Pape	r No.		
Filed:	May	28,	2014

Filed on behalf of: VirnetX Inc.

By: Joseph E. Palys Naveen Modi

Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,

Garrett & Dunner, L.L.P.

11955 Freedom Drive

Reston, VA 20190-5675

Telephone: 571-203-2700 Facsimile: 202-408-4400

E-mail: joseph.palys@finnegan.com naveen.modi@finnegan.com

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE INC. Petitioner

v.

VIRNETX INC. Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00237 Patent 8,504,697

Patent Owner's Request for Rehearing Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)(1)



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I.	STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED1					
II.	LEG	EGAL STANDARD1				
III.	STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED					
	A.		etX's Arguments Under 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and 35 C. § 312(a)(3) Were Misapprehended or Overlooked	3		
		1.	Failing to Cite Asserted Prior Art Violates 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)	3		
		2.	Vague Grounds Without Explanation Violate 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b) and 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)	5		
		3.	Apple's Citations to the Prior Art Through a Declaration Render the Petition Overlength	8		
	B. VirnetX Requests an Expanded Panel on Rehearing			10		
IV	CON	CONCLUSION 11				



TABLE OF AUTHORITIES

Cases

Apple Inc. v. Evolutionary Intelligence, LLC, IPR2014-00079, Paper No. 8 (Apr. 24, 2014)
CaptionCall, LLC v. Ultratec, Inc., IPR2013-00549, Paper No. 20 (Apr. 28, 2014)
CLIO USA, Inc. v. The Procter and Gamble Co., IPR2013-00450, Paper No. 19 (Feb. 4, 2014)1
Gracenote, Inc. v. Iceberg Indus. LLC, IPR2013-00552, Paper No. 6 (May 7, 2014)
Liberty Mutual Ins. Co. v. Progressive Casualty Ins. Co., CBM2012-00003, Paper No. 7 (Oct. 25, 2012)
Tasco, Inc. v. Pagnani, IPR2013-00103, Paper No. 6 (May 23, 2013)
Wowza Media Sys., LLC et al. v. Adobe Sys., Inc., IPR2013-00054, Paper No. 16 (July 13, 2013)
Statutes
35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3)
Regulations
37 C.F.R. § 42.24(a)(1)
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c)
37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d)
37 C F R 8 42 104(b)(4) passim



I. STATEMENT OF THE PRECISE RELIEF REQUESTED

Patent Owner VirnetX Inc. requests rehearing of the Patent Trial and Appeal Board's Decision entered May 14, 2014 ("Decision"), instituting an *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,504,697. As explained in VirnetX's Preliminary Response (Paper No. 12), Apple Inc.'s Petition (Paper No. 1, the "Petition") contravenes 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4) and 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3) and should be denied.

II. LEGAL STANDARD

"A party dissatisfied with a decision may file a request for rehearing." 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(d). "The request must specifically identify all matters the party believes the Board misapprehended or overlooked, and the place where each matter was previously addressed in a motion, an opposition, or a reply." *Id*.

Institution decisions are reviewed on rehearing for an abuse of discretion. 37 C.F.R. § 42.71(c). "An abuse of discretion occurs when a 'decision was based on an erroneous conclusion of law or clearly erroneous factual findings, or . . . a clear error of judgment." *CLIO USA*, *Inc. v. The Procter and Gamble Co.*, IPR2013-00450, Paper No. 19 at 2 (Feb. 4, 2014) (quoted source omitted).

III. STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR RELIEF REQUESTED

Apple's Petition represents an extreme violation of 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4) and 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3), for it never cites the *Beser* reference upon which the



Board instituted IPR. The Board has repeatedly enforced § 42.104(b)(4)'s mandate that a "petition must specify where each element of the claim is found in the prior art patents or printed publications relied upon." Recognizing a petitioner's burden of proof, the Board has refused to piece together grounds of unpatentability that are not adequately set forth in a petition.

VirnetX's Preliminary Response explained why Apple's Petition fails to comply with 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4) and 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3), and evades the page limit for petitions. These arguments appear to have been misapprehended or overlooked in the Decision, which makes reference to 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(5) and other requirements, but never discusses the distinct requirements of 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(b)(4) and 35 U.S.C. § 312(a)(3). Because the Petition fails to comply with these provisions and the Board's precedent applying them, and effectively exceeds 60 pages, the Petition should be denied.

VirnetX respectfully requests rehearing of the Decision. If the Board is inclined to deny such relief, VirnetX requests rehearing by an expanded panel so that the Board's jurisprudence in this important area—the basic substantive requirements for petitions—can provide clear guidance to petitioners and patent owners.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

