Paper 44

Date: March 2, 2015

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

INTERNATIONAL SECURITIES EXCHANGE, LLC, Petitioner,

v.

CHICAGO BOARD OPTIONS EXCHANGE, INC., Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2014-00098 Patent 7,980,457 B2

\_\_\_\_\_

Before JUSTIN T. ARBES, RAMA G. ELLURU, and JAMES B. ARPIN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ELLURU, Administrative Patent Judge.

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73



### I. BACKGROUND

Petitioner, International Securities Exchange, LLC, filed a Petition (Paper 1, "Pet.") requesting *inter partes* review of claims 1–7 of U.S. Patent No. 7,980,457 B2 (Ex. 1001; "the '457 patent"). Patent Owner, Chicago Board Options Exchange, Inc., filed a Preliminary Response opposing institution of review (Paper 9; "Prelim. Resp."). On May 22, 2014, we instituted an *inter partes* review of claim 1 of the '457 patent (Paper 12; "Dec. on Inst.").

Subsequent to institution, Patent Owner filed a Patent Owner Response (Paper 26; "PO Resp."), a Motion to Amend Claims (Paper 28, "Mot."), and a Reply to Petitioner's Opposition to the Motion to Amend Claims (Paper 35, "PO Reply"). Petitioner filed a Reply to Patent Owner's Response (Paper 34; "Pet. Reply") and an Opposition to Patent Owner's Motion to Amend Claims (Paper 32; "Opp.").

We held an oral hearing on January 21, 2014, and a transcript of the hearing is included in the record (Paper 43; "Tr.").

We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(c). This Final Written Decision is issued pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73.

For the reasons that follow, we determine that Petitioner has not shown by a preponderance of the evidence that claim 1 of the '457 patent is unpatentable based on the instituted ground in this *inter partes* review.

A. The '457 Patent

The '457 patent, titled "Automated Trading Exchange System Having Integrated Quote Risk Monitoring and Integrated Quote Modification Services,"



issued on July 19, 2011, based on U.S. Patent Application 12/035,996 ("the '966 application"), filed February 22, 2008.

The '457 patent relates to automated trading systems for option contracts ("options"). Ex. 1001, 1:15–19, Abstract. Specifically, the claimed invention is directed to systems for managing the risk of a maker of an options market in an automated trading system. *Id.* at 1:15–19.

Options are traded publicly on exchanges. *Id.* at 1:24. Each option covers certain rights to buy or sell an underlying security at a fixed price for a specified period of time. *Id.* at 1:25–28. The potential loss to the buyer of an option is no greater than the initial premium paid for the option, regardless of the performance of the underlying security. *Id.* at 1:34–36. On the contrary, in exchange for the premium, the seller of the option ("the market-maker") assumes the risk of being assigned the obligation to buy or sell the underlying security, according to the option terms, if the contract is exercised. *Id.* at 1:37–41. Thus, writing options may entail large risks to the market-maker. *Id.* at 1:41–42.

Many option trading systems utilize an "open outcry" method. *Id.* at 1:50–51. In such systems, market-makers are required to make a two-sided market by providing an order and offer quote. *Id.* at 1:51–53. In a non-automated open outcry system, a market-maker communicates verbally with traders indicating their willingness to buy and sell various quantities of securities. *Id.* at 1:53–56.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The '996 application is a continuation of U.S. Patent Application No. 09/475,534 ("the '534 application), which issued as U.S. Patent No. 7,356,498 B2 ("the '498 patent"). U.S. Patent Application No. 13/178,289 ("the '289 application") is a continuation of the '996 application and issued as U.S. Patent No. 8,266,044 B2 ("the '044 patent"). The '457 patent is also the subject of CBM2013-00050. The '498 patent is the subject of CBM2013-00049 and IPR2014-00097. The '044 patent is the subject of CBM2013-00051. Final Written Decisions are entered in these cases concurrently with this Decision.



Because a market-maker in such systems has personal control over the types and number of options traded, the market-maker can manage risk associated with his or her options portfolio. *Id.* at 1:56–58. A market-maker manages risk by modifying quotes for options to favor trades that tend to hedge against unwanted risk. *Id.* at 1:58–62.

The '457 patent Specification states that an automated trading environment already was known in the art. Id. at 1:63-65, 2:1-2. An automated computerbased trading system typically records quotes and automatically matches them with orders that enter the system. *Id.* at 1:65–2:1. One disadvantage of known automated trading systems is that the systems execute trades so rapidly that a market-maker may be unable to withdraw or modify his quotes in a timely manner. Id. at 2:7–12. Software tools that assess trading option portfolio risk and recommend quote modifications also were known. *Id.* at 2:13–18. An automated trading system, however, processes transactions in the order received. *Id.* at 2:23– 25. Thus, even if a market-maker uses such software tools to modify quotes, those tools may be unable to act in time, given the speed at which the automated trading exchange system executes orders. *Id.* at 2:18–23. For example, an automated trading exchange may have a message queue containing additional orders that must be processed before the automated exchange receives and processes the marketmaker's quote modification request. *Id.* at 2:25–30. These known automated trading exchange systems, therefore, limit a market-maker's ability to manage risk. Id. at 2:31–39. The '457 patent Specification recognizes the need for a method that automatically modifies quotes under certain trading conditions in an automated trading exchange system. *Id.* at 2:40–42.

The invention of the '457 patent is directed to systems for an automated trading exchange that modify quotes, where the system provides integrated quote



risk monitoring and quote modification services. *Id.* at 2:46–48. Thus, one aspect of the invention is an apparatus that implements the method using a computer, having memory, a processor, and a communication port. *Id.* at 2:48–51. The computer receives orders and quotes, wherein a quote has associated trading parameters, such as a risk threshold. *Id.* at 2:51–54. The computer then may generate a trade by matching the received orders and quotes to previously received orders and quotes. *Id.* at 2:61–63. If a trade is not generated, the computer stores each of the received orders and quotes. *Id.* at 2:63–64. The computer determines whether a market-maker's quote has been filled as a result of the generated trade, and, if so, determines a risk level and aggregate risk level associated with the trade. *Id.* at 2:64–3:1. The computer then compares the aggregate risk level with the market-maker's risk threshold for a quote; if the threshold is exceeded, the computer automatically modifies at least one of the market-maker's remaining quotes. *Id.* at 3:1–4.

#### B. Illustrative Claim

Claim 1 is an independent claim and is reproduced below:

1. A system for processing trades of securitized instruments based on security orders and quotes received from client computers, comprising:

at least one server computer comprising a memory, and a processor, said server computer configured to perform the steps of:

receiving orders and quotes, wherein specified ones of said quotes belong to a quote group, and wherein said specified ones of said quotes have associated trading parameters comprising a risk threshold;

generating a trade by matching said received orders and quotes to previously received orders and quotes;

storing each of said orders and quotes when a trade is not generated;

determining whether a quote having associated trading parameters has been filled as a result of the generated trade, and if so,



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

# **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

