Different in a substance with a substance of the su	P	age 1			Page 3
PAREDT 5 A and PARKOT. No. (Can PERMI-MOTO)         5         201         201           Privaces, (Figure 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)           W         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)           Micro 7.564.271         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)           Micro 7.564.271         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)           Micro 7.564.271         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)           Micro 7.564.271         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)           Micro 7.564.271         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)           Micro 7.564.271         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)           Micro 7.564.271         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)           Micro 7.564.271         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)         (Micro 7.564.271)	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD		2 2008 Bathiche Patent 3 2009 Declaration, '748	286	
Petimen.         Predimen			5 2011 Lee Patent, '748		207
************************************	)		7		
9 BONK THEORNOLGHES, NC	) 8,106,748			PAGE:	
Debel PCHNOLOGIA, NC. )	)				
Part Over         Production of UR RAFFALLO DYANDRIA         Production of UR RAFFALLO DYANDRIA         Production of UR RAFFALLO DYANDRIA           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         12         RY MR HOPFNEED         74           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         13         RY MR HOPFNEED         74           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         13         RY MR HOPFNEED         74           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         13         RY MR HOPFNEED         74           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         13         RY MR HOPFNEED         74           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         13         RY MR HOPFNEED         75           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         14         RY MR HOPFNEED         75           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         14         RY MR HOPFNEED         75           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         14         15         RY MR HOPFNEED         75           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         14         14         RY MR HOPFNEED         75           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         14         14         RY MR HOPFNEED         75           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         14         14         RY MR HOPFNEED         74           Thorshy, Junuary 2, 015         14         14         RY MR HOPFNEED         74           Thors	DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC , )		BY MR HOPENFELD	25	
Deposition OFR RAFAELIO DANDREA     12     BY MR HORNEELD     35       Theriday, January 8, 2013     12     BY MR HORNEELD     36       Theriday, January 8, 2013     13     BY MR HORNEELD     36       The     14     BY MR HORNEELD     35       The deposition of DR RAFAELIO DANDREA, called     13     BY MR HORNEELD     33       an a whole by the Plate Over, paralat to actic     14     BY MR HORNEELD     33       and whole Shife of Doer, paralate to actic     14     BY MR HORNEELD     33       and whole Shife of Doer, paralate to actic     15     BY MR HORNEELD     33       and whole Shife of Doer, paralate to actic     16     BY MR HORNEELD     33       and the Shife of Doer, paralate to actic     16     BY MR HORNEELD     33       and the Shife of Doer, paralate to actic     16     BY MR HORNEELD     33       and the Shife of Doer, paralate to actic     16     BY MR HORNEELD     34       and the Shife of Doer, paralate to actic     16     BY MR HORNEELD     34       and the Shife of Doer, paralate to actic     16     BY MR HORNEELD     34       and the Shife of Doer, paralate to actic     16     BY MR HORNEELD     34       and the Shife of Doer, paralate to actic     16     BY MR HORNEELD     34       and the Shife of Doer, p	Patent Owner )		BY MR HOPENFELD	28	
Dependent of DR. KAPALLO JANDRAX         BY MR. HOPENEED         37           The dependent of DR. KAPALLO JANDREA, elled         13         BY MR. HOPENEED         5           The dependent of DR. KAPFAEL OD JANDREA, elled         13         BY MR. HOPENEED         5           The dependent of DR. KAPFAEL OD JANDREA, elled         14         BY MR. HOPENEED         5           The dependent of DR. KAPFAEL OD JANDREA, elled         15         BY MR. HOPENEED         5           The dependent of DR. KAPFAEL OD JANDREA, elled         15         BY MR. HOPENEED         5           The dependent of DR. KAPFAEL OD JANDREA, elled         16         BY MR. HOPENEED         5           The dependent of DR. KAPFAEL OD JANDREA, elled         15         BY MR. HOPENEED         5           The dependent of DR. KAPFAEL OD JANDREA, elled         16         BY MR. HOPENEED         5           The dependent of DR. KAPFAEL OD JANDREA, elled         16         BY MR. HOPENEED         5           The dependent of DR. KAPFAEL OD JANDREA, elled         16         BY MR. HOPENEED         5           The dependent of DR. KAPFAEL OD JANDREA, elled         16         BY MR. HOPENEED         5           The dependent of Provide and the dependent of			BY MR HOPENFELD	35	
Instance         BY MR HOPENFELD         at a term           The deposition of DR ARTABLIO DYNDREA, colled in a terms of performant in rotation and term of the Acade Bale of Cruit         14         BY MR HOPENFELD         33           The deposition of DR ARTABLIO DYNDREA, colled in a terms of performant in rotation and term of term of control of the secand Bale of Cruit         14         BY MR HOPENFELD         35           The deposition of DR ARTABLIO DYNDREA, colled in a terms of term of control of the secand Bale of Cruit         14         BY MR HOPENFELD         35           The deposition of DR ARTABLIO DYNDREA, colled in at the secand Bale of Cruit         14         BY MR HOPENFELD         35           The deposition of Cruit         14         BY MR HOPENFELD         35           The deposition of DR ARTABLIO DYNDREA, colled in at the other of the secand Bale of Cruit         16         BY MR HOPENFELD         36           The deposition of DR ARTABLIO DYNDREA, colled in the other of the secand Bale of the	Deposition of DR RAFFAELLO D'ANDREA	1		37	
14         BV WR HOPNELD         5           The deposition of DR RATALLO JYANDRIA, railed and adea 24 at 24.3, perturbation of Control         5         5         6           and Adea 24 at 23, perturbation of Control         7         FW WR HOPNELD         5         5           and Adea 24 at 23, perturbation of Control         7         FW WR HOPNELD         5         5           and other 24 at 23, perturbation of Control         7         FW WR HOPNELD         5         5           and other 25 at 23, perturbation of Control         7         FW WR HOPNELD         5         5           and other 25 at 24 at 23, perturbation of Control         7         FW WR HOPNELD         5         5           and other 25 at 25 defined foot, state at 24 at 23, perturbation of Control         7         FW WR HOPNELD         5           and other 25 at 25 defined foot, state at 24	Thursday, January 8, 2015	1			
The deposition of DR RATEALLO DYNORDEA, called         15         DV WR 100PNELD         3           as a wines (WP beam Once, magnetin noise) and data 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and dear 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and dear 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and dear 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and dear 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and dear 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and dear 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and dear 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and dear 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and dear 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and dear 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and for 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and for 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and for 50 and 10 of the Sectal Rules of Coll and 52 of Coll Rules of Coll and 10 of the Sectal Ru		1	4 BY MR HOPENFELD		
<sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup> <sup>12</sup>	The deposition of DR RAFFAELLO D'ANDREA, called	1	5 BY MR HOPENFELD	53	
1     APPEARANCES:     1     Outcomposition     9       1     APPEARANCES:     1     Outcomposition     9       2     Page 2     Page 4       1     APPEARANCES:     1     Outcomposition       2     Onbail of the Petitiones:     2       3     OABALINGT     9       4     APPEARANCES:     1       2     Onbail of the Petitiones:     2       3     Obali of the Petitiones:     2       4     APPEARANCES:     1       2     Outcompositiones:     1       3     Obali of the Petitiones:     2       4     APPEARANCES:     1       4     APPEARANCES:     1       5     With HOPENELD     13       4     APPEARANCES:     1       5     With HOPENELD     13       6     Obali of the Petitiones:     2       3     Obali of the Petitiones:     2       4     APPEARANCES:     1       6     Outcompositiones     1       7     Two Hopeneld     13       4     APPEARANCES:     1       5     With HOPENELD     13       6     Obali of the Petitiones:     2       7     Two Hopeneld     1		1	6 BY MR HOPENFELD	56	
underigined, Melisa L. Pester, a Noury Phills in and de the Commoned in Provide Name, at Nouries 109, Problem, Phillip 109, 200         Provide HopeNelLD         90           Owner 109, Problem, Phillip 109, 200         Provide HopeNelLD         90         90           In 200 Control Contro Control Control Control Control Control Control Co	Procedure, and 37 C F R 42 53, pertaining to the	1	7 BY MR HOPENFELD	57	
offices of field & Thomas, PC 1193 Methanian Road, State 100, Fields & Thomas, PC 1193 Methanian COMPTER-ADRED TRANSCRIPTION BY         9         9         9           COMPTER-ADRED TRANSCRIPTION BY         22         BY MK 100, FINFELD 9         9           MORSE, GANT/NICA HOUKE, NC         23         BY MK 100, FINFELD 9         9           12         BY MK 100, FINFELD 9         9         9           13         Mark 100, FINFELD 100         97         9           14         220, FINFELD 100         97         9           14         230, FINFELD 100         97         9           14         200, FINFELD 100         9         9           15         Omethal of the Fettioners: 0 Abal Lang: 16         10         10         9         9           14         2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW         4         10         116         9           14         2000 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW         9         9         116         9           15         Washington, D.C. 20037         6         9 <td>undersigned, Melissa L Fenster, a Notary Public in</td> <td>1</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>	undersigned, Melissa L Fenster, a Notary Public in	1			
as 22.2 cfckk ar., the day and due above set ford         BY WR HOPENFELD         84           ford         20         BY WR HOPENFELD         84           ford         20         BY WR HOPENFELD         84           ford         21         BY WR HOPENFELD         84           moks; GANTVERA HONCE, INC         22         BY WR HOPENFELD         96           MORS; GANTVERA HONCE, INC         22         BY WR HOPENFELD         96           MORS; GANTVERA HONCE, INC         22         BY WR HOPENFELD         96           MORS; GANTVERA HONCE, INC         22         BY WR HOPENFELD         97           ford         23         BY WR HOPENFELD         97           ford         24         BY WR HOPENFELD         97           ford         25         BY WR HOPENFELD         90           ganse Hopenfeld, Esquire         2         BY WR HOPENFELD         10           ganse Hopenfeld, Esquire         2         BY WR HOPENELD         10           ganse Hopenfeld, Esquire         7         BY WR HOPENELD         12           fordha Liang:         7         BY WR HOPENELD         12           ganse Hopenfeld, Esquire         7         BY WR HOPENELD         13           ganse Hope	Offices of Beck & Thomas, P C, 1575 McFarland Road,		BY MR HOPENFELD	66	
Image: Construction of the second s	at 8:22 o'clock a m, the day and date above set		BY MR HOPENFELD	84	
COMPUTEE-ADED TRANSCRIPTION BY MRS.GATVERGE ANDORE, INC. PTTSBURGH, PENNSTVANIA         2         BY MR HOPENFELD         96 23         BY MR HOPENFELD         96 23           MARCHART, PENNSTVANIA         2         BY MR HOPENFELD         97 23         BY MR HOPENFELD         97 24           MARCHART, PENNSTVANIA         2         BY MR HOPENFELD         97 24         BY MR HOPENFELD         97 25           MARCHART, PENNSTVANIA         2         BY MR HOPENFELD         97 24         BY MR HOPENFELD         97 25           MARCHART, PENNSTVANIA         2         BY MR HOPENFELD         97 25         BY MR HOPENFELD         97 25           On behalf of the Pettioners:         2         0         BY MR HOPENFELD         10           MarchART, PENNSTVANIA         2         2         BY MR H	torth		BY MR HOPENFELD	90	
MORSE, GANTVERG & HODGE, INC HITSBURGH, PENSIVANIA 412-281-018         22         BY MR. HOPENPELD         96           BY MR. HOPENPELD         97         BY MR. HOPENPELD         97           Image: Standing of the period		2			
412281.018       23       BY MR HOPENFELD       97         24       BY MR HOPENFELD       98         25       BY MR HOPENFELD       99         26       BY MR HOPENFELD       90         27       On behalf of the Petitioners:       1         3       Oshe Liang:       1         3       Oshe Liang:       1         3       Oshe Liang:       1         4       2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW       2         6       Oshe Liang:       1         9       Fourth Floor       5         7       Two Houston Creats, Stute 3500       90         909 Famin Street       8       Fourth Floor         1       Homes G. Dimore, Esquire       7         1       Homes G. Dimore, Esquire       11         1       Fourth Floor       10         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       13         10       Breck & Thomas, P.C.:       10         11       James G. Dimore, Esquire       12         12       Pitsurgh, Pennsylvania Ling:       13         13       INDEX       12         14       Tox       6         15       Fourth Floor       12	MORSE, GANTVERG & HODGE, INC	2	2 BY MR HOPENFELD		
24         BY MR HOPENELD         98           25         BY MR HOPENELD         100           25         BY MR HOPENELD         100           26         On behaff of the Petitioners:         1         ONECTIONS         2           2         On behaff of the Petitioners:         1         ONECTIONS         2           3         Osha Liang:         1         BY MR HOPENELD         100           4         2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW         4         BY MR HOPENELD         100           5         Washington, D.C. 20037         6         BY MR HOPENELD         120           6         Oshal Liang:         6         BY MR HOPENELD         120           7         Two Houston Center, Suite 3500         9         BY MR HOPENELD         120           9         On behaff of the Patent Owner:         10         BY MR HOPENELD         128           10         Beck & Thomas, P.C.:         6         BY MR HOPENELD         138           11         James G, Dihnore, Esquire         17         BY MR HOPENELD         138           13         NDEX         12         Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216         12         BY MR HOPENELD         138           14         DEPOSITION EXHIBITS	412-281-018	2	3 BY MR HOPENFELD	97	
25         BY MR HOPENFELD         100           Fage 2         Fage 3           1         APPEARANCES:         1         GBECTIME         10           2         On behaf of the Petitioners:         2         BY MR HOPENFELD         10           3         Osha Ling;         3         BY MR HOPENFELD         100           4         2200 Pensylvania Avenue, NW         6         BY MR HOPENFELD         100           6         Osha Ling;         BY MR HOPENFELD         100           7         Furth Floor         5         Washington, D.C. 20037         6         BY MR HOPENFELD         100           6         Osha Ling;         6         BY MR HOPENFELD         100           7         Two Houston Center, Suite 3500         9         BY MR HOPENFELD         123           9         On behaff of the Patent Owner:         10         BY MR HOPENFELD         128           9         Gene Tabachnick, Esquire         11         HW R HOPENFELD         133           10         Beck & Thomas, P.C.:         10         BY MR HOPENFELD         133           11         James G. Dilmore, Esquire         11         HW R HOPENFELD         134           11         James A. Dilmore, Esqui		2	4 BY MR HOPENFELD	98	
