UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AMNEAL PHARMACEUTICALS, LLC, Petitioner,

v.

SUPERNUS PHARMACEUTICALS, INC. Patent Owner.

Case Numbers IPR2013-00368, IPR2013-00371 and IPR2013-00372 Patent Numbers 8,206,740, 8,394,405 and 8,394,406

Held: August 12, 2014

Before: LORA M. GREEN, SCOTT E. KAMHOLZ, and GEORGIANNA WITT BRADEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER: H. KEETO SABHARWAL, ESQUIRE PAUL A. AINSWORTH, ESQUIRE Sterne Kessler Goldstein Fox 1100 New York Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20005 Case Nos. IPR2013-00368, IPR2013-00371 and IPR2013-00372 Patent Nos. 8,206,740, 8, 394,405 and 8,394,406

1	ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:
2	GERALD J. FLATTMAN JR., ESQUIRE
3	GREGORY A. MORRIS, ESQUIRE
4	Paul Hastings
5	75 East 55 th Street
6	New York, New York
7	-and-
8	STEPHEN B. MAEBIUS, ESQUIRE
9	ANDREW S. BALUCH, ESQUIRE
10	Foley & Lardner, LLP
11	3000 K Street, NW
12	Washington, DC 20007-5109
13	
14	
15	The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday,
16	August 12, 2014, commencing at 1:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent and
17	Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.
18	
19 20	
20 21	P R O C E E D I N G S
Δ1	F K O C E E D I N O S
22	
23	JUDGE KAMHOLZ: Can everyone in the room hear Judge
24	Braden? Judge Braden, would you speak again, please?
25	JUDGE BRADEN: Yes, I can. Can everyone in the room
26	hear me?
27	JUDGE KAMHOLZ: I think that will suffice.
28	Good afternoon. We will hear argument now in Case
29	Numbers IPR2013-00368, 00371, 00372, Amneal Pharmaceuticals,
30	LLC, versus Supernus Pharmaceuticals, Incorporated. Counsel for the

Case Nos. IPR2013-00368, IPR2013-00371 and IPR2013-00372 Patent Nos. 8,206,740, 8, 394,405 and 8,394,406

1 parties, would you please introduce yourselves, starting with the Petitioner? 2 3 MR. SABHARWAL: Good afternoon, Your Honors. On 4 behalf of Amneal Pharmaceuticals, Keeto Sabharwal of the law firm 5 Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein and Fox. 6 MR. AINSWORTH: Good afternoon, Your Honor, Paul 7 Ainsworth, also with Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein and Fox. 8 JUDGE KAMHOLZ: And Patent Owner? 9 MR. FLATTMANN: Yes, Your Honor. I'm Gerald Flattmann of the law firm of Paul Hastings for the patent holder, 10 11 Supernus. 12 MR. MORRIS: I'm Greg Morris, Your Honor, from the law 13 firm of Paul Hastings, also for Supernus. 14 MR. MAEBIUS: Also Steve Maebius of Foley and Lardner 15 on behalf of Supernus. 16 JUDGE KAMHOLZ: Welcome, everyone, to the Board. 17 Per our order dated July 18, 204, each side will have one 18 hour to argue during this hearing. The Petitioner will argue first and 19 present all of its arguments concerning all cases and may reserve 20 rebuttal time. You should begin your presentation by indicating how 21 much time you will reserve, if any. The Patent Owner may not 22 reserve rebuttal time. 23 I will remind the parties that the Petitioner bears the burden 24 of proving any proposition of unpatentability by a preponderance of

the evidence. I will also remind the parties that this hearing is open to

Case Nos. IPR2013-00368, IPR2013-00371 and IPR2013-00372 Patent Nos. 8,206,740, 8, 394,405 and 8,394,406

the public and a full transcript of everything that is said will become
part of the public record.

3 Please bear in mind that the third member of this panel, 4 Judge Braden, is attending this hearing by telephone from our office 5 in Dallas. Please remember also to mention by number every slide as 6 you refer to it. This is especially important to ensure that Judge 7 Braden can follow the proceedings. 8 With that, I would like to invite Petitioner to begin. 9 MR. SABHARWAL: Thank you, Your Honors. Your 10 Honor, just a couple of preliminary matters. First of all can you hear me? Does this work. Does that work? Hello? 11 12 (Discussion off the record.) 13 MR. SABHARWAL: I can speak loud. 14 JUDGE KAMHOLZ: Why don't you do that. Someone is 15 coming, and we'll deal with it. 16 MR. SABHARWAL: Great, thank you. Just a couple 17 preliminary matters, Your Honors. First of all, with respect to the 18 time allocation, with the Board's permission, we would like to allocate 40 minutes for our opening presentation and then 20 minutes for 19 20 rebuttal. 21 Also, we have hard copies of our demonstratives, if the 22 Board would like that. 23 JUDGE KAMHOLZ: Yes, please. 24 MR. SABHARWAL: Could you hand those out, please. 25 Excuse me.

Case Nos. IPR2013-00368, IPR2013-00371 and IPR2013-00372 Patent Nos. 8,206,740, 8, 394,405 and 8,394,406

1	(Discussion off the record.)
2	JUDGE KAMHOLZ: Please proceed.
3	MR. SABHARWAL: Thank you. One other thing, Your
4	Honors, in terms of the allocation, I will be addressing the prima facie
5	and secondary consideration issues in part of our opening, and Mr.
6	Ainsworth will be discussing the alternative arguments, the
7	incorporation by reference, the antedation issue and CREATE Act
8	issue.
9	JUDGE KAMHOLZ: Thank you. Please make sure that
10	you speak up and into the microphone so Judge Braden can hear.
11	MR. SABHARWAL: All right. If for any reason you can't
12	hear me, please let me know.
13	JUDGE BRADEN: Thank you. It would be nice.
14	MR. SABHARWAL: Sure. Your Honors, on December 17
15	of 2013, this Board instituted the foregoing IPRs based upon the '932
16	Ashley reference as well as the Sheth reference.
17	In the ensuing eight months, Petitioner's case has been
18	strengthened based upon at least three principal reasons: Number 1,
19	the express disclosures of the '932 reference and the Sheth reference.
20	Your Honors, it doesn't matter what Mr. Flattmann or I say. The
21	references say what they say, and we believe that they strongly
22	demonstrate unpatentability of the alleged invention in this case.
23	Secondly, based upon deposition testimony as well as the
24	pleadings and other exhibits, the Patent Owner, Supernus, has failed
25	to demonstrate that any of the secondary considerations overcome our

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.