F) that is "somewhat
martensitic state and a	below the normal body temperature." 3:54 to 4:12.
different unstressed	Accordingly, at human body temperature (above A_F),
shape when the alloy is	the Nitinol coil (24) can inherently be transformed to
in its austenitic state; and	its martensitic state by stress (i.e., deformed stress-
	induced martensitic state). <i>Id.</i> At body temperature
	and absent stress, the Nitinol coil (24) will inherently
	revert to back to its austenitic state (i.e., reversible
	transformation). ER, § II; K-O, 726-29, 731. As
	noted above, for Balko's Nitinol coil (24), martensite
	is martensite and there is no difference between
	martensite formed by applying stress and martensite
	tormed by adjusting temperature. ER, § II.
(b) a restraint stressing	Balko discloses a restraint in the form a sheath (20).
the wire stent at a	3:4-29. The Nitinol coil (24) in its coiled shape has a
temperature greater than	diameter sufficient to maintain the patency of a blood

the A_s of the alloy so	vessel, while the sheath (20)—through which the coil
that the wire stent is in	(24) is fed—has a smaller diameter for insertion into
its deformed shape,	a blood vessel. 3:4-29; 4:13-57. When positioned
	within the sheath (20) and delivered into the body, the
	coil (24) heats to body temperature (just above the
	coil's A_F and inherently above A_S) and thus
	inherently attempts to revert from its deformed
	martensitic shape back to its larger austenitic state.
	K-O, 731, 733; ER, § II. The coil remains in its
	deformed shape because the sheath (20) constrains it
	by applying stress as the coil attempts to expand. <i>Id</i> .
wherein the stent can be	As shown in Figs. 1-8, the Nitinol coil (24) can be
disengaged from the	extruded from the sheath (20) and placed into a
restraint upon placement	human blood vessel. 3:54-5:40. As noted above,
in a human so that the	upon extrusion from the sheath (20), the coil (24) will
stent transforms from its	inherently transform from its deformed relatively
deformed shape to its	straight shape back to its unstressed coil shape. ER, §
unstressed shape, and	II; see discussion of Claim 1.
wherein the alloy is	As discussed above, in the blood vessel the coil (24)
selected so that the	heats to body temperature (above A_F) and is
transformation can occur	inherently constrained in its deformed martensitic
without any change in	state by stress applied by the sheath (20). 3:30 to
temperature of the	4:47. Upon extrusion from the sheath (20), the stress
restraint or the wire	is removed and the coil (24) inherently transforms
stent.	back to its austenitic shape. Figs. 1-8; K-O, 731, 733;
	ER, § II. No change in the temperature of the sheath
	(20) or the coil (24) is required as the transformation
	is caused by changing stress. ER, § II.
19. The device of claim	Balko discloses the coil (24) can be positioned in the
6, 11, 15, 16 or 18,	blood vessel by the wire member (52) or "further
including a guide wire	wire." 4:13 to 5:40. Moreover, it would have been
for endarterial placement	obvious to a person of ordinary skill to use a guide
of the stent.	wire for endarterial placement of the coil (24). Foster
	also discloses use of a guide wire (16) for guiding a
	medical device into a body cavity. Foster, 3:62 to
	4:51, 5:21-46.
20. The device of claim	As detailed above, the aforementioned transformation
15, 16, or 18, wherein	of Balko's coil (24) from its martensitic state to its
the transformation of the	austenitic state occurs by changing stress; no change
alloy occurs without any	in state of the sheath (20) is required. K-O, p. 731;

change in state of the	ER, § II.
restraint.	
21. The device of claim	Balko discloses a catheter in the form of its sheath
1, 15, 16, or 18, wherein	(20). 3:4 to 4:57.
the restraint is a catheter.	
22. The device of Claim	Balko discloses a Nitinol wire coil (24) for use as a
1, 11, 15 or 18 wherein	stent. 3:30 to 6:7; Figs. 1-8.
the stent is a coil stent.	

D. The IPR Claims are Anticipated by Dotter under § 102(e)

Dotter was considered during prosecution of the 141 patent. Dotter was filed on Mar. 3, 1983 and issued on Mar. 12, 1985, and qualifies as prior art under § 102(e). Dotter discloses a Nitinol coil (10) configured for delivery through a catheter (16) and into a blood vessel lumen (17). Dotter, 3:15 to 5:20, Figs. 1-6. As shown in Dotter's Figures 4 and 6 below, the Nitinol coil (10) has a deformed, narrow coil shape in its martensitic state for delivery through the catheter (16). *Id.* at 3:44-66; Figs. 1 and 5. Upon extrusion out of the catheter (16) and into the blood vessel lumen (17), the Nitinol coil (10) reverts back to its austenitic state and its undeformed wider coil shape. *Id.* at 3:44-66; Figs. 2 and 6.



Dotter Figures 4 & 6

Dotter's Nitinol coil (10) is inherently capable of exhibiting the same behavior as the memory alloy element and stent recited in the IPR Claims. For example, Dotter discloses that its Nitinol coil (10) is capable of thermal transformation between its austenitic and martensitic states (i.e., the coil exhibits Id. at 3:15 to 4:40. Because any Nitinol alloy that exhibits TIM is TIM). inherently capable of exhibiting SIM, Dotter's Nitinol coil (10) can inherently exhibit SIM. See ER, pp. 11-16. Moreover, Dotter teaches that its coil's A_F temperature can be adjusted over a wide range depending on the desired application (i.e., a design choice) and identifies body temperature as one example. Dotter, 3:15-28 and 5:14-21. As such, the coil (10) would inherently be capable of exhibiting SIM can be alloyed to exhibit SIM below body temperature as well (e.g., at room temperature) depending on the application. See ER, pp. 18-19. Finally, as discussed in Russell's Expert Report, Dotter's Nitinol coil is inherently capable of exhibiting pseudoelastic behavior. See ER, pp. 16-22, 40-42.

A detailed chart showing where each limitation of the IPR Claims is taught in Dotter follows.

141 Patent: Claims 1-10 & 18-22	Dotter (Exh. 1004)
1. A medical device for	Non-limiting preamble. However, Dotter is directed
insertion into a	to a "graft prosthesis which is useful for placement
mammalian body, the	within a body passageway." 2:36-38.

device comprising	
(a) a hollow placement	Dotter discloses a hollow placement device in the
device;	form of a catheter (16) configured for insertion into a
	blood vessel lumen (17). 4:8-24; Figs. 3-6.
(b) a memory alloy	Dotter discloses a memory alloy element in the form
element	of a prosthesis (10), a coil of wire formed from a
	"shape memory Nitinol alloy." 3:17-21 and 2:44-46.
formed at least partly	Dotter teaches that the Nitinol alloy forming the coil
from pseudoelastic	(10) can be thermally transformed from martensite to
shape-memory,	austenite at a transformation temperature (A_F) . 3:49-
	54. Above its A_F temperature, Dotter's Nitinol coil
	(10) is inherently pseudoelastic. ER, § II.
the alloy displaying	Dotter teaches that its Nitinol coil (10) can be
reversible stress-induced	thermally transformed between austenite and
martensite at about body	martensite (i.e., the coil can exhibit TIM). 3:15 to
temperature such that it	4:40. All Nitinol alloys that exhibit TIM inherently
has a stress-induced	can exhibit SIM. ER, § II. The coil (10) transforms
martensitic state and an	thermally from martensite to austenite at a transition
austenitic state,	temperature (A_F), which in one embodiment is 98.6°
	F (i.e., body temp). 5:14-21. Accordingly, at body
	temperature (A_F) , the Nitinol coil (10) can inherently
	be transformed to its martensitic state by stress (i.e.,
	stress-induced martensitic state). ER, § II. At body
	temperature and absent stress, the Nitinol coil (10)
	will inherently revert to back to its austenitic state
	(i.e., the transformation is reversible). ER, § II. For
	Dotter's Nitinol coil, martensite is martensite and
	there is no difference between martensite formed by
	stress and martensite formed by temperature. <i>Id</i> .
the memory alloy	Dotter discloses that the Nitinol coil (10) has a
element having (i) a	deformed shape in its martensitic state (narrow coil).
deformed shape when	3:44-66; Figs. 1 and 5. Again, martensite is
the alloy is in its stress	martensite and there is no difference between
induced martensitic state	martensite formed by stress and martensite formed
and (11) a different	thermally. ER, § II. In its austenitic state, the
unstressed shape when	Nitinol coil (10) has a different unstressed shape
the alloy is in its	(wider coil). 3:44-66; Figs. 2 and 6.
austenitic state; and	
(c) a guide wire;	Dotter discloses a guide wire in the form of an inner
	guide catheter (21). 4:16-24 and Figs. 4-5.