1       APPEARANCES:       1       OBJECTIONS         2       BY MR HORENFELD       101         3       Osha Liang:       3       BY MR HORENFELD       101         4       2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW       4       BY MR HORENFELD       110         4       2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW       4       BY MR HORENFELD       110         5       Washington, D.C. 20037       5       BY MR HORENFELD       120         6       Osha Liang:       7       BY MR HORENFELD       122         7       Two Houston Center, Suite 3500       BY MR HORENFELD       125         909 Famin Street       BY MR HORENFELD       133         9       Oshelal Grift       11       BY MR HORENFELD       133         9       Oshelal Grift       11       BY MR HORENFELD       133         9       Oshelal Grift Pattent Owner:       BY MR HORENFELD       133         10       Beck & Thomas, P.C.:       11       BY MR HORENFELD       133         11       James G. Dilmore, Esquire       11       BY MR HORENFELD       133         11       James G. Dilmore, Esquire       11       BY MR HORENFELD       134         12       Pittsburgh, Peensylvania 15216       1		2			
2       On behalf of the Petitioners:       2       BY MR HOPENELD       100         3       Osha Liang:       3       BY MR HOPENELD       113         4       2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW       4       BY MR HOPENELD       113         4       2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW       4       BY MR HOPENELD       120         5       Washington, D.C. 20037       5       BY MR HOPENELD       122         6       Osha Liang:       6       BY MR HOPENELD       123         7       Two Houston Center, Suite 3500       7       BY MR HOPENELD       123         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       8       BY MR HOPENELD       124         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       10       BY MR HOPENELD       134         10       Beck & Thomas, P.C.:       10       BY MR HOPENELD       134         11       James G, Dilmore, Esquire       11       BY MR HOPENELD       135         12       Pittburgh, Pennsylvania 15216       13       BY MR HOPENELD       136         14        15       BY MR HOPENELD       138         14        15       BY MR HOPENELD       138         15       EXAMINATION:       PAGE:	P	age 2			Page 4
2       On Orbit Ling:       3       BY MR INOPENELD       102         3       Osha Ling:       3       BY MR INOPENELD       113         4       J200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW       4       BY MR INOPENELD       113         5       Washington, D.C. 20037       5       BY MR INOPENELD       123         6       Osha Ling:       6       BY MR INOPENELD       123         7       Two Houston Center, Suite 3500       BY MR INOPENELD       125         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       7       BY MR INOPENELD       125         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       9       BY MR INOPENELD       13         10       Beck & Thomas, P.C.:       7       BY MR INOPENELD       13         11       James G, Dilmore, Esquire       11       BY MR INOPENELD       13         12       Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216       13       BY MR INOPENELD       14         13       Tomas       14       Tomas       15       BY MR INOPENELD       16         13       Tomas       14       Tomas       15       BY MR INOPENELD       16         14       Tomas       12       BY MR INOPENELD       13       16       BY MR INOPENELD	1 APPEARANCES:				
James Hopenfeld, Esquire         1         if With HOPENPELD         113           4         2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW         4         if With HOPENPELD         120           5         Washington, D.C. 20037         B         With HOPENPELD         120           6         Osha Liang:         6         BW Mit HOPENPELD         120           7         Two Houston Center, Suite 3500         BW Mit HOPENPELD         126           909 Fannin Street         B         BW Mit HOPENPELD         126           909 Fannin Street         BW Mit HOPENPELD         128           9         On behalf of the Patent Owner:         BW Mit HOPENPELD         131           9         On behalf of the Patent Owner:         BW Mit HOPENPELD         138           10         Beck & Thomas, P.C.:         BW Mit HOPENPELD         139           11         James G, Dilmore, Esquire         11         BW Mit HOPENPELD         149           11         James G, Dilmore, Esquire         11         BW Mit HOPENPELD         160           12         Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216         15         BW Mit HOPENPELD         174           13          16         BW Mit HOPENPELD         174           14			BY MR HOPENFELD	102	
4       2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW       4       BY MR HOPENPELD       10         Fourth Floor       5       BY MR HOPENPELD       10         5       Washington, D.C. 20037       5       BY MR HOPENPELD       10         6       Osha Liang:       6       BY MR HOPENPELD       12         7       Two Houston Center, Suite 3500       7       BY MR HOPENPELD       126         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       8       BY MR HOPENPELD       128         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       10       BY MR HOPENPELD       131         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       10       BY MR HOPENPELD       133         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       10       BY MR HOPENPELD       133         10       Beck & Thomas, P.C.:       10       BY MR HOPENPELD       130         11       James G, Dilmore, Esquire       11       BY MR HOPENPELD       140         12       Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216       13       BY MR HOPENPELD       174         13       True       14       BY MR HOPENPELD       174         14       True       14       BY MR HOPENPELD       174         15       EXAMINATION:       PAGE:	B.		BY MR HOPENFELD	113	
5       Washington, D.C. 20037       6       BY MR HOPENPELD       123         6       Osha Liang:       7       BY MR HOPENPELD       125         7       Two Houston Center, Suite 3500       7       BY MR HOPENPELD       126         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       8       BY MR HOPENPELD       126         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       9       BY MR HOPENPELD       131         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       9       BY MR HOPENPELD       132         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       9       BY MR HOPENPELD       132         10       Beck & Thomas, P.C.:       9       BY MR HOPENPELD       130         11       James G. Dilmore, Esquire       11       BY MR HOPENPELD       160         12       Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216       13       BY MR HOPENPELD       187         13       DTM       IAPPENPELD       189       BY MR HOPENPELD       198         14       BY MR HOPENPELD       198       BY MR HOPENPELD       198         14       BY MR HOPENPELD       200       Appendix A, Materials Considered by 103       22       17       BY MR HOPENPELD       200         16       BY MR HOPENPELD       <	4 2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW		BY MR HOPENFELD	120	
6       Osha Liang: Han-Mei Tso, Esquire       6       BY MR HOPENFELD       123 BY MR HOPENFELD       123 BY MR HOPENFELD         7       Two Houston Center, Suite 3500       8       BY MR HOPENFELD       126 BY MR HOPENFELD       123 BY MR HOPENFELD       126 BY MR HOPENFELD       123 BY MR HOPENFELD       126 BY MR HOPENFELD       126 BY MR HOPENFELD       123 BY MR HOPENFELD       126 BY MR HOPENFELD       126 BY MR HOPENFELD       126 BY MR HOPENFELD       126 BY MR HOPENFELD       127 BY MR HOPENFELD       127 BY MR HOPENFELD       128 BY MR HOPENFELD       128 BY MR HOPENFELD       126 BY MR HOPENFELD       127 BY MR HOPENFELD       126 BY MR HOPENFELD <td></td> <td></td> <td>BY MR HOPENFELD</td> <td>122</td> <td></td>			BY MR HOPENFELD	122	
