

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

-----

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

-----

ERICSSON INC.

Petitioner

vs.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES I LLC

Patent Owner

-----

Case No. IPR2014-00527

Application No. 11/502348

Technology Center 2400

Patent No. 7,496,674 B2

-----

Oral Hearing Held: April 15, 2015

Before: JOSIAH C. COCKS, WILLIAM A. CAPP, DAVID C.  
McKONE, (Via video), Administrative Patent Judges

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, April 15,  
2015 at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street,  
Alexandria, Virginia in Courtroom A, at 1:30 p.m.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

SAMEER GOKHALE, ESQ.

ROBERT C. MATTSON, ESQ.

Oblon, McClelland, Maier & Neustadt, LLP

1940 Duke Street

Alexandria, Virginia 22314

703-413-3000

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

STEVEN J. HAMPTON, ESQ., Ph.D.

HERBERT D. HART, III, ESQ.

JONATHAN R. SICK, ESQ.

McAndrews, Held & Malloy Ltd.

500 West Madison Street, 34th Floor

Chicago, Illinois 60661

312-775-8000

ALSO PRESENT:

TIM R. SEELEY, Intellectual Ventures

JAMES R. HIETALA, Intellectual Ventures

SVEN RAZ, Intellectual Ventures

P R O C E E D I N G S

(1:30 p.m.)

JUDGE COCKS: Please be seated. Good afternoon. Welcome to the Board.

This is oral argument for inter partes review proceeding IPR 2014-00527 involving U.S. Patent 7,496,674.

I would like to start by apologizing for the delay. We have had some technical difficulties in our Detroit office. Judge McKone, can you hear us?

JUDGE McKONE: Yes, I can. Can you hear me okay?

JUDGE COCKS: Yes, we can. Judge McKone, we do not have video of him, but he can hear the proceeding. That being said, we have a full house. Welcome. Let's start with having counsel introduce themselves, beginning with Petitioner.

MR. MATTSON: Robert Mattson with the Oblon firm for Petitioner, Ericsson. And with me is my colleague, Sameer Gokhale. He will be presenting on behalf of Petitioner today.

JUDGE COCKS: Okay. Thank you. And for the Patent Owner?

MR. HART: Yes, Your Honor, Herbert Hart, lead counsel for Patent Owner, Intellectual Ventures. With me today is Steven Hampton, backup counsel, who will be

1 presenting the principal argument for the case, and also backup  
2 counsel, Jonathan Sick, also with my firm. And additional  
3 backup counsels James Hietala and Tim Seeley of Intellectual  
4 Ventures, and also Sven Raz of Intellectual Ventures.

5 JUDGE COCKS: Thank you, Mr. Hart. All right.

6 As we set forth in the trial hearing order, each side  
7 has 60 minutes to present their case. The Petitioner bears the  
8 burden of showing unpatentability, so proceeds first. You may  
9 reserve rebuttal time. Patent Owner will give their  
10 presentation and the Petitioner will conclude. So that being  
11 said, the argument begins when you are all ready.

12 MR. GOKHALE: Thank you.

13 I have some exhibits, if I may provide them.

14 JUDGE COCKS: Yes, you may.

15 MR. GOKHALE: I would like to reserve 20  
16 minutes for rebuttal.

17 JUDGE COCKS: Thank you.

18 MR. GOKHALE: Good afternoon. My name is  
19 Sameer Gokhale for Oblon on behalf of Petitioner, Ericsson.  
20 We filed a petition against U.S. Patent 7,496,674. Given the  
21 number of, the number of grounds of rejection in this case, I  
22 just want to cut right to the issues.

23 The first ground of rejection that we have presented  
24 was based on the reference Stadler, et al. It is directed to a  
25 gateway between a wired network and a wireless network.

1           There are a couple main issues that the Patent  
2 Owners have presented with regards to the Stadler reference in  
3 difference to the independent claims. And I am going to use  
4 independent claim 1 as sort of the exemplary claim I am going  
5 to be talking about during this hearing.

6           Now, the Stadler reference describes, if you look at  
7 our Exhibits, 1003, it shows a picture 274. Sorry, figure 2 on  
8 page 274.

9           And what this figure depicts is that a fixed user is  
10 communicating with a remote user. In the path of  
11 communication, there is a satellite link. Stadler describes  
12 using a WISE gateway at the boundaries at the satellite link.

13           So the fixed user sends a packet of data destined  
14 for a remote user. It will be received by the WISE gateway.

15           JUDGE COCKS: Counsel, may I interrupt? Are we  
16 looking at a page of your demonstrative? Is that what you have  
17 directed us to?

18           MR. GOKHALE: It is Exhibit 1003, Stadler.

19           JUDGE COCKS: So the demonstratives have a  
20 particular page number itself?

21           MR. GOKHALE: There is tabs in the binder.

22           JUDGE COCKS: Okay, thank you.

23           MR. GOKHALE: And it is figure 2 shown on page  
24 274 of Stadler.

25           JUDGE COCKS: Please proceed.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.