the memory alloy	Dotter's Nitinol coil (10) (memory alloy element) is
element being within the	placed within and fed through the catheter (16)
hollow placement	(hollow placement device) for delivery into a blood
device, and	vessel. 4:8-24; Figs. 3-4.
the placement device	Dotter discloses that the catheter (16) is
being guidable by the	"percutaneously inserted into blood vessel lumen 17
guide wire,	using normal catheterization techniques" and
	shows that the catheter (16) is guidable by the inner
	guide catheter (21). 4: 8-16; Figs. 3-6.
the hollow placement	Dotter discloses that the Nitinol coil (10) in its
device stressing the	austenitic state has a large diameter approximately
memory alloy element at	equal to that of the blood vessel, while the catheter
a temperature greater	(16)—through which the Nitinol coil (10) is fed—has
than the A_s of the allov	a diameter less than that of the coil (10) in its
so that the memory alloy	austenitic state. 3:32-63: 4:8-24. Accordingly, the
element is in its	catheter (16) stresses the Nitinol coil (10) when the
deformed shape,	coil is positioned therein at or above A_F (98.6° F in
1 2	the aforementioned embodiment). 5:14-21; ER, § II.
	At A_F (which is above A_S), the Nitinol coil (10)
	would inherently attempt to revert from its deformed
	martensitic shape back to its large diameter, austenitic
	state and only remains in its deformed shape because
	of the stress applied by the catheter (16). Id. This
	occurs when the Nitinol coil (10) is fed through the
	catheter (16) within the blood vessel and heats to
	body temperature.
wherein the memory	The Nitinol coil (10) is "passed by external
alloy element can be	manipulation of the guide catheter 21 [guide wire]
extruded from the	through and beyond catheter 16 to the desired site of
hollow placement device	placement in the blood vessel lumen 17 [extruded
by the guide wire at a	from]." 4:17-24; Fig. 3. In the $A_F = 98.6^{\circ} F$
temperature greater than	embodiment, the Nitinol coil (10) since it is restrained
the $A_{\rm S}$ of the alloy to	in the catheter (16) is still held in a martensitic state
transform at least a	by stress as it is passed through the catheter (16) at
portion of the alloy from	body temperature. When the coil (10) is extruded
its stress-induced	from the catheter (16) into the blood vessel, the coil
martensitic state so that	(10) remains at body temperature (above A_s) and
the memory alloy	transforms from its deformed martensitic shape to its
element transforms from	unstressed austenitic shape. ER, § II.
its deformed shape to its	

unstressed shape,	
and wherein the alloy is	In the Nitinol coil's $A_F = 98.6^\circ$ F embodiment, the
selected so that the	coil (10) will be at A_F when it is in the catheter (16) in
transformation can occur	the blood vessel. Therefore, when the coil is extruded
without any change in	from the catheter (16) (i.e., the stress is removed), the
temperature of the	coil will transform from its stressed, martensitic state
placement device or the	to its unstressed, austenitic shape without a change in
memory alloy element.	temperature of the coil or catheter. 5: 21-30;ER, § II.
2. The device of claim 1	The Nitinol coil (10) can be used as a stent for
wherein the memory	"expanding partially occluded segments of a blood
alloy element is a stent.	vessel passageway" or as a "stent supportive graft
-	placement within blocked arteries." 5: 29-47.
3. The device of claim 2,	The Nitinol coil (10) is "passed by external
including a guide wire	manipulation of guide catheter 21 [guide wire]
for endarterial placement	through and beyond catheter 16 to the desired site of
of a stent graft.	placement in the narrowed segment 20 of blood
-	vessel lumen 17 [endarterial placement]." 4:16-24.
	Dotter's coil (10) is a "graft prosthesis." 3:15-28.
4. The invention of claim	As explained above, the Nitinol coil (10) can
1 wherein the	transform from its martensitic state to its austenitic
transformation occurs	state by changing stress and without any change in
without any change in	the state of the catheter (16) (placement device). See
the state of the	discussion of Claim 1.
placement device.	
5. The device of claim 1,	As discussed above, Dotter discloses a hollow
wherein the hollow	catheter (16) configured for insertion into a blood
placement device is a	vessel lumen (17). 4:10-20; Figs. 3-6.
catheter.	
6. A medical device	Non-limiting preamble. Petitioner notes that Dotter
which comprises:	discloses a graft prosthesis medical device as
-	discussed above. 2:36-38.
(a) a stent for endarterial	Dotter discloses a Nitinol coil (10) for use as a stent
placement within a	for "expanding partially occluded segments of a
human body so that the	blood vessel passageway" (endarterial placement
stent is substantially at	within a human body), where the coil (10) would be
human body	at body temperature. 3:15-43; 5:29-47.
temperature,	
the stent comprising a	Dotter's coil (10) is formed from a "shape memory
shape memory alloy	Nitinol alloy." 3:17-21; 5:5-20.

which displays stress	Dotter discloses various transition temperatures for its
induced martensite	Nitinol coil, discloses that the transition temperature
behavior at body	"can be manipulated over a wide range," and that
temperature; and	such manipulation is well known to those skilled in
	the art. 3:15-28; 4:65 to 5:20; ER, § II. Room
	temperature may be selected as the A _F so Dotter's
	Nitinol coil (10) will be austenitic at room
	temperature. Id. In such an embodiment, the Nitinol
	coil (10) can inherently exhibit stress-induced
	martensite behavior at body temperature (above A_F)
	upon application of stress. 5: 21-30; ER, § II; see
	discussion of Claim 1. For Dotter's coil, martensite is
	martensite and there is no difference between
	martensite formed by changing temperature and
	martensite formed by changing stress. ER, § II.
(b) a restraint holding	Dotter's catheter (16) (restraint) is used for
the stent in a deformed	endarterial positioning of the Nitinol coil (10) in the
configuration at a	human body. 4:18-24; Figs. 3-6. As discussed
temperature less than the	above, Dotter teaches that the transition temperature
body temperature of the	of its Nitinol coil "can be manipulated over a wide
human for endarterial	range" and so the coil may inherently have an A_F of
positioning of the stent	room temperature. 3:21-28; ER, § II. In such an
within the human body	embodiment, the Nitinol coil (10) is inserted into the
in its deformed	catheter (16) at room temperature (i.e., below body
configuration, the	temp) in its austenitic shape (wide diameter coil) for
deformation occurring	delivery into the body. 3:8-24; Fig. 3; ER, § II. The
through stress induced	catheter (16) deforms the coil (10) through stress
martensite;	from its austenitic shape to its martensitic shape and
	holds the coil (10) in this deformed configuration.
	5:5-20 (discussion of inserting coil (10) into catheter
	(16) at room temp).
wherein the stent is	When the deformed Nitinol coil (10) is extruded from
sufficiently deformed	the catheter (16) at body temperature (above the coil's
that when the stent is at	room temp A_F), the coil (10) reverts to its undeformed
human body temperature	coil configuration without a change in temperature
removal of the restraint	due to the release of the stress applied by the catheter
of the stent, without	(16). See discussion of Claim 1; ER, § II.
change in temperature of	
the device, releases at	
least a portion of the	

stent from its deformed	
configuration	
7. A device as claimed in 6, in which the restraint is hollow, and the stent is positioned at least partially within the restraint.	Dotter's catheter (16) is hollow (hollow restraint) and its Nitinol coil (10) is configured for delivery through the catheter (16) (stent partially within restraint). 4:8- 24; Figs. 3-4.
8. A device as claimed in	Dotter discloses a restraint in the form of a catheter
claim 6 or 7, in which	(16) as detailed above. 4:8-24; Figs. 3-6.
the restraint is a catheter.	
9. A device as claimed in	Dotter's Nitinol coil (10) has a transverse dimension
claim 6 or 7, in which	and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-2. The coil
the stent has a transverse	(10) is deformed by its transverse dimension being
dimension and a	reduced (transforming from a large diameter coil in
longitudinal dimension,	the austenitic state to a narrower profile in the
wherein the stent is	martensitic state). 3:29-63. As detailed above,
deformed by its	Dotter's catheter (16) is dimensioned to prevent
transverse dimension	transverse expansion of the Nitinol coil (10) from its
being reduced, and	martensitic state to its austenitic state when the coil
wherein the restraint	(10) is positioned within the catheter (16). See also
prevents transverse	discussion of Claim 1.
expansion of the stent.	\mathbf{I} 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
10. The device of claim	In embodiments where the Nitinol coll (10) has an A_F
6, wherein the shape	equivalent to room temperature, the coll (10) will
memory alloy is	innerently be held in its deformed martensitic state by
that removal of the	temperature. Upon extrusion from the eatheter (16)
restraint from the shape	(i.e., removal of restraint), the coil (10) will revert
memory allow releases at	hack to its unstressed austenitic state. See discussion
least a portion of the	of Claim 6: ER & II This transformation occurs
shape memory alloy	without any change in state of the catheter (16)
element from its	(restraint).
deformed configuration	
without change in state	
of restraint.	
18. A medical device	Non-limiting preamble. Petitioner notes that Dotter
comprising:	discloses a graft prosthesis medical device as
~ ~	discussed above. 2:36-38.
(a) a wire stent	Dotter discloses a wire coil (10) for use as a stent for

	"expanding partially occluded segments of a blood
	vessel passageway." 3:15-43; 5:29-47.
formed at least partly	Dotter discloses that the Nitinol alloy forming the coil
from a pseudoelastic	(10) can be thermally transformed from martensite to
shape memory alloy,	austenite at a transformation temperature (A_F) . 3:49-
1 0 07	54. Above its A_F temperature, Dotter's Nitinol coil
	(10) is inherently pseudoelastic. ER, § II.
the alloy displaying	Dotter discloses that its Nitinol coil (10) can be
reversible stress-induced	thermally transformed between austenite and
martensite at about	martensite (i.e., the coil can exhibit TIM). 3:15 to
human body temperature	4:40. All Nitinol alloys that exhibit TIM inherently
such as it has a deformed	can exhibit SIM. ER. § II. The coil (10) transforms
shape when the allov is	thermally from martensite to austenite at a transition
in its stress induced	temperature ($A_{\rm F}$), which in one embodiment is 98.6°
martensitic state and a	F (i.e., body temp) 5:14-21. Accordingly, at body
different unstressed	temperature ($A_{\rm F}$), the Nitinol coil (10) can inherently
shape when the allov is	be transformed to its martensitic state by stress (i.e.,
in its austenitic state: and	stress-induced martensitic state). ER. § II. In
	particular. Dotter notes that its coil may have a small
	diameter in this martensitic state. 3:29-66. At body
	temperature and absent stress, the Nitinol coil (10)
	will inherently revert to back to its unstressed, larger
	diameter (different) austenitic state (i.e., the
	transformation is reversible). ER, § II. For Dotter's
	coil, martensite is martensite and there is no
	difference between martensite formed by changing
	stress and martensite formed by adjusting
	temperature. Id.
(b) a restraint stressing	As discussed above, Dotter's catheter (16) is
the wire stent at a	necessarily dimensioned to stress the Nitinol coil (10)
temperature greater than	when it is positioned in the catheter (16) and reaches
the A_S of the alloy so	body temperature (inherently above A_s in the
that the wire stent is in	A _F =98.6 F embodiment). 4:10-20; 5:5-20; Figs. 3-6;
its deformed shape,	ER, § II. At body temperature, the Nitinol coil (10)
	inherently attempts to revert back to its larger-
	diameter, austenitic state and is held in its deformed
	position by stress applied by the catheter (16). <i>Id</i> .
wherein the stent can be	As discussed above, when the Nitinol coil (10) is
disengaged from the	extruded from the catheter (16) at body temperature,
restraint upon placement	it will inherently revert to its undeformed, large-