7       Two Houston Center, Suite 3500       BY MR HOPENFELD       126         9       909 Fannin Street       B MR HOPENFELD       131         8       Houston, Texas 77010       9       BY MR HOPENFELD       132         9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       B MR HOPENFELD       138         10       Beck & Thomas, P.C.:       BY MR HOPENFELD       138         11       James G. Dilmore, Esquire       BY MR HOPENFELD       150         12       BY MR HOPENFELD       162         13       James G. Dilmore, Esquire       BY MR HOPENFELD       162         14       James G. Dilmore, Esquire       BY MR HOPENFELD       170         15       BY MR HOPENFELD       174       BY MR HOPENFELD       174         12       Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216       BY MR HOPENFELD       175         13       ThoteX       BY MR HOPENFELD       175         14       ThoteX       BY MR HOPENFELD       189         15       EXAMINATION:       PAGE:       BY MR HOPENFELD       194         16       BY MR HOPENFELD       204       BY MR HOPENFELD       204         17       DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:       PAGE:       BY MR HOPENFELD       204	6 Osha Liang:		BY MR HOPENFELD		
909 Fannin Street     8     BY MR HOPENELD     128       8     Houston, Texas 77010     9     BY MR HOPENELD     131       9     On behalf of the Patent Owner:     9     BY MR HOPENELD     132       10     Beck & Thomas, P.C.:     10     BY MR HOPENELD     139       11     James G, Dilmore, Esquire     11     BY MR HOPENELD     162       12     Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216     13     BY MR HOPENELD     174       13      BY MR HOPENELD     174       14      18     BY MR HOPENELD     186       15     EXAMINATION:     PAGE:     PAGE:     BY MR HOPENELD     193       16     BY MR, HOPENELD     134     BY MR HOPENELD     134       16     BY MR, HOPENELD     134     BY MR HOPENELD     134       16     BY MR, HOPENELD     134     BY MR HOPENELD     134       17     DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:     PAGE:     18     BY MR HOPENELD     244       18     Out     Delaration Signature Page, '071     72     BY MR HOPENELD     233       2001     Declaration Signature Page, '071     72     BY MR HOPENELD     233       2002     Appendix A, Materials Considered by 103     210     BY MR HOPENELD     233	7 Two Houston Center, Suite 3500			126	
9       On behalf of the Patent Owner:       10       By MR HOPENFELD       138         10       Beck & Thomas, P.C.:       10       By MR HOPENFELD       139         11       James G. Dilmore, Esquire       11       By MR HOPENFELD       167         12       Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216       12       By MR HOPENFELD       174         13       OPENFELD       189       MR HOPENFELD       186         14       DEVX       13       By MR HOPENFELD       186         15       FXAMINATION:       PAGE:       18       By MR HOPENFELD       193         16       BY MR HOPENFELD       193       By MR HOPENFELD       194         16       BY MR HOPENFELD       194       16       BY MR HOPENFELD       194         16       BY MR HOPENFELD       194       16       BY MR HOPENFELD       204         17       DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:       PAGE:       18       BY MR HOPENFELD       204         19       2001       Declaration Signature Page, '071       72       20       BY MR HOPENFELD       210         19       BY MR HOPENFELD       210       BY MR HOPENFELD       210       BY MR HOPENFELD       210         19       BY MR HOPENFELD<	909 Fannin Street		BY MR HOPENFELD	131	
10       Beck & Homas, F.C.:       BY MR HOPENFELD       150         11       James G. Dilmore, Esquire       11       BY MR HOPENFELD       167         1575 McFarland Road, Suite 100       12       BY MR HOPENFELD       167         12       Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216       13       BY MR HOPENFELD       175         13        BY MR HOPENFELD       186         14        BY MR HOPENFELD       186         15       EXAMINATION:       PAGE:       BY MR HOPENFELD       193         14        15       BY MR HOPENFELD       194         16       BY MR, HOPENFELD       194       BY MR HOPENFELD       194         16       BY MR, HOPENFELD       204       BY MR HOPENFELD       204         17       DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:       PAGE:       18       BY MR HOPENFELD       206         18       2001       Declaration Signature Page, '071       72       19       BY MR HOPENFELD       220         19       2002       Appendix A, Materials Considered by 103       21       BY MR HOPENFELD       230         21       2003       Smith Patent       112       22       BY MR HOPENFELD       230         22			BY MR HOPENFELD	138	
11       James G. Dilmore, Esquire       BY MR HOPENEED       167         12       Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216       12       BY MR HOPENEED       174         13        BY MR HOPENEED       175         14        BY MR HOPENEED       178         15       EXAMINATION:       PAGE:       14       BY MR HOPENEED       193         15       EXAMINATION:       PAGE:       15       BY MR HOPENEED       194         16       BY MR, HOPENFELD       120       BY MR HOPENFEED       194         16       BY MR, HOPENFELD       120       BY MR HOPENFEED       194         16       BY MR, HOPENFELD       204       BY MR HOPENFEED       204         17       DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:       PAGE:       BY MR HOPENFEED       210         18       2001       Declaration Signature Page, '071       72       19       BY MR HOPENFEED       220         19       Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea       22       BY MR HOPENFEED       220       230       Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea       23       BY MR HOPENFEED       230         22       2004       Potiron Patent, French       125       23       BY MR HOPENFEED       230       BY MR HOPENFEED       230			BY MR HOPENFELD	150	
1575 McFarland Road, Suite 100       12       BY MR HOPENFELD       170         12       Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216       13       14         13        BY MR HOPENFELD       175         14        15       BY MR HOPENFELD       189         14        15       BY MR HOPENFELD       193         15       EXAMINATION:       PAGE:       16       BY MR HOPENFELD       194         16       BY MR, HOPENFELD       204       322       17       BY MR HOPENFELD       204         17       DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:       PAGE:       18       BY MR HOPENFELD       206         18       2001       Declaration Signature Page, '071       72       18       BY MR HOPENFELD       210         19       2002       Appendix A, Materials Considered by       103       21       BY MR HOPENFELD       220         19       2003       Smith Patent       112       22       BY MR HOPENFELD       230         21       2004       Potiron Patent, French       125       23       BY MR HOPENFELD       230         22       2004       Potiron Patent, French       125       23       BY MR HOPENFELD       234			BY MR HOPENFELD	167	
13       INDEX       BY MR HOPENFELD       186         14       BY MR HOPENFELD       193         15       EXAMINATION:       PAGE:       15         16       BY MR HOPENFELD       193         16       BY MR HOPENFELD       193         17       BY MR HOPENFELD       204         18       BY MR HOPENFELD       204         17       BY MR HOPENFELD       204         18       BY MR HOPENFELD       204         19       DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:       PAGE:       18         2001       Declaration Signature Page, '071       72       20       BY MR HOPENFELD       210         19       2002       Appendix A, Materials Considered by 103       21       BY MR HOPENFELD       223         20       Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea       22       2004       Potiron Patent, French       125       23       BY MR HOPENFELD       230         21       2003       Smith Patent       112       22       BY MR HOPENFELD       230         22       2004       Potiron Patent, French       125       23       BY MR HOPENFELD       230         23       2005       Translation Certification       125       23       BY MR HOPENFELD	1575 McFarland Road, Suite 100		BY MR HOPENFELD	174	
