trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822

DOCKE

IPR2014-00631, Paper No. 49 IRP2014-00632, Paper No. 15 June 4, 2015

## RECORD OF ORAL HEARING

### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

#### - - - - - -

## BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

- - - - - -

CANON, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC,

Patent Owner.

- - - - - - -

Case Nos. IPR2014-00631 and IPR2014-00632 U.S. Patent No. 7,817,914 Technology Center 2800 Oral Hearing Held on Thursday, May 14, 2015

Before: RICHARD E. RICE, JAMES B. ARPIN (via video link) and PETER P. CHEN (via video link), Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday, May 14, 2015, at 1:06 p.m., in Hearing Room A, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

## **APPEARANCES:**

DOCKET

Δ

## ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

JUSTIN J. OLIVER, ESQ. STEPHEN YAM, ESQ. Fitzpatrick, Cella, Harper & Scinto 975 F Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20004-1462

## ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

HERBERT D. HART, III, ESQ. STEPHEN J. HAMPTON, Ph.D., ESQ. DAVID Z. PETTY, ESQ. McAndrews Held & Malloy Ltd. 500 West Madison Street 34th Floor Chicago, Illinois 60661 312-775-8000

JAMES R. HIETALA Director, Post Grant Proceedings Intellectual Ventures LLC Case Nos. IPR2014-00631 and IPR2014-00632 U.S. Patent No. 7,817,914

| 1  | PROCEEDINGS   |
|----|---|
| 2  | (1:06 p.m.)   |
| 3  | JUDGE RICE: Please be seated. Good afternoon.                 |
| 4  | This is the hearing in IPR2014-00631. It has been             |
| 5  | consolidated with IPR2014-00632.                              |
| 6  | I am Judge Rice. I am the only judge in this Panel            |
| 7  | , who is in Alexandria today. Two of the other judges on the  |
| 8  | Panel are appearing remotely: Judge Arpin from Denver and     |
| 9  | Judge Chen from Menlo Park, California.                       |
| 10 | You must, because of the remote participation of              |
| 11 | the other two judges, speak into the microphone at the podium |
| 12 | for them to hear you. Please remember that.                   |
| 13 | With that caution, will counsel for Petitioner                |
| 14 | introduce themselves.   |
| 15 | MR. OLIVER: Your Honor, Justin Oliver of                      |
| 16 | Fitzpatrick, Cella, counsel for Petitioner, Canon.            |
| 17 | JUDGE RICE: And who is with you?                              |
| 18 | MR. OLIVER: Stephen Yam, also with Fitzpatrick,               |
| 19 | Cella.  |
| 20 | JUDGE RICE: Thank you. If you would please be                 |
| 21 | seated. Welcome to the PTAB. We're happy to have you.         |
| 22 | Would counsel for Patent Owner please introduce               |
| 23 | themselves?   |
| 24 | MR. HART: Good afternoon, Your Honors. I'm                    |
| 25 | Herbert Hart here on behalf of Patent Owner as lead counsel.  |

DOCKET

Case Nos. IPR2014-00631 and IPR2014-00632 U.S. Patent No. 7,817,914

| 1  | With me today is Steven Hampton, who will be                    |
|----|---|
| 2  | presenting our argument. And also with me today are backup      |
| 3  | counsel James Hietala and David Petty.                          |
| 4  | JUDGE RICE: Welcome. We're glad to have you.                    |
| 5  | MR. HART: Your Honor, we have a printed copy                    |
| 6  | of our demonstratives. Would it be helpful for you to have      |
| 7  | that in front of you?   |
| 8  | JUDGE RICE: Why don't you provide them after                    |
| 9  | the hearing.  |
| 10 | MR. HART: Very well. Thank you very much.                       |
| 11 | JUDGE RICE: Just a few preliminaries before we                  |
| 12 | start. As set forth in the hearing order, each party will have  |
| 13 | 45 minutes for argument.  |
| 14 | Please keep in mind that whatever you project on                |
| 15 | the screen will not be viewable by Judges Arpin and Chen. So    |
| 16 | it is important when you refer to an exhibit from the record to |
| 17 | state the record exhibit number and the page number. And, for   |
| 18 | demonstratives, please refer to the slide or screen number      |
| 19 | Petitioner may reserve time for rebuttal of Patent              |
| 20 | Owner's argument, and Patent Owner may also reserve time,       |
| 21 | but only in case Petitioner refers to the motion to exclude.    |
| 22 | Just one further reminder, no interruptions. Under              |
| 23 | no circumstances is a party to interrupt the other party while  |
| 24 | that party is presenting its arguments. And if a party believes |

4

# Case Nos. IPR2014-00631 and IPR2014-00632 U.S. Patent No. 7,817,914

that a demonstrative, for example, is objectionable, that 1 objection may be raised only during the party's argument time. 2 3 So with that, let's hear from counsel for Petitioner. First, do you wish to reserve some rebuttal time? 4 5 MR. OLIVER: Yes, Your Honor. 12 minutes, 6 please. 7 JUDGE RICE: Please begin. MR. OLIVER: Good afternoon. May it please the 8 9 Board. I am Justin Oliver, counsel for Petitioner, Canon. The patent at issue today in this IPR is the '914 10 patent. It is directed to a method of automatically capturing 11 12 an image. It has one independent claim, a method claim for 13 that automatic capture. The claim recites steps including selecting an 14 15 automatic trigger condition. A trigger condition may be, for 16 instance, a sound or image content, such as a smile. It also includes a step of entering a threshold level corresponding to 17 that trigger condition. For instance, when the trigger 18 condition is a sound, the threshold level may be a decibel 19 20 level. In addition, the method also recites the step of 21 22 automatically operating the device to capture an image upon 23 detection of meeting the threshold level. However, these features were all known in the prior art as established by the 24

25 three primary references applied against independent claim 1

5

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.