in a human so that the	diameter coil configuration due to the release of stress
stent transforms from its	on the coil (10). ER, § II; see discussion of Claim 1.
deformed shape to its	
unstressed shape, and	
wherein the alloy is	As discussed above, the transformation of the coil
selected so that the	from martensite to austenite is caused by changing
transformation can occur	stress and occurs without any necessary change in
without any change in	temperature of the catheter (16) or Nitinol coil
temperature of the	prosthesis (10). 5: 21-30; ER, § II; see discussion of
restraint or the wire	Claim 1.
stent.	
19. The device of claim	Dotter discloses that its Nitinol coil (10) is "passed by
6, 11, 15, 16 or 18,	external manipulation of guide catheter 21 [guide
including a guide wire	wire] through and beyond catheter 16 to the desired
for endarterial placement	site of placement in the narrowed segment 20 of
of the stent.	blood vessel lumen 17 [endarterial placement]." 4:1
	6-24.
20. The device of claim	As discussed above, the aforementioned
15, 16, or 18, wherein	transformation occurs without any necessary change
the transformation of the	in state of the catheter (16). 5: 21-30; ER, § II; see
alloy occurs without any	discussion of Claim 1.
change in state of the	
restraint.	
21. The device of claim	As discussed above, Dotter discloses a catheter (16)
1, 15, 16, or 18, wherein	configured for insertion into a blood vessel lumen
the restraint is a catheter.	(17). 4:10-20; Figs. 3-6.
22. The device of Claim	Dotter discloses a wire coil (10) for use as a stent for
1, 11, 15 or 18 wherein	"expanding partially occluded segments of a blood
the stent is a coil stent.	vessel passageway." 3:15-43; 5:29-47.

E. Claims 6-10 are Obvious in view of Dotter under § 103(a)

As described above, Petitioner believes that Claims 6-10 are anticipated by Dotter. To the extent the Board believes that Dotter fails to disclose that its Nitinol coil (10) has an A_F of about room temperature and/or that Dotter fails to disclose a restraint holding the stent in a deformed configuration at a temperature less than the body temperature, Petitioner respectfully asserts that it would have been an obvious design choice to a person of ordinary skill to select room temperature as the A_F so the Nitinol coil (10) will be austenitic at room temperature as taught by Dotter. Dotter, 3:15-28; 4:65 to 5:20; *see also* ER, pp. 16-22, 40-42. In such an embodiment, a person of ordinary skill would readily appreciate that the catheter (16) would hold the stent in a deformed configuration at a temperature less than the body temperature. Accordingly, in the alternative Claims 6-10 are obvious in view of Dotter.

F. Claims 1-5 and 18-22 are Anticipated by Cragg under § 102(a)

Cragg discloses a Nitinol coil configured for delivery into a human body using a catheter. Cragg, 261-26, Fig. 1. Cragg was published at least as early as Mar. 22, 1983, and qualifies as prior art under § 102(a). Cragg discloses that its Nitinol coil can be transformed to a deformed martensitic state where it is straight and pliable and placed in a catheter. *Id*. Upon extrusion out of the catheter, the Nitinol coil is transformed back to an undeformed austenitic state where it has a coil profile for maintaining the patency of a vessel. *Id*.

////

Cragg Figure 1

Cragg's Nitinol coil is inherently capable of exhibiting the same behavior as the medical devices recited in the IPR Claims. For example, Cragg discloses that its Nitinol coil has an A_F temperature "at or near body temperature" (in one embodiment 36° C). Id. Cragg also discloses that its Nitinol coil is placed within a blood vessel by extruding the Nitinol coil from the catheter. Once the coil is in place in the human body, it will be at body temperature, i.e., above the A_F, and in its austenitic state. If after deployment into the body, the position of the coil needs to be adjusted, Cragg teaches pulling the body temperature coil back into the catheter by "withdrawing the guide wire" (which is still attached to the coil) and re-extruding it in the proper position. Id. at 261. As the coil is drawn back into the catheter, the coil is at body temperature (above A_F) and hence in its austenitic state. Thus, when it is pulled back into the catheter it is stressed by the catheter into its deformed, martensitic shape. Id. Upon extrusion back out of the catheter, the stress applied by the catheter is removed, and the coil, still at body temperature, reverts back to its austenitic state. See ER, § II. Accordingly, Cragg inherently discloses using a restraint, i.e., the catheter to stress induce martensite by pulling the Nitinol Coil into the catheter in the body for repositioning. As discussed in Russell's Expert Report, Cragg's Nitinol coil is also inherently capable of exhibiting pseudoelastic behavior. See ER, pp. 16-22, 49-51.

32

A detailed chart showing where each limitation of the IPR Claims is

disclosed in Cragg follows.

141 Patent: Claims 1-5 & 18-22	Cragg (Exh. 1005)
1. A medical device for	Non-limiting preamble. However, Cragg discloses a
insertion into a	Nitinol (Ti-Ni alloy) wire coil graft designed for
mammalian body, the	insertion into a human blood vessel. 261-262.
device comprising	
(a) a hollow placement	Cragg discloses a hollow placement device in the
device;	form of a catheter. 261.
(b) a memory alloy	Cragg discloses a memory alloy element in the form
element	of a wire coil graft made from Nitinol, which Cragg
	discloses has shape memory properties. 261-62.
formed at least partly	Cragg discloses that its Nitinol coil can be thermally
from pseudoelastic shape-	transformed from a deformed shape to its original
memory alloy,	shape at a transformation temperature (A_F) . 261-62.
	Above its A _F temperature, Cragg's Nitinol coil is
	inherently pseudoelastic. ER, § II.
the alloy displaying	Cragg's Nitinol coil has a transformation temperature
reversible stress-induced	(A_F) "at or near body temperature" and, in one
martensite at about body	embodiment, the coil has an A_F of 36° C. 261-62;
temperature such that it	ER, § II. Cragg also discloses that its Nitinol coil is
has a stress-induced	precisely placed within a blood vessel by extruding
martensitic state and an	the Nitinol coil from the catheter and, where the
austenitic state,	position of the coil needs to be adjusted,
	"withdrawing the guide wire" such that the coil is
	pulled back into the catheter for repositioning within
	the vessel. 261. As the coil is drawn back into the
	catheter for repositioning, the coil is at body
	temperature (above A_F) and is stressed by the catheter
	into its deformed, martensitic shape (stress-induced
	martensitic state). <i>Id.</i> Upon extrusion back out of the
	catheter, the coil remains at body temperature, the
	stress applied by the catheter is removed, and the coil
	inherently reverts back to its austenitic state (i.e.,
-	reversible transformation). ER, § II.
the memory alloy element	Cragg's Nitinol coil has a deformed shape where it is
having (i) a deformed	straight and pliable (a deformed martensitic shape).

shape when the alloy is in	261-62. For Cragg's coil, martensite is martensite
its stress induced	and there is no difference between martensite formed
martensitic state and (ii) a	by changing stress and martensite formed changing
different unstressed shape	temperature. ER, § II. Nevertheless, as discussed
when the alloy is in its	above, Cragg discloses that its coil is deformed by
austenitic state; and	stress to its martensitic shape. 261. Cragg's coil also
	has an original, memorized coil (a different,
	unstressed austenitic shape). 261-62.
(c) a guide wire;	Cragg discloses that its Nitinol coil is "fastened to a
	threaded guiding wire." 261.
the memory alloy element	Cragg discloses that its Nitinol coil is positioned
being within the hollow	within the catheter (hollow placement device) for
placement device, and	delivery into a blood vessel. 261-62.
the placement device	Cragg discloses a guide wire threaded through the
being guidable by the	catheter, thereby enabling the guide wire to guide the
guide wire,	catheter through a blood vessel. 261.
the hollow placement	Cragg's Nitinol coil in its austenitic state has a
device stressing the	diameter large enough to maintain vessel patency
memory alloy element at	(e.g., 11mm), while a standard 10-F catheter
a temperature greater than	(diameter 3.3mm) is used to permit insertion into the
the A_S of the alloy so that	blood vessel. 261-62. Accordingly, Cragg's catheter
the memory alloy element	is dimensioned to stress the Nitinol coil where it is
is in its deformed shape,	pulled back into the catheter from the blood vessel
	and deformed to its martensitic state. 261; ER, § II.
	As discussed above, this occurs at body temperature,
	just above the coil's A_F and inherently above the
	coil's A _S . ER, § II.
wherein the memory alloy	Cragg's Nitinol coil is "fastened to a threaded guiding
element can be extruded	wire to allow accurate placement after being
from the hollow	deposited in the aorta" (i.e., the coil is extruded from
placement device by the	the catheter by the guide wire). 261. As discussed
guide wire at a	above, Cragg discloses that the coil is stressed into its
temperature greater than	deformed martensitic state as it is withdrawn into the
the A_S of the alloy to	catheter for repositioning in the blood vessel. Id.
transform at least a	Upon extrusion back out of the catheter in the blood
portion of the alloy from	vessel at body temperature (above A_S), the stress of
its stress-induced	the catheter is removed and the coil transforms back
martensitic state so that	to its unstressed austenitic state. Id.; ER, § II.
the memory alloy element	
transforms from its	