INDEXBY MR HOPENFELD1931415BY MR HOPENFELD19315EXAMINATION:PAGE:16BY MR HOPENFELD19416BY MR. TABACHNICK616BY MR HOPENFELD20417BY MR HOPENFELD32217BY MR HOPENFELD20417DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:PAGE:18BY MR HOPENFELD20618BY MR HOPENFELD210BY MR HOPENFELD210192001 Declaration Signature Page, '0717219BY MR HOPENFELD220192002 Appendix A, Materials Considered by10321BY MR HOPENFELD22020Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea22BY MR HOPENFELD230212003 Smith Patent11222BY MR HOPENFELD230222004 Potiron Patent, French12523BY MR HOPENFELD231232005 Translation Certification12523BY MR HOPENFELD232242006 Declaration, '07117624BY MR HOPENFELD236252007 Lee Patent, '07118675BY MR HOPENFELD237252007 Lee Patent, '07118675BY MR HOPENFELD237			BY MR HOPENFELD	186	
15EXAMINATION:PAGE:16BY MR HOPENFELD19416BY MR. TABACHNICK6BY MR HOPENFELD204BY MR. HOPENFELD32217BY MR HOPENFELD20417DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:PAGE:18BY MR HOPENFELD210182001Declaration Signature Page, '0717218BY MR HOPENFELD220192002Appendix A, Materials Considered by10321BY MR HOPENFELD22020Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea22BY MR HOPENFELD22020Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea22BY MR HOPENFELD230212003Smith Patent11222BY MR HOPENFELD230222004Potiron Patent, French12523BY MR HOPENFELD231232005Translation Certification125BY MR HOPENFELD232232007Lee Patent, '07118624BY MR HOPENFELD237252007Lee Patent, '07118625BY MR HOPENFELD237	INDEX		BY MR HOPENFELD	193	
16       BY MR. TABACHNICK       6         BY MR. HOPENFELD       322         17       322         16       BY MR. HOPENFELD       204         17       BY MR. HOPENFELD       204         17       DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:       PAGE:       17       BY MR. HOPENFELD       200         18       2001       Declaration Signature Page, '071       72       18       19       BY MR. HOPENFELD       210         19       2002       Appendix A, Materials Considered by       103       20       20       BY MR. HOPENFELD       220         20       Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea       112       22       BY MR. HOPENFELD       230         21       2003       Smith Patent       112       23       BY MR. HOPENFELD       230         23       2005       Translation Certification       125       23       BY MR. HOPENFELD       231         23       2007       Lee Patent, '071       186       24       BY MR. HOPENFELD       236         24       2007       Lee Patent, '071       186       24       BY MR. HOPENFELD       237         25       2007       Lee Patent, '071       186       25       BY MR. HOPENFELD       237 <td></td> <td></td> <td>BY MR HOPENFELD</td> <td>194</td> <td></td>			BY MR HOPENFELD	194	
17       DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:       PAGE:       20       BY MR HOPENFELD       210         18       2001       Declaration Signature Page, '071       72       72       19       BY MR HOPENFELD       210         19       2002       Appendix A, Materials Considered by       103       20       BY MR HOPENFELD       224         20       Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea       20       BY MR HOPENFELD       224         21       2003       Smith Patent       112       22       BY MR HOPENFELD       230         22       2004       Potiron Patent, French       125       23       BY MR HOPENFELD       231         23       2005       Translation Certification       125       23       BY MR HOPENFELD       232         24       2006       Declaration, '071       176       24       BY MR HOPENFELD       236         25       2007       Lee Patent, '071       186       25       BY MR HOPENFELD       237	16 BY MR. TABACHNICK 6		BY MR HOPENFELD	204	
DEPOSITION EXHIBITS:PAGE:18BY MR HOPENFELD210 BY MR HOPENFELD211 217182001Declaration Signature Page, '0717219BY MR HOPENFELD219 BY MR HOPENFELD210 219192002Appendix A, Materials Considered by1032020BY MR HOPENFELD227 2120Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea11222BY MR HOPENFELD230 BY MR HOPENFELD230 233BY MR HOPENFELD230 233212003Smith Patent11222BY MR HOPENFELD230 BY MR HOPENFELD230 231232005Translation Certification12523BY MR HOPENFELD232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 232 BY MR HOPENFELD231 236 BY MR HOPENFELD236 BY MR HOPENFELD236 BY MR HOPENFELD237 237242006Declaration, '071 170176 18624 BY MR HOPENFELD236 BY MR HOPENFELD237 237			BY MR HOPENFELD	206	
2001Declaration Signature Page, '071721920BY MR HOPENFELD220192002Appendix A, Materials Considered by10320BY MR HOPENFELD22420Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea212003Smith Patent11222202004Potiron Patent, French12523BY MR HOPENFELD230232005Translation Certification12523BY MR HOPENFELD232242006Declaration, '07117624BY MR HOPENFELD236252007Lee Patent, '07118625SW MR HOPENFELD237	DEPOSITION EXHIBITS: PAGE:		BY MR HOPENFELD BY MR HOPENFELD	217	
2002       Appendix A, Materials Considered by       103       BY MR HOPENFELD       227         20       Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea       BY MR HOPENFELD       230         21       2003       Smith Patent       112         22       2004       Potiron Patent, French       125         23       2005       Translation Certification       125         24       2006       Declaration, '071       176         25       2007       Lee Patent, '071       186	2001 Declaration Signature Page, '071 72		BY MR HOPENFELD	220	
20Dr. Raffaello D'AndreaBY MR HOPENFELD230212003Smith Patent11222BY MR HOPENFELD231222004Potiron Patent, French12523BY MR HOPENFELD231232005Translation Certification12523BY MR HOPENFELD232242006Declaration, '071176BY MR HOPENFELD236252007Lee Patent, '07118625BY MR HOPENFELD237			BY MR HOPENFELD	227	
212003Binin'i addit112BY MR HOPENFELD231222004Potiron Patent, French12523BY MR HOPENFELD232232005Translation Certification12523BY MR HOPENFELD234242006Declaration, '07117624BY MR HOPENFELD236252007Lee Patent, '07118625BY MR HOPENFELD237	20 Dr. Raffaello D'Andrea		BY MR HOPENFELD	230 230	PARROT EXHIBIT 101
232005Translation Certification125BY MR HOPENFELD234242006Declaration, '07117624BY MR HOPENFELD236252007Lee Patent, '07118625BY MR HOPENFELD237	22 2004 Potiron Patent, French 125		BY MR HOPENFELD BY MR HOPENFELD	231 232	Parrot, Inc. v. Drone
25 2007 Lee Patent, '071 186 BY MR HOPENFELD 237 I TECHTOTOGIES, ITC.		2	BY MR HOPENFELD	236	-
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	2	BY MR HOPENFELD	237	-

	Demo		Demo 7
	Page 5		Page 7
1	OBJECTIONS:	1	proceedings that we have against Parrot. Are you
2	BY MR. HOPENFELD 240 BY MR. HOPENFELD 240	2	familiar with those proceedings?
3	BY MR. HOPENFELD 246	3	A I am.
4	BY MR. HOPENFELD 246 BY MR. HOPENFELD 249	4	Q And we invited you here today so that we
4	BY MR. HOPENFELD 249 BY MR. HOPENFELD 249	5	could take your deposition in connection specifically
5	BY MR. HOPENFELD 250	6	with the IPR Proceedings. Are you aware of that?
6	BY MR. HOPENFELD 250 BY MR. HOPENFELD 251	7	A I am.
Ŭ	BY MR. HOPENFELD 252	8	Q When was the first time you offered a
7	BY MR. HOPENFELD 278 BY MR. HOPENFELD 279	9	formal opinion regarding the validity of a patent?
8	BY MR. HOPENFELD 281	10	A When was the first time I offered a formal
_	BY MR. HOPENFELD 290	11	opinion about the validity of a patent? In these
9	BY MR. HOPENFELD 303 BY MR. HOPENFELD 308	12	proceedings.
10	BY MR. HOPENFELD 314	13	Q So this is the first time. You're a
11	BY MR. HOPENFELD 314	14	novice.
111		15	A I'm a novice.
12		16	Q How did you come to be involved in Parrot's
13 14		17	validity challenge?
15		18	A They contacted me in February of 2014.
16 17		19	Q Who contacted you, sir?
18		20	A I'm trying to remember exactly who it was.
19		21	I actually think it was
20 21		22	It might have been you, James.
22		23	MR. HOPENFELD: It might have been.
23 24		24	A Yeah.
25		25	Q And he called you or
	Page 6		Page 8
			2
1	DR. RAFFAELLO D'ANDREA	1	
1 2	DR. RAFFAELLO D'ANDREA called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been		A He sent me an email.
