

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

NOVEN PHARMACEUTICALS, INC.,
Petitioner

v.

NOVARTIS AG AND LTS LOHMANN THERAPIE-SYSTEME AG,
Patent Owners

Inter Partes Review No.: IPR2014-00550

U.S. Patent No. 6,335,031

PETITIONER'S MOTION TO CORRECT PETITION AND EXHIBITS

Petitioner Noven Pharmaceuticals, Inc. respectfully moves the Board to correct certain clerical and typographic errors in its petition for *inter partes* review (Paper 1), Exhibits 1007, 1010, 1011, 1019, and 1020 as set forth below.

On October 28, 2014, Patent Owners Novartis AG and LTS Lohmann Therapie-Systeme AG (“Patent Owners”) served objections pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(1) to all of Petitioner’s exhibits except the challenged patent and its file wrapper. Patent Owners’ objections included (i) general evidentiary objections and (ii) specific objections due to the clerical and typographical errors addressed herein. During the initial conference call with the Board, held on October 30, 2014, Petitioner sought guidance from the Board regarding responding to the objections. The Board counseled that Petitioner may respond to Patent Owners’ objections by serving supplemental evidence and if the parties agree that the supplemental evidence cures the alleged defect in the evidence, the parties were directed to seek authorization to file a motion to correct the relevant exhibit(s) or paper(s). Paper 14 at 4. On November 12, 2014, Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b)(2), Petitioner provided a list of corrections to address Patent Owners’ specific objections and reserved the right to respond to Patent Owners’ general objections should they file a motion to exclude under C.F.R. § 42.64(c). Patent owners agreed that the proposed amendments corrected the clerical and typographical errors in a communication dated November 19, 2014, and stated that

they will not oppose a motion to correct the clerical and typographical errors in an email dated December 9, 2014. Petitioner sought permission to file this unopposed motion, which was granted in an email from the Board on December 10. Petitioner has provided this motion and the corrected petition and exhibits to Patent Owners, and Patent Owners have stated that they do not oppose this motion. Patent Owners, however, maintain all objections that are not specifically addressed below in this motion.

By this motion, Petitioner seeks to correct the following clerical and typographical errors:

Petition for *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent 6,335,031 (Paper 1)

To address, in part, Patent Owners' objections, Petitioner requests permission to correct its Petition for Inter Partes Review of U.S. Patent 6, 335,031 with the following corrections to the List of Exhibits (Paper 1 at iii-v):

(1) The list of exhibits on page iii incorrectly refers to Ex. 1007 as "Carey & Sundberg, *ADVANCED ORGANIC CHEMISTRY*, 2nd ed. Part A: Structure and Mechanism, Plenum Press, New York, 1984, pp. 652." The correct citation is "Carey & Sundberg, *Advanced Organic Chemistry, Part A: Structure and Mechanism*, 3rd Edition (Plenum Press, NY), pp. 651-727 (1990)."

(2) The list of exhibits on page v incorrectly refers to Ex. 1017 as “Ho-Leung Fung, Chapter 7 – Chemical Kinetics and Drug Stability in MODERN PHARMACEUTICS (G.S. Banker and C.T. Rhodes, eds.), Marcel Dekker, NY (1978), pp. 227-62.” The correct citation is “Ho-Leung Fung, Chapter 7 – Chemical Kinetics and Drug Stability in MODERN PHARMACEUTICS (G.S. Banker and C.T. Rhodes, eds.), Marcel Dekker, NY (1979), pp. 227-62.”

(3) The list of exhibits on page v incorrectly refers to Ex. 1021 as “Linnell, R.H., The Oxidation of Nicotine. I. Kinetics of the Liquid Phase Reaction Near Room Temperature. Tobacco Science, Vol. 4, pp. 89–90 (1960).” The correct citation is “Linnell, R.H., The Oxidation of Nicotine. I. Kinetics of the Liquid Phase Reaction Near Room Temperature. Tobacco Science, Vol. 4, pp. 89–91 (1960).”

Exhibit 1010

To correct the following clerical error in paragraph 26 of Exhibit 1010 (Declaration of Agis Kydonieus, Ph.D), Petitioner provides Corrected Exhibit 1010, which now includes a Supplemental Declaration of Agis Kydonieus, Ph.D. dated November 11, 2014, appended to the original Exhibit 1010, identifying the following correction:

Page 15, paragraph 26: change “(Ex. 1012 at 1; Ex. 1014 at 3.)” to “(Ex. 1012 at 1; Ex. 1013 at 3.)”

See Corrected Exhibit 1010 at 48-49.

Exhibit 1011

To correct the following clerical errors in Exhibit 1011 paragraphs 9, 14, 31, 47, and 48 of Exhibit 1011 (Declaration of Christian Schöneich, Ph.D.), Petitioner provides Corrected Exhibit 1011, which now includes a Supplemental Declaration of Christian Schöneich, Ph.D. dated November 11, 2014, appended to the original Exhibit 1011, identifying the following corrections:

(1) On pages 4 (paragraph 9) and 13-14 (paragraph 31), Ex. 1007 is incorrectly referred to as “Carey & Sundberg, ADVANCED ORGANIC CHEMISTRY, 2nd ed. Part A: Structure and Mechanism, Plenum Press, New York, 1984, pp. 652.” The correct language is “Carey & Sundberg, Advanced Organic Chemistry, Part A: Structure and Mechanism, 3rd Edition (Plenum Press, NY), pp. 651-727 (1990).”

(2) On pages 5 (paragraph 9) and 19 (paragraph 48), Ex. 1021 is incorrectly referred to as “Linnell, R.H., The Oxidation of Nicotine. I. Kinetics of the Liquid Phase Reaction Near Room Temperature. Tobacco Science, Vol. 4, pp. 89–90 (1960).” The correct citation is “Linnell, R.H., The Oxidation of Nicotine.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.