deformed shape to its		
unstressed shape,		
and wherein the alloy is	As discussed above, Cragg's Nitinol coil will be	
selected so that the	above its A_F (36° C) when it is in the catheter in the	
transformation can occur	blood vessel. As the coil is extruded from the	
without any change in	catheter (i.e., the stress is removed) for repositioning,	
temperature of the	the coil will transform from its stressed, martensitic	
placement device or the	state to its unstressed, austenitic shape without a	
memory alloy element.	change in temperature of the coil or catheter (the coil	
	remains at body temperature). 261; ER, § II.	
2. The device of claim 1	Cragg discloses that its Nitinol wire coil graft "could	
wherein the memory alloy	be used as [a] stent[] to maintain vessel patency."	
element is a stent.	262.	
3. The device of claim 2,	As noted above, Cragg discloses that its Nitinol coil is	
including a guide wire for	"fastened to a threaded guiding wire to allow accurate	
endarterial placement of a	placement" 261.	
stent graft.		
4. The invention of claim	As described above, Cragg's Nitinol coil is	
1 wherein the	transformed from its martensitic state to its austenitic	
transformation occurs	state by stress. The coil remains at or above its A_F	
without any change in the	and no change in state of the catheter (placement	
state of the placement	device) is required to cause the transformation. ER, §	
device.	II.	
5. The device of claim 1,	As detailed above in regard to Claim 1, Cragg	
wherein the hollow	discloses a hollow placement device in the form of a	
placement device is a	catheter. P. 261-62.	
catheter.		
18. A medical device	Non-limiting preamble. However, Petitioner again	
comprising:	notes that Cragg discloses a Nitinol wire coil graft	
	designed for insertion into a human blood vessel.	
	261-262.	
(a) a wire stent	Cragg discloses a Nitinol wire coil configured for use	
	as a stent. 261-62.	
formed at least partly	The Nitinol alloy used to form Cragg's coil is a	
from a pseudoelastic	known pseudoelastic shape-memory alloy, as	
shape memory alloy,	recognized in the Expert Report. ER, § II.	
the alloy displaying	Cragg's Nitinol coil has a transformation temperature	
reversible stress-induced	(A_F) "at or near body temperature" and, in one	
martensite at about	embodiment, the coil has an A_F of 36° C. 261-62;	

human body temperature	ER, § II. Cragg also discloses that its Nitinol coil is	
such as it has a deformed	precisery praced within a blood vesser by extruding	
shape when the alloy is in	the Nitinol coll from the catheter and, where the	
its stress induced	position of the coil needs to be adjusted,	
martensitic state and a	withdrawing the guide wire" such that the coil is	
different unstressed shape	pulled back into the catheter for repositioning within	
when the alloy is in its	the vessel. 261. As the coil is drawn back into the	
austenitic state; and	catheter for repositioning, the coil is at body	
	temperature (above A_F) and is stressed by the catheter	
	into its deformed, martensitic shape (stress-induced	
	martensitic state). Id. Upon extrusion back out of the	
	catheter, the coil remains at body temperature, the	
	stress applied by the catheter is removed, and the coil	
	inherently reverts back to its different, unstressed coil	
	austenitic state (i.e., reversible transformation). <i>Id</i> .;	
	ER, § II.	
(b) a restraint stressing	Cragg discloses a restraint in the form of a catheter.	
the wire stent at a	261-62. Cragg's Nitinol coil in its austenitic state has	
temperature greater than	a diameter large enough to maintain vessel patency	
the A_S of the alloy so that	(e.g., 11mm), while a standard 10-F catheter	
the wire stent is in its	(diameter 3.3mm) is used to permit insertion into the	
deformed shape,	blood vessel. 261-62. Accordingly, Cragg's catheter	
	is dimensioned to stress the Nitinol coil where it is	
	pulled back into the catheter from the blood vessel	
	and deformed to its martensitic state. 261; ER, § II.	
	As discussed above, this occurs at body temperature,	
	just above the coil's A_F and inherently above the	
	coil's A _S . ER, § II.	
wherein the stent can be	Cragg discloses that the coil is stressed into its	
disengaged from the	deformed martensitic state as it is withdrawn into the	
restraint upon placement	catheter for repositioning in the blood vessel. 261.	
in a human so that the	Upon extrusion back out of the catheter in the blood	
stent transforms from its	vessel at body temperature (above A_s), the stress of	
deformed shape to its	the catheter is removed and the coil inherently	
unstressed shape, and	transforms back to its unstressed austenitic state. <i>Id</i> .:	
1 2	ER, § II.	
wherein the alloy is	As discussed above, Cragg's Nitinol coil will be	
selected so that the	above its A_F (36° C) when it is in the catheter in the	
transformation can occur	blood vessel. As the coil is extruded from the	
without any change in	catheter (i.e., the stress is removed) for repositioning,	

temperature of the	the coil will transform from its stressed, martensitic	
restraint or the wire stent.	state to its unstressed, austenitic shape without a	
	change in temperature of the coil or catheter (the coil	
	remains at body temperature). 261; ER, § II.	
19. The device of claim 6,	As noted above, Cragg discloses "the Nitinol coils	
11, 15, 16 or 18,	were fastened to a threaded guiding wire to allow	
including a guidewire for	accurate placement" within a blood vessel (endarterial	
endarterial placement of	placement of the coil stent). Cragg, pp. 261.	
the stent.		
20. The device of claim	As described above, Cragg's Nitinol coil is	
15, 16, or 18, wherein the	transformed from its martensitic state to its austenitic	
transformation of the	state by stress. The coil remains at or above its A_F	
alloy occurs without any	and no change in state of the catheter (placement	
change in state of the	device) is required to cause the transformation. ER, §	
restraint.	II.	
21. The device of claim 1,	Cragg discloses that the restraint may be a 10-F	
15, 16, or 18, wherein the	catheter. Cragg, p. 262.	
restraint is a catheter.		
22. The device of Claim	Cragg discloses a Nitinol wire coil configured for use	
1, 11, 15 or 18 wherein	as a stent. Cragg, 261-62.	
the stent is a coil stent.		

G. Claims 1-5 and 18-22 are Obvious In View of Miyauchi & Cragg under § 103(a)

Miyauchi was <u>not</u> considered during prosecution of the 141 patent. Miyauchi was publicly disclosed on Mar. 18, 1983 and qualifies as prior art under § 102(a). Miyauchi discloses a Nitinol manipulation tool (12) for insertion into a human body through an endoscope sheath (10). Miyauchi, p. 1, Fig. 1. In its martensitic state, the Nitinol tool (12) has a deformed shape "long in the lengthwise direction" for movement through the sheath (10). *See id.* at 3. In its unstressed austenitic state, the Nitinol tool (12) reverts to a basket forceps configuration for removing body calculi. *Id.* at 2-3, Fig. 1. Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,306,141 Filed May 6, 2013



Miyauchi Figure 1

Miyauchi's Nitinol tool (12) is inherently capable of exhibiting the same behavior as the medical device recited in Claims 1-10 and 18-22. Miyauchi specifically discloses that its Nitinol tool's martensitic state can be reached by changing temperature or stress. *Id.* at 1-3. The Nitinol tool (12) can be stress-induced to its martensitic state at body temperature by being pulled into its sheath (10) when inside a body cavity, and returned to its original, austenitic state upon extrusion from the sheath (10) within the body cavity. *Id.* at 3. Accordingly, the Nitinol tool is clearly capable of exhibiting stress-induced martensite at body temperature.

Both Miyauchi and Cragg relate to shape memory alloy elements used in body cavities. A person of ordinary skill would have found it obvious to utilize the teachings of Miyauchi for the placement of a coil stent disclosed in Cragg. A detailed chart identifying where each limitation of the IPR Claims is disclosed in Miyauchi and Cragg follows. Page numbers referenced in this claim chart refer to the English translation of Miyauchi unless otherwise indicated.

141 Patent: Claims 1-5 & 18-22	Miyauchi (Exh. 1006) + Cragg (Exh. 1005)
1. A medical device for insertion into a mammalian body, the device comprising	Non-limiting preamble. However, Miyauchi is directed to a manipulation tool (12) for insertion into a human body cavity through an endoscope sheath (10). P. 1.
(a) a hollow placement device;	Miyauchi discloses a hollow placement device in the form of a hollow cylindrical sheath (10). P. 2, Fig. 1.
(b) a memory alloy element	Miyauchi discloses a memory alloy element in the form of a manipulation tool (12) made from a shape- memory alloy, such as Nitinol (Ti-Ni). P. 2-3; Fig. 1.
formed at least partly from pseudoelastic shape-memory,	Miyauchi teaches that the Nitinol forming the manipulation tool (12) exhibits "pseudoelasticity." P. 3.
the alloy displaying reversible stress-induced martensite at about body temperature such that it has a stress-induced martensitic state and an austenitic state,	Miyauchi teaches that the Nitinol tool's martensitic state can be reached by changing temperature or stress. P. 1-3. The Nitinol tool (12) can be stress- induced to its martensitic state at body temperature by being pulled into its sheath (10) when inside a body cavity. P. 3. The Nitinol tool (12) can then be returned to its original, austenitic state upon extrusion from the sheath (10) within the body cavity (i.e., reversible transformation). <i>Id.</i> ; Fig. 1.
the memory alloy element having (i) a deformed shape when the alloy is in its stress induced martensitic state and (ii) a different unstressed shape when the alloy is in its austenitic state; and	In its martensitic state, the Nitinol tool (12) has a deformed shape "long in the lengthwise direction." P. 3. Miyauchi discloses that this deformed, martensitic shape can be reached by applying stress (i.e., stress-induced). <i>Id</i> . The Nitinol tool (12) has a different shape—basket forceps—in its unstressed, austenitic state. <i>Id</i> ; Fig. 1.
(c) a guide wire;	Miyauchi shows that the Nitinol tool (12) is guided through the sheath (10) and it would have been obvious to use a guide wire. Fig. 1. For example, Cragg discloses use of a guiding wire for guiding an intraluminal Nitinol coil. Cragg, 261.
the memory alloy element being within the	Miyauchi's Nitinol tool (12) is positioned within the sheath (10) for insertion into a body cavity. P. 2-3;