1 2 3	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been	1 2 3	<ul><li>A He sent me an email.</li><li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li></ul>
2	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was	2	<ul><li>A He sent me an email.</li><li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li></ul>
2 3	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been	2 3	<ul><li>A He sent me an email.</li><li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li><li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li></ul>
2 3 4	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows:	2 3 4	<ul><li>A He sent me an email.</li><li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li><li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li><li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li></ul>
2 3 4 5	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: EXAMINATION	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. TABACHNICK:	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't</li> <li>recall, but it was along the lines of if was available</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. TABACHNICK: Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. TABACHNICK: Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. TABACHNICK: Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly? A Close enough.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. TABACHNICK: Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly? A Close enough. Q How do you pronounce it?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. TABACHNICK: Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly? A Close enough. Q How do you pronounce it? A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine. Q When you introduce yourself, do you say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't</li> <li>recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. TABACHNICK: Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly? A Close enough. Q How do you pronounce it? A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't</li> <li>recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving</li> <li>Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: EXAMINATION BY MR. TABACHNICK: Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly? A Close enough. Q How do you pronounce it? A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine. Q When you introduce yourself, do you say	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't</li> <li>recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> <li>Q To do a technical review?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: <ul> <li>EXAMINATION</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. TABACHNICK: <ul> <li>Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly?</li> <li>A Close enough.</li> <li>Q How do you pronounce it?</li> <li>A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine.</li> <li>Q When you introduce yourself, do you say D'Andrea or</li> <li>A I introduce myself as Raff usually, so.</li> <li>Q All right. I wouldn't be comfortable</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't</li> <li>recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> <li>Q To do a technical review?</li> <li>A Yeah. A technical review, yeah.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: <ul> <li>EXAMINATION</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. TABACHNICK: <ul> <li>Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I</li> <li>pronouncing your name correctly?</li> <li>A Close enough.</li> <li>Q How do you pronounce it?</li> <li>A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine.</li> <li>Q When you introduce yourself, do you say D'Andrea or</li> <li>A I introduce myself as Raff usually, so.</li> <li>Q All right. I wouldn't be comfortable calling you Raff in a</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't</li> <li>recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> <li>Q To do a technical review?</li> <li>A Yeah. A technical review, yeah.</li> <li>Q Did either Mr. Hopenfeld or anybody from</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: <ul> <li>EXAMINATION</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. TABACHNICK: <ul> <li>Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I</li> <li>pronouncing your name correctly?</li> <li>A Close enough.</li> <li>Q How do you pronounce it?</li> <li>A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine.</li> <li>Q When you introduce yourself, do you say D'Andrea or</li> <li>A I introduce myself as Raff usually, so.</li> <li>Q All right. I wouldn't be comfortable calling you Raff in a</li> <li>A Whatever you're more comfortable with.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't</li> <li>recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving</li> <li>Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> <li>Q To do a technical review?</li> <li>A Yeah. A technical review, yeah.</li> <li>Q Did either Mr. Hopenfeld or anybody from Parrot explain why they wanted you to help them with</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: <ul> <li>EXAMINATION</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. TABACHNICK: <ul> <li>Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly?</li> <li>A Close enough.</li> <li>Q How do you pronounce it?</li> <li>A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine.</li> <li>Q When you introduce yourself, do you say D'Andrea or</li> <li>A I introduce myself as Raff usually, so.</li> <li>Q All right. I wouldn't be comfortable calling you Raff in a</li> <li>A Whatever you're more comfortable with.</li> <li>Q So forgive me if I</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> <li>Q To do a technical review?</li> <li>A Yeah. A technical review, yeah.</li> <li>Q Did either Mr. Hopenfeld or anybody from Parrot explain why they wanted you to help them with the patent litigation case?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: <ul> <li>EXAMINATION</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. TABACHNICK: <ul> <li>Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly?</li> <li>A Close enough.</li> <li>Q How do you pronounce it?</li> <li>A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine.</li> <li>Q When you introduce yourself, do you say D'Andrea or</li> <li>A I introduce myself as Raff usually, so.</li> <li>Q All right. I wouldn't be comfortable calling you Raff in a</li> <li>A Whatever you're more comfortable with.</li> <li>Q So forgive me if I</li> <li>A That's fine.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> <li>Q To do a technical review?</li> <li>A Yeah. A technical review, yeah.</li> <li>Q Did either Mr. Hopenfeld or anybody from Parrot explain why they wanted you to help them with the patent litigation case?</li> <li>A They asked me if was if I could help</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: <ul> <li>EXAMINATION</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. TABACHNICK: <ul> <li>Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I</li> <li>pronouncing your name correctly?</li> <li>A Close enough.</li> <li>Q How do you pronounce it?</li> <li>A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine.</li> <li>Q When you introduce yourself, do you say D'Andrea or</li> <li>A I introduce myself as Raff usually, so.</li> <li>Q All right. I wouldn't be comfortable calling you Raff in a</li> <li>A Whatever you're more comfortable with.</li> <li>Q So forgive me if I</li> <li>A That's fine.</li> <li>Q go with Dr. D'Andrea. Welcome to</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't</li> <li>recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> <li>Q To do a technical review?</li> <li>A Yeah. A technical review, yeah.</li> <li>Q Did either Mr. Hopenfeld or anybody from Parrot explain why they wanted you to help them with the patent litigation case?</li> <li>A They asked me if was if I could help them determine the validity of some patents.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: <ul> <li>EXAMINATION</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. TABACHNICK: <ul> <li>Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I</li> <li>pronouncing your name correctly?</li> <li>A Close enough.</li> <li>Q How do you pronounce it?</li> <li>A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine.</li> <li>Q When you introduce yourself, do you say D'Andrea or</li> <li>A I introduce myself as Raff usually, so.</li> <li>Q All right. I wouldn't be comfortable calling you Raff in a</li> <li>A Whatever you're more comfortable with.</li> <li>Q So forgive me if I</li> <li>A That's fine.</li> <li>Q go with Dr. D'Andrea. Welcome to Pittsburgh.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't</li> <li>recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> <li>Q To do a technical review?</li> <li>A Yeah. A technical review, yeah.</li> <li>Q Did either Mr. Hopenfeld or anybody from Parrot explain why they wanted you to help them with the patent litigation case?</li> <li>A They asked me if was if I could help them determine the validity of some patents.</li> <li>Q My question was a little different. I'm</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: <ul> <li>EXAMINATION</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. TABACHNICK: <ul> <li>Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly?</li> <li>A Close enough.</li> <li>Q How do you pronounce it?</li> <li>A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine.</li> <li>Q When you introduce yourself, do you say D'Andrea or</li> <li>A I introduce myself as Raff usually, so.</li> <li>Q All right. I wouldn't be comfortable calling you Raff in a</li> <li>A Whatever you're more comfortable with.</li> <li>Q So forgive me if I</li> <li>A That's fine.</li> <li>Q go with Dr. D'Andrea. Welcome to Pittsburgh.</li> <li>A Thank you.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> <li>Q To do a technical review?</li> <li>A Yeah. A technical review, yeah.</li> <li>Q Did either Mr. Hopenfeld or anybody from Parrot explain why they wanted you to help them with the patent litigation case?</li> <li>A They asked me if was if I could help them determine the validity of some patents.</li> <li>Q My question was a little different. I'm going to have the court reporter read it back.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: <ul> <li>EXAMINATION</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. TABACHNICK: <ul> <li>Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly?</li> <li>A Close enough.</li> <li>Q How do you pronounce it?</li> <li>A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine.</li> <li>Q When you introduce yourself, do you say D'Andrea or</li> <li>A I introduce myself as Raff usually, so.