hollow placement device, and	Fig. 1.
the placement device being guidable by the guide wire,	Cragg discloses use of a guiding wire and it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill to use such a guiding wire to place Miyauchi's endoscope sheath (10) in a blood vessel. Cragg, 261; P. 2-3.
the hollow placement device stressing the memory alloy element at a temperature greater than the A_s of the alloy so that the memory alloy element is in its deformed shape,	As discussed above, Miyauchi's Nitinol tool (12) can be pulled into the sheath (10) within a body a cavity such that the sheath (10) will apply stress to the Nitinol tool (12) and transform it to its longer martensitic state. Miyauchi also discloses that the Nitinol tool (12) has a transformation temperature in one embodiment of 30° C ($A_F = 30^\circ$ C). P. 3. When the Nitinol tool (12) is stressed by the sheath (10) to its deformed martensitic state within a body cavity, the transformation inherently occurs at body temp above the tool's A_F (and above A_S). ER, § II.
wherein the memory alloy element can be extruded from the hollow placement device by the guide wire at a temperature greater than the A_S of the alloy to transform at least a portion of the alloy from its stress-induced martensitic state so that the memory alloy element transforms from its deformed shape to its unstressed shape,	When Miyauchi's Nitinol tool (12) is extruded from the sheath (10) within the body cavity, the stress applied to the tool (12) by the sheath (10) is removed and the tool (12) transforms from its deformed martensitic state (stress-induced by the sheath (10) above A_S) to its unstressed, austenitic shape. P. 3. This transformation back to austenite occurs above the tool's A_S (e.g., at body temperature) and Miyauchi makes clear the tool (12) can withstand this manipulation "any number of times, so it has a long life." <i>Id</i> .
and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element.	As described above, the Nitinol tool's transformation from its martensitic state within the sheath (10) to its austenitic state within the body cavity occurs without any change in temperature of the sheath (10) or the tool (12). P. 3. Both the Nitinol tool (12) and sheath (10) remain at body temperature during the tool's transformation.

2. The device of claim 1	Cragg discloses that its Nitinol wire coil graft "could	
wherein the memory	be used as [a] stent[] to maintain vessel patency."	
alloy element is a stent.	Cragg, 262. It would have been obvious to a person	
	of ordinary skill to modify Miyauchi's apparatus for	
	use with a Nitinol stent as disclosed in Cragg.	
3. The device of claim 2,	Cragg discloses that its Nitinol coil is "fastened to a	
including a guide wire	threaded guiding wire to allow accurate placement" in	
for endarterial placement	blood vessel (endarterial placement). Cragg, 261.	
of a stent graft.	Cragg further discloses a Nitinol wire coil graft. Id.	
4. The invention of claim	As described above, transformation of Miyauchi's	
1 wherein the	Nitinol tool from its martensitic state within the	
transformation occurs	sheath (10) to its austenitic state within the body	
without any change in	cavity occurs due to a change in stress applied to the	
the state of the	tool (12) and does not require a change in state of the	
placement device.	sheath (10).	
5. The device of claim 1,	Miyauchi discloses a catheter in the form of its sheath	
wherein the hollow	(10), which is a cylindrical, hollow sheath having	
placement device is a	open ends and is composed of plastic. P. 2.	
catheter.		
18. A medical device	Non-limiting preamble. However, Miyauchi is	
comprising:	directed to a manipulation tool (12) for insertion into	
	a human body cavity through an endoscope. P. 1	
(a) a wire stent	Miyauchi discloses a Nitinol manipulation tool (12)	
	for insertion into a body cavity. P. 2-3; Fig. 1. Cragg	
	discloses a Nitinol wire coil configured for use as a	
	stent. Cragg, 261-62. It would have been obvious to	
	one of ordinary skill to modify Miyauchi's apparatus	
	for use with a wire stent as disclosed in Cragg.	
formed at least partly	Miyauchi discloses that its Nitinol manipulation tool	
from a pseudoelastic	(12) exhibits "pseduoelastiticy." P. 3. Cragg's coil is	
shape memory alloy,	also formed from Nitinol. Cragg, 261.	
the alloy displaying	Miyauchi states that the Nitinol tool's martensitic	
reversible stress-induced	state can be reached by changing temperature or	
martensite at about	stress. P. 1-3. The Nitinol tool (12) can be stress-	
human body temperature	induced to its martensitic state at body temperature by	
such as it has a deformed	being pulled into its sheath (10) when inside a body	
shape when the alloy is	cavity. P. 3. The Nitinol tool (12) can then be	
in its stress induced	returned to its original, austenitic state upon	
martensitic state and a	extraction from the sheath (10) within the body cavity	

different unstressed	(i.e., reversible transformation). <i>Id.</i> ; Fig. 1. Cragg's		
shape when the alloy is	Nitinol coil inherently exhibits the same behavior and		
in its austenitic state; and	Cragg discloses deforming the coil to its martensitic		
	state via stress. Cragg, 261; see discussion of Claim 1		
(b) a restraint stressing	Miyauchi discloses a restraint in the form of an		
the wire stent at a	endoscope sheath (10). P. 2. Fig. 1. Mivauchi		
temperature greater than	discloses pulling its Nitinol tool (12) into the sheath		
the A_s of the alloy so	(10) within a body a cavity such that the sheath (10)		
that the wire stent is in	stresses the Nitinol tool (12) and transforms it to its		
its deformed shape.	longer martensitic state. Mivauchi also discloses that		
······································	the Nitinol tool (12) has a transformation temperature		
	in one embodiment of 30° C ($A_F = 30^\circ$ C). P. 3.		
	When the Nitinol tool (12) is stressed by the sheath		
	(10) to its deformed martensitic state within a body		
	cavity, the transformation inherently occurs at body		
	temp above the tool's A_F (and above A_S). ER. § II.		
	The sheath (10) would obviously function in the same		
	way when used with Cragg's Nitinol coil.		
wherein the stent can be	When Miyauchi's Nitinol tool (12) is extruded from		
disengaged from the	the sheath (10) within the body cavity, the stress		
restraint upon placement	applied to the tool (12) by the sheath (10) is removed		
in a human so that the	and the tool (12) transforms from its deformed		
stent transforms from its	martensitic state (stress-induced by the sheath (10)		
deformed shape to its	above A_s) to its unstressed, austenitic shape. P. 3.		
unstressed shape, and	The same transformation would obviously take place		
	with Cragg's Nitinol coil. ER, § II		
wherein the alloy is	As described above, the Nitinol tool's transformation		
selected so that the	from its martensitic state within the sheath (10) to its		
transformation can occur	austenitic state within the body cavity occurs without		
without any change in	any change in temperature of the sheath (10) or the		
temperature of the	tool (12). P. 3. Both the Nitinol tool (12) and sheath		
restraint or the wire	(10) remain at body temperature during the tool's		
stent.	transformation, and the same would be obviously be		
	true in transforming Cragg's Nitinol coil. ER, § II		
19. The device of claim	Cragg discloses use of a guiding wire and it would		
6, 11, 15, 16 or 18,	have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill to use		
including a guide wire	such a guiding wire to place Miyauchi's endoscope		
for endarterial placement	sheath (10) in a blood vessel. Cragg, 261; P. 2-3.		
of the stent.			

20. The device of claim	As described above, transformation of Miyauchi's	
15, 16, or 18, wherein	Nitinol tool from its martensitic state within the	
the transformation of the	sheath (10) to its austenitic state within the body	
alloy occurs without any	cavity occurs due to a change in stress applied to the	
change in state of the	tool (12) and does not require a change in state of the	
restraint.	sheath (10). The same would be obviously be true in	
	transforming Cragg's Nitinol coil. ER, § II	
21. The device of claim	Miyauchi discloses a catheter in the form of its sheath	
1, 15, 16, or 18, wherein	(10), which is a cylindrical, hollow sheath having	
the restraint is a catheter.	open ends and is composed of plastic. P. 2. Cragg	
	also discloses use of a catheter. 261-62.	
22. The device of Claim	Cragg discloses a Nitinol wire coil configured for use	
1, 11, 15 or 18 wherein	as a stent. Cragg, 261-62.	
the stent is a coil stent.		

H. Claims 6-10 are Obvious in view of Dotter & Miyauchi under § 103(a)

As discussed above, Dotter discloses a Nitinol coil (10) having an A_F temperature that "can be manipulated over a wide range." Dotter, 3:21-28. In view of Miyauchi's teaching of using stress to transform a medical device for use in a human body, i.e., its Nitinol tool (12), between its austenitic and martensitic states, a person of ordinary skill would have found it obvious to alloy Dotter's coil (10) to have an AF of room temperature such that it would exhibit stress-induced martensite at a temperature below body temperature. *Id.* at 5:5-20 (discussion of insertion of coil (10) into catheter (16) at room temp); Miyauchi, p. 3 (discussion of SIM).

A detailed chart identifying where each feature of Claims 6-10 is disclosed

in Dotter and Miyauchi follows.

141 patent: Claims 6-10	Dotter (Exh. 1004) + Miyauchi (Exh. 1006)
6. A medical device which comprises:	Non-limiting preamble. Petitioner notes that Dotter discloses a graft prosthesis medical device as discussed above. 2:36-38.
(a) a stent for endarterial placement within a human body so that the stent is substantially at human body temperature,	Dotter discloses a Nitinol coil (10) for use as a stent for "expanding partially occluded segments of a blood vessel passageway" (endarterial placement within a human body), where the coil (10) would be at body temperature. 3:15-43; 5:29-47.
the stent comprising a shape memory alloy	Dotter's coil (10) is formed from a "shape memory Nitinol alloy." 3:17-21; 5:5-20.
which displays stress induced martensite behavior at body temperature; and	Dotter teaches that the transition temperature of its Nitinol coil "can be manipulated over a wide range" and that such manipulation is well known to those skilled in the art. 3:21-28; ER, § II. It would have been an obvious design choice to select a room temperature A_F so the Nitinol coil (10) will be austenitic at room temperature. In such an embodiment, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in view of Miyauchi that Dotter's Nitinol coil (10) can inherently exhibit stress-induced martensite behavior at body temperature (above A_F) upon application of stress. 5: 21-30; Miyauchi, p. 3 (discussion of SIM); ER, § II; <i>see also discussion of</i> <i>Claim 1</i> .
(b) a restraint holding the stent in a deformed configuration at a temperature less than the body temperature of the human for endarterial positioning of the stent	Dotter's catheter (16) (restraint) is used for endarterial positioning of the Nitinol coil (10) in the human body. 4:18-24; Figs. 3-6. In Dotter's $A_F =$ room temperature embodiment, the Nitinol coil (10) is inserted into the catheter (16) at room temperature (i.e., below body temp) in its austenitic shape (wide diameter coil) for delivery into the body 3:8-24: Fig

within the human body	3; ER, § II. It would have been obvious to a person
in its deformed	of ordinary skill in view of Miyauchi that the catheter
configuration, the	(16) can deform the coil (10) through stress from its
deformation occurring	austenitic shape to its martensitic shape and
through stress induced	inherently hold the coil (10) in this deformed
martensite;	configuration by stress. 5:5-20 (discussion of
,	insertion of coil (10) into catheter (16) at room temp);
	Miyauchi, p. 3 (discussion of SIM); ER, § II.
wherein the stent is	When the deformed Nitinol coil (10) is extruded from
sufficiently deformed	the catheter (16) at body temperature (above the coil's
that when the stent is at	room temp A_F), the coil (10) reverts to its undeformed
human body temperature	coil configuration without a change in temperature
removal of the restraint	due to the release of the stress applied by the catheter
of the stent, without	(16). Miyauchi, p. 3; ER, § II; see also discussion of
change in temperature of	Claim 1.
the device, releases at	
least a portion of the	
stent from its deformed	
configuration	
7. A device as claimed in	Dotter's catheter (16) is hollow (hollow restraint) and
6, in which the restraint	its Nitinol coil (10) is configured for delivery through
is hollow, and the stent	the catheter (16) (stent partially within restraint). 4:8-
is positioned at least	24; Figs. 3-4.
partially within the	
restraint.	
8. A device as claimed in	Dotter discloses a restraint in the form of a catheter
claim 6 or 7, in which	(16) as detailed above. 4:8-24; Figs. 3-6.
the restraint is a catheter.	
9. A device as claimed in	Dotter's Nitinol coil (10) has a transverse dimension
claim 6 or 7, in which	and a longitudinal dimension. Figs. 1-2. The coil
the stent has a transverse	(10) is deformed by its transverse dimension being
dimension and a	reduced (transforming from a large diameter coil in
longitudinal dimension,	the austenitic state to a narrower profile in the
wherein the stent is	martensitic state). 3:29-63. As detailed above, it
deformed by its	would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill
transverse dimension	in view of Miyauchi that Dotter's catheter (16) is
being reduced, and	dimensioned to prevent transverse expansion of the
wherein the restraint	Nitinol coil (10) from its martensitic state to its
prevents transverse	austenitic state when the coil (10) is positioned within
expansion of the stent.	the catheter (16). Miyauchi p.3; discussion of Claim 1

10. The device of claim	In embodiments where the Nitinol coil (10) has an A_F
6, wherein the shape	equivalent to room temperature, the coil (10) will
memory alloy is	inherently be held in its deformed martensitic state by
sufficiently deformed	stress as it passes through the catheter (16) at body
that removal of the	temperature. See discussion of Claim 6; 5:21-30;
restraint from the shape	Miyauchi, p. 3; ER, § II Upon extrusion from the
memory alloy releases at	catheter (16) (i.e., removal of restraint), the coil (10)
least a portion of the	will revert back to its unstressed austenitic state. Id.
shape memory alloy	This transformation occurs without any change in
element from its	state of the catheter (16) (restraint).
deformed configuration	
without change in state	
of restraint.	

I. The IPR Claims are Invalid For Obvious-Type Double Patenting

As indicated above, the Petitioner also requests invalidation of The IPR Claims for obviousness-type double patenting in view of claims of the '378 patent. The term of the '378 patent ended on May 19, 2004, by operation of a terminal disclaimer. 141 History, Terminal Disclaimer dated Mar. 14, 1995. The 141 patent issued on October 23, 2001. The nominal term of the 141 patent extends for seventeen years from issuance of the patent (October 23, 2018) and has been extended under § 156 for an additional 1,270 days (i.e., until April 15, 2022), based upon regulatory review of a corresponding product by the Food and Drug Administration. Patent Term Extension Certificate, July 5, 2011.

The 141 patent and the '378 patent are commonly assigned to Medtronic, Inc. and both patents have the same sole inventor, Dr. James Jervis. Because the IPR Claims are obvious variants of claims in the'378 patent, and because the 141 patent claims are not subject to safe harbor under 35 U.S.C. § 121, the term of the 141 patent beyond May 19, 2004 is an unlawful extension of the patentee's exclusive rights, and The IPR Claims are therefore invalid.

i. The IPR Claims Are Obvious Variants of Claims in the '378 Patent

A later claim that is not patentably distinct from an earlier claim in a commonly owned patent is invalid for obviousness-type double patenting. *Eli Lilly* & *Co. v. Barr Labs.*, 251 F.3d 955, 968 (Fed. Cir. 2001). Where a later claim is obvious over, or anticipated by, an earlier claim, the later claim is not patentably distinct. *Id.* As detailed in the following claim chart, to the extent the language of the IPR Claims differs from the '378 patent claims, the differences amount to nothing more than obvious variations of the '378 patent claims. In certain instances, the claims are simply obvious variants of two prior art references incorporated by reference in the 141 patent's specification: (i) Dotter et al., Transluminal Expandable Nitinol Coil Stent Grafting: Preliminary Report, 147 Radiology 259-260 (herein "Dotter Article," attached as **Exhibit 1018**) and (ii) the aforementioned Cragg reference. *See* 141 patent, 9:14-52.

Claim 1 of the 141 patent is an obvious variant of '378 Claim 34; 141 Claims 2 and 3 are obvious variants in view of the Dotter Article and Cragg; 141 Claim 4 is an obvious variant of '378 Claim 20; 141 Claim 5 is an obvious variant of '378 Claim 3; 141 Claim 6 is an obvious variant of '378 Claim 1; 141 Claim 7 is an obvious variant of '378 Claim 2; 141 Claim 8 is an obvious variant of '378 Claim 3; 141 Claim 9 is an obvious variant of '378 Claim 7; 141 Claim 10 is an obvious variant of '378 Claim 8; 141 Claim 18 is an obvious variant of '378 Claim 15; 141 Claim 19 is an obvious variant in view of Cragg; 141 Claim 20 is an obvious variant of '378 Claim 20; 141 Claim 21 is an obvious variant of '378 Claim 20; and 141 Claim 22 is an obvious variant in view of the Dotter Article. To assist the Board in assessing the double patenting issues between the 141 patent and the '378 patent, Petitioners have also provided a video presentation by expert Mr. Russell illustrating the similarities between the devices claimed in each patent. Double Patenting Video Presentation by Scott M. Russell (**Exhibit 1017**).

141 Claim 1	³⁷⁸ Claim 34
1. A medical device for insertion into	34. A medical device for treatment of a
a mammalian body, the device	human body, the device comprising:
comprising:	(b) a hollow tubular restraining
(a) a hollow placement device;	member
(b) a memory alloy element formed	(a) a memory alloy element formed at
at least partly from pseudoelastic	least partly from a pseudoelastic
shape-memory alloy, the alloy	shape-memory alloy, the alloy
displaying reversible stress-induced	displaying reversible stress-induced
martensite at about body	martensite at about human body
temperature such that it has a	temperature such that it has a stress-
stress-induced martensitic state	induced martensitic state and an
and an austenitic state, the memory	austenitic state, the memory alloy
alloy element having (i) a deformed	element having (i) a deformed shape
shape when the alloy is in its stress-	when the alloy is in its stress-induced
induced martensitic state and (ii) a	martensitic state and (ii) a different
different unstressed shape when the	unstressed shape;
alloy is in its austenitic state; and	
(c) a guide wire;	(b) a hollow tubular restraining member
the memory alloy element being	with the memory alloy element being