</li> <li>Q All right. I wouldn't be comfortable calling you Raff in a</li> <li>A Whatever you're more comfortable with.</li> <li>Q So forgive me if I</li> <li>A That's fine.</li> <li>Q go with Dr. D'Andrea. Welcome to Pittsburgh.</li> <li>A Thank you.</li> <li>Q My name is Gene Tabachnick. I'm one of the</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> <li>Q To do a technical review?</li> <li>A Yeah. A technical review, yeah.</li> <li>Q Did either Mr. Hopenfeld or anybody from Parrot explain why they wanted you to help them with the patent litigation case?</li> <li>A They asked me if was if I could help them determine the validity of some patents.</li> <li>Q My question was a little different. I'm going to have the court reporter read it back.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>called as a witness by the Patent Owner, having been first duly sworn, as hereinafter certified, was deposed and said as follows: <ul> <li>EXAMINATION</li> </ul> </li> <li>BY MR. TABACHNICK: <ul> <li>Q Good morning, Dr. D'Andrea. Am I pronouncing your name correctly?</li> <li>A Close enough.</li> <li>Q How do you pronounce it?</li> <li>A D'Andrea is the Italian way, but D'Andrea is fine.</li> <li>Q When you introduce yourself, do you say D'Andrea or</li> <li>A I introduce myself as Raff usually, so.</li> <li>Q All right. I wouldn't be comfortable calling you Raff in a</li> <li>A Whatever you're more comfortable with.</li> <li>Q So forgive me if I</li> <li>A That's fine.</li> <li>Q go with Dr. D'Andrea. Welcome to Pittsburgh.</li> <li>A Thank you.</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A He sent me an email.</li> <li>Q And you were in Zurich at the time?</li> <li>A No. I was in Sochi at the Olympics.</li> <li>Q What did the email say, do you recall?</li> <li>A The exact details, of course, I don't recall, but it was along the lines of if was available to participate in a patent litigation case involving Parrot.</li> <li>Q Okay. And had you ever participated in a patent litigation case before?</li> <li>A Not in a patent litigation case, but let's say when was that maybe 15 years ago when I was at Cornell University I was retained by Covington &amp; Burling to go over some patents.</li> <li>Q To do a technical review?</li> <li>A Yeah. A technical review, yeah.</li> <li>Q Did either Mr. Hopenfeld or anybody from Parrot explain why they wanted you to help them with the patent litigation case?</li> <li>A They asked me if was if I could help them determine the validity of some patents.</li> <li>Q My question was a little different. I'm going to have the court reporter read it back.</li> </ul>

**DOCKET A L A R M** Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Page 9		Page 11
1	A Why me in particular, is that	1	within the realm of controlling dynamic systems?
2	Q Yes.	2	A In the realm of control systems.
3	A how I can read that question?	3	Q Of control systems. Did I miss hear you?
4	Q Yes.	4	Did you say dynamic systems or
5	A They felt that I was an expert in the area.	5	A Control, control of dynamic systems, it's
6	Q Did you feel that you were an expert in the	6	very difficult for me to pinpoint the area of what I
7	area?	7	do.
8	A Absolutely.	8	Q Okay. So let me just tell you you're way
9	Q Which area are we talking about, sir?	9	smarter than I am about this stuff so if
10	A Control systems, and specifically how they	10	MR. HOPENFELD: No objection.
11	relate to flying things.	11	Q So if I take a little longer to understand
12	Q To flying things did you say?	12	what you're saying, please bare with me. The other
13	A Yeah.	13	thing is if I don't use the right terminology and I
14	Q Now, when you refer to flying things, what	14	will try, but if I'm not using thing right
15	sort of flying things are you talking about?	15	terminology, then please let me know.
16	A Things that fly. I mean, I don't know what	16	A Sure, sure.
17	more detail you want me to	17	Q If I ask a question and you don't
18	Q Okay. Well, we're not talking about birds,	18	understand it, please
19	right?	19	A Absolutely.
20	A No, no.	20	Q tell me.
21	Q We're not talking about frisbies?	21	A Absolutely.
22	A No.	22	Q And I'll ask it the right way.
23	Q Okay. So we're talking about mechanical	23	Also, you have to wait until I finish
24	devices or electrical devices?	24	speaking before you answer so that the court reporter
25	A Yeah, electromechanical devices.	25	can get down everything that's said.
	Page 10		- 10
	Tage 10		Page 12
1	Q So airplanes or drones in this case?	1	Page 12 MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if
1 2	<ul><li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li><li>A Right.</li></ul>	1 2	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary.
	<ul><li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li><li>A Right.</li><li>Q Or helicopters?</li></ul>		MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it,
2 3 4	<ul><li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li><li>A Right.</li><li>Q Or helicopters?</li><li>A Right.</li></ul>	2 3 4	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question.
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it,
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah.
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary.</li> <li>Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question.</li> <li>Fair enough?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q All right. So you wanted to look at the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there? A Two.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there? A Two. Q And was that the next thing you did? You
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there? A Two. Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there? A Two. Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents? A I recall that to be the case.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there? A Two. Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents? A I recall that to be the case. Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there? A Two. Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents? A I recall that to be the case. Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> <li>Q So when they asked you if you could help</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary.</li> <li>Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question.</li> <li>Fair enough?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there?</li> <li>A Two.</li> <li>Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents?</li> <li>A I recall that to be the case.</li> <li>Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you?</li> <li>A Yes, correct.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> <li>Q So when they asked you if you could help them with a patent litigation, how did you respond?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there? A Two. Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents? A I recall that to be the case. Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you? A Yes, correct. Q And do you remember what the patents were?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> <li>Q So when they asked you if you could help them with a patent litigation, how did you respond?</li> <li>A I responded that I may be able to do it and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there? A Two. Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents? A I recall that to be the case. Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you? A Yes, correct. Q And do you remember what the patents were? A Yes.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> <li>Q So when they asked you if you could help them with a patent litigation, how did you respond?</li> <li>A I responded that I may be able to do it and that it would depend on I would have to look at the</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there? A Two. Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents? A I recall that to be the case. Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you? A Yes, correct. Q And do you remember what the patents were? A Yes. Q They were the two Lee patents?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> <li>Q So when they asked you if you could help them with a patent litigation, how did you respond?</li> <li>A I responded that I may be able to do it and that it would depend on I would have to look at the patents in question.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there? A Two. Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents? A I recall that to be the case. Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you? A Yes, correct. Q And do you remember what the patents were? A Yes. Q They were the two Lee patents? A That is correct.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> <li>Q So when they asked you if you could help them with a patent litigation, how did you respond?</li> <li>A I responded that I may be able to do it and that it would depend on I would have to look at the patents in question.</li> <li>Q And why did you want to look at the patents</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary.</li> <li>Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there?</li> <li>A Two.</li> <li>Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents?</li> <li>A I recall that to be the case.</li> <li>Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you?</li> <li>A Yes, correct.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the patents were?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q They were the two Lee patents?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q So patent lawyers tend to refer to patents</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> <li>Q So when they asked you if you could help them with a patent litigation, how did you respond?</li> <li>A I responded that I may be able to do it and that it would depend on I would have to look at the patents in question.</li> <li>Q And why did you want to look at the patents in question?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary.</li> <li>Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there?</li> <li>A Two.</li> <li>Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents?</li> <li>A I recall that to be the case.</li> <li>Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you?</li> <li>A Yes, correct.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the patents were?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q They were the two Lee patents?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q So patent lawyers tend to refer to patents by the last three digits.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> <li>Q So when they asked you if you could help them with a patent litigation, how did you respond?