within the hollow placement device.	within the restraining member, the
and the placement device being	restraining member engaging and
guidable by the guide wire, the	stressing the memory alloy element at
hollow placement device stressing	a temperature greater than the A_s of
the memory alloy element at a	the alloy so that the memory alloy
temperature greater than the A _s of	element is in its deformed shape;
the alloy so that the memory alloy	
element is in its deformed shape,	
wherein the memory alloy element	wherein the memory alloy element is
can be extruded from the hollow	axially slidable within the tube, and
placement device by the guide wire	wherein the memory alloy element can
at a temperature greater than the	be extruded completely out of the tube
A _s of the alloy to transform at least	for deployment in the mammalian body
a portion of the alloy from its	to transform at least a portion of the
stress-induced martensitic state so	alloy from its stress-induced
that the memory alloy element	martensitic state towards its austenitic
transforms from its deformed	state at a temperature greater than the
shape to its unstressed shape, and	A _s of the alloy so that the memory
	alloy element transforms from its
	deformed shape toward its unstressed
	_
	shape, and
	shape, and
wherein the alloy is selected so that	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element.	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element.
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element. 141 Claims 2 and 3	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element. Prior Art: Dotter Article & Cragg
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element. 141 Claims 2 and 3 2. The device of claim 1 wherein the	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element. Prior Art: Dotter Article & Cragg "A method is described for the provide the temperature of temperature of temperature of temperature of the temperature of the temperature of the temperature of te
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element. 141 Claims 2 and 3 2. The device of claim 1 wherein the memory alloy element is a stent.	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element. Prior Art: Dotter Article & Cragg "A method is described for the percutaneous catheter placement of currendable Nitingl agil starts"
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element. <u>141 Claims 2 and 3</u> 2. The device of claim 1 wherein the memory alloy element is a stent.	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element. Prior Art: Dotter Article & Cragg "A method is described for the percutaneous catheter placement of expandable Nitinol coil stents"
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element. 141 Claims 2 and 3 2. The device of claim 1 wherein the memory alloy element is a stent.	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element. Prior Art: Dotter Article & Cragg "A method is described for the percutaneous catheter placement of expandable Nitinol coil stents" Dotter Article, 259.
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element. <u>141 Claims 2 and 3</u> 2. The device of claim 1 wherein the memory alloy element is a stent.	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element. Prior Art: Dotter Article & Cragg "A method is described for the percutaneous catheter placement of expandable Nitinol coil stents" Dotter Article, 259.
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element. <u>141 Claims 2 and 3</u> 2. The device of claim 1 wherein the memory alloy element is a stent. 3. The device of claim 2, including a guida wire for endertarial placement	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element. Prior Art: Dotter Article & Cragg "A method is described for the percutaneous catheter placement of expandable Nitinol coil stents" Dotter Article, 259. "The Nitinol coils were fastened to a threaded guiding wine to allow accurate.
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element. 141 Claims 2 and 3 2. The device of claim 1 wherein the memory alloy element is a stent. 3. The device of claim 2, including a guide wire for endarterial placement of the stent craft	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element. Prior Art: Dotter Article & Cragg "A method is described for the percutaneous catheter placement of expandable Nitinol coil stents" Dotter Article, 259. "The Nitinol coils were fastened to a threaded guiding wire to allow accurate placement of the percutaneous catheter placement of
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element. 141 Claims 2 and 3 2. The device of claim 1 wherein the memory alloy element is a stent. 3. The device of claim 2, including a guide wire for endarterial placement of the stent graft.	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element. Prior Art: Dotter Article & Cragg "A method is described for the percutaneous catheter placement of expandable Nitinol coil stents" Dotter Article, 259. "The Nitinol coils were fastened to a threaded guiding wire to allow accurate placement after being deposited in the percutaneous catheter being deposited in th
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element. 141 Claims 2 and 3 2. The device of claim 1 wherein the memory alloy element is a stent. 3. The device of claim 2, including a guide wire for endarterial placement of the stent graft.	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element. Prior Art: Dotter Article & Cragg "A method is described for the percutaneous catheter placement of expandable Nitinol coil stents" Dotter Article, 259. "The Nitinol coils were fastened to a threaded guiding wire to allow accurate placement after being deposited in the aorta." Cragg, 261
wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the placement device or the memory alloy element. 141 Claims 2 and 3 2. The device of claim 1 wherein the memory alloy element is a stent. 3. The device of claim 2, including a guide wire for endarterial placement of the stent graft.	shape, and wherein the alloy is selected so that the transformation can occur without any change in temperature of the restraining member or the memory alloy element. Prior Art: Dotter Article & Cragg "A method is described for the percutaneous catheter placement of expandable Nitinol coil stents" Dotter Article, 259. "The Nitinol coils were fastened to a threaded guiding wire to allow accurate placement after being deposited in the aorta." Cragg, 261

141 Claim 4	³⁷⁸ Claim 20
4. The invention of claim 1 wherein	20. The invention of claim 10, 13 or 15
the transformation occurs without	wherein the transformation of the
any change in the state of the	alloy occurs without any change in the
placement device.	state of the restraining member.
141 Claim 5	'378 Claim 3
5. The device of claim 1, wherein the	3. A device as claimed in claim 2, in
hollow placement device is a	which the restraint is a catheter.
catheter.	
141 Claim 6	'378 Claim 1
6. A medical device which	1. A medical device which comprises:
comprises:	(a) an element for use within a human
(a) a stent for endarterial	body or in such proximity to a human
placement within a human body so	body that the device is substantially at
that the stent is substantially at	human body temperature, the element
human body temperature, the stent	comprising a shape memory alloy
comprising a shape memory alloy	which displays a stress-induced
which displays stress-induced	martensite behavior at body
martensite behavior at body	temperature; and
temperature; and	
(b) a restraint holding the stent in a	(b) a restraint holding the shape
deformed configuration at a	memory alloy element in a deformed
temperature less than the body	configuration at a temperature less
temperature of the human for	than the body temperature of the
endarterial positioning of the stent	human for positioning the shape
within the human body in its	memory alloy element within or in
deformed configuration, the	proximity to the human body in its
deformation occurring through the	deformed configuration, the
formation of stress-induced	deformation occurring through the
martensite;	formation of stress-induced
	martensite;
wherein the stent is sufficiently	wherein the shape memory alloy
deformed that when the stent is at	element is sufficiently deformed that
human body temperature removal	when the shape memory alloy element is
of the restraint from the stent,	at human body temperature removal
without change in temperature of	of the restraint from the shape memory
the device, releases at least a	alloy element, without change in

portion of the stent from its	temperature of the device, releases at
deformed configuration.	least a portion of the shape memory
_	alloy element from its deformed
	configuration.
141 Claim 7	³⁷⁸ Claim 2
7. A device as claimed in 6, in	2. A device as claimed in claim 1, in
which the restraint is hollow, and	which the restraint is hollow, and the
the stent is positioned at least	shape memory alloy element is
partially within the restraint.	positioned at least partially within the
	restraint.
141 Claim 8	³⁷⁸ Claim 3
8. A device as claimed in claim 6 or	3. A device as claimed in claim 2, in
7, in which the restraint is a	which the restraint is a catheter.
catheter.	
141 Claim 9	`378 Claim 7
9. A device as claimed in claim 6 or	7. A device as claimed in claim 2, in
7, in which the stent has a	which the shape memory alloy element
transverse dimension and a	has a transverse dimension and a
longitudinal dimension, and	longitudinal dimension, and wherein
wherein the stent is deformed by its	the shape memory alloy element is
transverse dimension being	deformed by its transverse dimension
reduced, and wherein the restraint	being reduced, and wherein the
prevents transverse expansion of	restraint prevents transverse
the stent.	expansion of the element.
141 Claim 10	³⁷⁸ Claim 8
10. The device of claim 6, wherein	8. The device of claim 1, wherein the
the shape memory alloy element is	shape memory alloy element is
sufficiently deformed that removal	sufficiently deformed that removal of
of the restraint from the shape	the restraint from the shape memory
memory alloy releases at least a	alloy releases at least a portion of the
portion of the shape alloy element	shape memory alloy element from its
from its deformed configuration	deformed configuration without
without change in state of the	change in state of the restraint.
restraint.	
141 Claim 18	³⁷⁸ Claim 15
18. A medical device comprising:	15. A medical device the device
(a) a wire stent formed at least	comprising:
partly from a pseudoelastic shape	(i) a restraining member and (ii) a
memory alloy, the alloy displaying	hollow catheter formed at least party

reversible stress-induced	from a pseudoelastic shape-memory
martensite at about human body	alloy, the alloy displaying reversible
temperature such as it has a	stress-induced martensite at about
deformed shape when the alloy is in	human body temperature such that it
its stress-induced martensitic state	has a stress-induced martensitic state
and a different unstressed shape	and an austenitic state, the catheter
when the alloy is in its austenitic	having (i) an easily inserted shape when
state; and	the alloy is in its stress-induced
	martensitic state and (ii) a different
	unstressed shape when the alloy is in
	its austenitic state;
(b) a restraint stressing the wire stent	The restraining member engaging and
at a temperature greater than the	stressing the catheter at a temperature
A_s of the alloy so that the wire stent	greater than the A _s of the alloy so that
is in its deformed shape,	the catheter is in its easily inserted
	shape so that the catheter can be inserted
	into the mammalian body;
wherein the stent can be disengaged	wherein disengagement of the
from the restraint upon placement in	restraining member from the catheter at a
a human so that the stent transforms	temperature greater than the A _s of the
from its deformed shape to its	alloy transforms at least a portion of the
unstressed shape, and wherein the	alloy from its stress-induced martensitic
alloy is selected so that the	state to its austenitic state so that the
transformation can occur without	catheter transforms from its easily
any change in temperature of the	inserted shape toward its unstressed
restraint or the wire stent.	shape, and wherein the alloy is
	selected so that the transformation can
	occur without any change in
	temperature of the restraining member
	or the catheter.
141 Claim 19	Prior Art: Cragg
19. The device of claim 6, 11, 15, 16	"The Nitinol coils were fastened to a
or 18, including a guide wire for	threaded guiding wire to allow accurate
endarterial placement of the stent.	placement after being deposited in the
	aorta." Cragg at 261
141 Claim 20	'378 Claim 20
20. The device of claim 15, 16, or 18,	20. The invention of claim 10, 13 or 15
wherein the transformation of the	wherein the transformation of the
alloy occurs without any change in	alloy occurs without any change in the
state of the restraint.	state of the restraining member.

141 Claim 21	³⁷⁸ Claim 3
21. The device of claim 1, 15, 16, or	3. A device as claimed in claim 2, in
18, wherein the restraint is a	which the restraint is a catheter.
catheter.	
141 Claim 22	Prior Art: Dotter Article
141 Claim 22 22. The device of Claim 1, 11, 15 or	Prior Art: Dotter Article"A method is described for the
141 Claim 2222. The device of Claim 1, 11, 15 or18 wherein the stent is a coil stent.	Prior Art: Dotter Article"A method is described for the percutaneous catheter placement of
141 Claim 2222. The device of Claim 1, 11, 15 or18 wherein the stent is a coil stent.	Prior Art: Dotter Article "A method is described for the percutaneous catheter placement of expandable Nitinol coil stents"

ii. The IPR Claims Are Not Entitled to Safe Harbor

Under 35 U.S.C. § 121, safe harbor is only available for claims in a patent that was issued from a divisional application. *Amgen, Inc. v. F. Hoffmann-La Roche*, LTD, 580 F.3d 1340, 1353 (Fed. Cir. 2009), *reh'g and reh'g en banc denied*. As explained in detail below, the IPR Claims are not subject to safe harbor from double patenting under § 121 because the 141 patent stems from a continuation, rather than a divisional, application and none of he IPR Claims were ever subject to a final restriction requirement.

On June 7, 1995, Patent Application No. 08/483,291 ("the 141 application") was filed as a continuation application and was accompanied by a Preliminary Amendment amending the specification accordingly.⁵ On July 14, 1995, Applicant

⁵141 History, Transmittal dated June 7, 1995 (box for "continuation" is checked) and Preliminary Amendment dated June 7, 1995 (states "This application is a continuation . . ." at p. 1); *see also* '378 History, Amendment dated June 7, 1995

filed a document captioned, "Supplemental Preliminary Amendment," that requested the Examiner to amend the 141 application as a Divisional Application. However, the Applicant failed to amend either the specification or the Preliminary Amendment and failed to inform the Examiner exactly where and how the application should be amended in order to convert the application to a Divisional.⁶ In fact, the amendment was never formally entered and subsequent to July 14, 1995, both the Examiner and the Applicant considered the 141 application to be a Continuation Application, and never referred to it as a Divisional Application.⁷

(states at p. 6 "Applicant wishes to advise the Examiner that Applicant may file a continuation application that includes the 'A(90)' claims, as well as a claim comparable to claim 19 that is not limited to humans.").

⁶ 141 History, Supplemental Preliminary Amendment dated July 14, 1995; *see* 37 C.F.R. 1.121 ("Amendments in applications . . . are made by filing a paper, in compliance with § 1.52, directing that specified amendments be made"); *see also* MPEP 714 ("When a . . . section of the specification is to be amended, it should be wholly rewritten and the original insertion canceled.").

⁷ See e.g., 141 History, Appeal Brief dated June 18, 1998 (states at p. 1 "The application on appeal is a continuation. . . .").

On October 23, 2001, the 141 application issued as the 141 patent, and the patent specification and cover page identify the application as a continuation of the '378 application. Since October 23, 2001, at no time did the Applicant seek a certificate of correction in an attempt to amend the patent to reflect that the application should be converted to a divisional. Moreover, the PTO has not issued a certificate of correction as to the 141 patent. Accordingly, because the 141 patent issued from a continuation application, The IPR Claims are not entitled to safe harbor under 35 U.S.C. § 121. *See Amgen*, 580 F.3d at 1353.

In addition, Petitioner notes that none of Claims 1-10 or 18-22 were ever subject to a final restriction requirement. During prosecution of Patent Application No. 08/483,291("the '378 Application"), the Examiner issued an Office Action that included an election of species requirement stating the following:

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: where the shape memory alloy is an IUD, a stent graft, a blood filter[,] a catheter and a tracheal catheter. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently claims 12, 19, and 37 are generic. . . . Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise

include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.141.

'378 Patent File History, OA Feb. 22, 1993, p. 3 ("'378 History," Exhibit 1013).

Under the Feb. 22, 1993 Office Action, '378 application Claims 12, 19, and 37 are generic, as the Examiner noted, because the language in these claims concerning a shape memory alloy element is not limited to a particular type of medical device—such as an IUD, a stent graft, a blood filter, a catheter, or a tracheal catheter. '378 History, Pre. Amend., Oct. 2, 1992, pp. 5-19 and Response to OA Mar. 22, 1993 (Applicant provisionally elects catheter species, arguing no election was required between tracheal catheters and catheters, and stating: "the generic and species claim[s] directed to catheters are: 11-14, 17-21, 24-37, and 41-53."). The election of species requirement was issued pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.146 and contained no restriction requirement pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 1.142.

Generic Claims 12, 19, and 37, as well as the claims relating to the elected species, were all prosecuted and examined on the merits.⁸⁵ As shown in the Index of Claims in the '378 History, application Claims 12 and 37 were rejected by the Examiner, while generic Claim 19 was allowed. *See e.g.,* '378 History, OA's, Jun. 24, 1993; Mar. 7, 1994; and Oct. 31, 1994 and Notice of Allowability, July 25, 1995. Since generic Claim 19 was allowed, all species identified by the Examiner could be prosecuted for examination on the merits and indeed claims to the non-

elected species of IUDs and Blood Filters were examined and allowed as Claims 4 and 5 of the '378 patent.

Upon allowance of generic Claim 19, Medtronic could have pursued its claims directed to the stent graft species. Instead, Applicant authorized an Examiner's Amendment cancelling '378 application generic Claim 37 and species Claim 39, the latter being directed toward the stent graft species, in order to permit the application to issue without delay as the '378 patent. '378 History, Notice of Allowability July 25, 1995, pp. 2-3. Even after the Examiner's Amendment had been entered, the Applicant still had the opportunity to file an Amendment in the '378 application concerning the patentability of Claims 37 and 39, but did not do so. Id. at p. 2 ("Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to Applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 C.F.R. § 1.312."). Eschewing these options, Medtronic freely chose to pursue canceled Claims 37 and 39 in a new continuation application by filing corresponding Claims 1 and 2, respectively, in the 141 application.

In the '378 application, the Examiner never issued a restriction requirement that compelled the Applicant to cancel '378 application Claims 37 and 39 and refile them in a subsequent application in order to have them examined on the merits. Because the condition subsequent of the provisional election of species requirement was never satisfied, it was never finalized as a restriction requirement

57

and therefore no restriction ever occurred as to any of the non-elected species claims. Indeed, the '378 patent was issued with the generic claim that covers medical devices having a shape memory alloy element ('378 patent Claim 1), as well as with claims that cover the species of IUDs ('378 patent Claim 4) and Blood Filters ('378 patent Claim 5) that had not been elected for prosecution in response to the Examiner's election of species requirement. Indeed, none of the iPR Claims resulted from a restriction requirement entered by the PTO during the prosecution of the '378 application.

Even if claims were subject to a restriction requirement in a previous application, and even if those claims reappeared in a divisional application, a line of demarcation must be sufficiently clear from the earlier restriction requirement such that one can determine whether the claims allowed in the later patent maintain consonance with the earlier restricted claims in order to qualify for safe harbor under 35 U.S.C. § 121. *See Geneva Pharms., Inc. v. GlaxoSmithKline PLC*, 349 F.3d 1373, 1381 (Fed. Cir. 2003). Because '378 application generic Claim 19 was allowed, there was no restriction requirement as between the identified species, and thus no clear line of demarcation exists.

In view of the above, Petitioner submits that because the 141 patent issued from a continuation application; because the IPR Claims were never subject to a restriction requirement; and because there is no clear line of demarcation sufficient to establish whether the claims of the 141 patent maintain consonance even if they were subject to a restriction requirement, the IPR Claims are not eligible for safe harbor under § 121. Because the asserted claims of the 141 patent are obvious variants of claims in the '378 applications; and because no safe harbor exists under Section 121, the IPR Claims are invalid.

IX. <u>CONCLUSION</u>

For the foregoing reasons, *inter partes* review of the IPR Claims is respectfully requested, followed by the rejection of the claims on each of the bases detailed in this Request.

Respectfully Submitted,

By: /s/ Steven D. Hemminger

Steven D. Hemminger USPTO Reg. No. 30,755 ALSTON & BIRD LLP 275 Middlefield Road, Suite 150 Menlo Park, CA 94025-4008 Tel: 650-838-2029 Fax: 650-838-2001 Email: <u>steve.hemminger@alston.com</u>

<u>May 6, 2013</u> Date Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent No. 6,306,141

Certificate of Service

The undersigned certifies service pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(b) on the Patent Owner by UPS of a copy of this Petition for *Inter Partes* Review and supporting materials at the corresponding address of record for the '141 Patent:

Medtronic Vascular, Inc. IP Legal Department 3576 Unocal Place Santa Rosa, CA 95403

The undersigned further certifies service pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.6(e) and 42.105(b) on the counsel who recorded the most recent assignment of record by UPS of a copy of this Petition for *Inter Partes* Review and supporting materials at the corresponding address:

> Jeffrey G. Sheldon Sheldon Mak & Anderson 100 Corson Street Third Floor Pasadena, CA 91103-3842

Dated: May 6, 2013

- / hly Christopher B. Kelly

Christopher B. Kelly Reg. No. 62,573