</li> <li>A I responded that I may be able to do it and that it would depend on I would have to look at the patents in question.</li> <li>Q And why did you want to look at the patents in question?</li> <li>A To make sure that I would be able to offer</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary. Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough? A Yeah. Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there? A Two. Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents? A I recall that to be the case. Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you? A Yes, correct. Q And do you remember what the patents were? A Yes. Q They were the two Lee patents? A That is correct. Q So patent lawyers tend to refer to patents by the last three digits. A Right.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> <li>Q So when they asked you if you could help them with a patent litigation, how did you respond?</li> <li>A I responded that I may be able to do it and that it would depend on I would have to look at the patents in question.</li> <li>Q And why did you want to look at the patents in question?</li> <li>A To make sure that I would be able to offer an expert opinion. If it was outside of my area of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary.</li> <li>Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there?</li> <li>A Two.</li> <li>Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents?</li> <li>A I recall that to be the case.</li> <li>Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q They were the two Lee patents?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q So patent lawyers tend to refer to patents by the last three digits.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q So one is '071 and one is '748.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise</li> <li>lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> <li>Q So when they asked you if you could help them with a patent litigation, how did you respond?</li> <li>A I responded that I may be able to do it and that it would depend on I would have to look at the patents in question.</li> <li>Q And why did you want to look at the patents in question?</li> <li>A To make sure that I would be able to offer an expert opinion. If it was outside of my area of expertise, I would have said no.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24	<ul> <li>MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary.</li> <li>Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there?</li> <li>A Two.</li> <li>Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents?</li> <li>A I recall that to be the case.</li> <li>Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you?</li> <li>A Yes, correct.</li> <li>Q And do you remember what the patents were?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q They were the two Lee patents?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q So patent lawyers tend to refer to patents by the last three digits.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q So one is '071 and one is '748.</li> <li>A Exactly.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q So airplanes or drones in this case?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Or helicopters?</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q Is that where your particular expertise lies?</li> <li>A My expertise is in controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q Dynamical did you say?</li> <li>A Yeah, controlling dynamical systems.</li> <li>Q And is that different than controlling flying things?</li> <li>A Controlling flying things is one aspect of controlling things.</li> <li>Q So when they asked you if you could help them with a patent litigation, how did you respond?</li> <li>A I responded that I may be able to do it and that it would depend on I would have to look at the patents in question.</li> <li>Q And why did you want to look at the patents in question?</li> <li>A To make sure that I would be able to offer an expert opinion. If it was outside of my area of</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>MR. HOPENFELD: And so I can object, if necessary.</li> <li>Q But if I ask a question and you answer it, I'm going to assume that you understood the question. Fair enough?</li> <li>A Yeah.</li> <li>Q All right. So you wanted to look at the patents. How many patents were there?</li> <li>A Two.</li> <li>Q And was that the next thing you did? You looked at the patents?</li> <li>A I recall that to be the case.</li> <li>Q Okay. Mr. Hopenfeld or somebody from Parrot sent them to you?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q They were the two Lee patents?</li> <li>A That is correct.</li> <li>Q So patent lawyers tend to refer to patents by the last three digits.</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q So one is '071 and one is '748.</li> </ul>

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

	Page 13		Page 15
1	A Exactly.	1	period? Over the next month I have 40 hours? Over
2	Q Okay. And what did you do when you	2	the next 6 months I have 40 hours?
3	reviewed the patents?	3	A What I remember it to be was roughly over
4	A I looked them over to determine if it was	4	the next two or three months.
5	within my area of expertise.	5	Q And did you spend those 40 hours?
6	Q How long did that take?	6	A I believe that I did.
7	A Maybe two hours.	7	Q And did you get paid for the 40 hours?
8	Q Okay. And what did you determine?	8	A I did.
9	A That it was within my realm of expertise.	9	Q And what did you spend the 40 hours doing?
10	Q Meaning that the '071 and '748 patents are	10	A I spent the 40 hours creating the
11	related to controlling dynamic systems?	11	declaration.
12	A That is correct.	12	Q Okay. You actually have two declarations,
13	Q Are they also related to controlling	13	right?
14	dynamic systems, and specifically how they relate to	14	A Right.
15	flying things, such as airplanes and drones?	15	Q And there's some overlaps? I'm not
16	A I would say that they are.	16	imagining it was 20 hours for one declaration and
17	Q So did you report back that it was within	17	20 hours for the other?
18	the realm of your expertise?	18	A That is correct.
19	A That is correct.	19	Q What else did you do other than creating
20	Q Who did you report that to?	20	the declarations?
21	A I believe it was probably James again.	21	A Well, everything related to creating the
22	Q Okay. Have all your interactions in this	22	declarations, so perhaps, you're asking what did
23	matter been with Mr. Hopenfeld, or have you interacted	23	creating the declarations involve?
24	with other folks of the firm Parrot or on Parrot's	24	Q Sure.
25	behalf?	25	A Okay. Creating the declarations involved
	Page 14		Page 16
1	Page 14	_	Page 16
1	A The only folks I have interacted with are	1	going over the two patents very carefully,
2	A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and	2	going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my
2 3	A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.	2 3	going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be
2 3 4	A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things. Q Okay. What about any of the technical	2 3 4	going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the
2 3 4 5	A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things. Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?	2 3 4 5	going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.
2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li><li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li><li>A No.</li></ul>	2 3 4 5 6	going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration. Q How long do you think you spent going over
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration. Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul><li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li><li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li><li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul><li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li><li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li><li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li></ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld that the '071 and '748 patents were within the realm</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> <li>Q Total or each?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld that the '071 and '748 patents were within the realm of your expertise, what happened next?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> <li>Q Total or each?</li> <li>A Total.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld that the '071 and '748 patents were within the realm of your expertise, what happened next?</li> <li>A I then told them that I was very busy and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> <li>Q Total or each?</li> <li>A Total.</li> <li>Q And then did also you spend time actually</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld that the '071 and '748 patents were within the realm of your expertise, what happened next?</li> <li>A I then told them that I was very busy and that I would only be able to do it if certain</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> <li>Q Total or each?</li> <li>A Total.</li> <li>Q And then did also you spend time actually putting fingers to keyboard or pen to paper?</li> <li>A And creating the declaration.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld that the '071 and '748 patents were within the realm of your expertise, what happened next?</li> <li>A I then told them that I was very busy and that I would only be able to do it if certain conditions were met, like, an estimate of the amount</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> <li>Q Total or each?</li> <li>A Total.</li> <li>Q And then did also you spend time actually putting fingers to keyboard or pen to paper?</li> <li>A And creating the declaration.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld that the '071 and '748 patents were within the realm of your expertise, what happened next?</li> <li>A I then told them that I was very busy and that I would only be able to do it if certain conditions were met, like, an estimate of the amount of time it would take and the timeframe in which all of this would take place.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> <li>Q Total or each?</li> <li>A Total.</li> <li>Q And then did also you spend time actually putting fingers to keyboard or pen to paper?</li> <li>A And creating the declaration.</li> <li>Q That's all the part of the or was that</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld that the '071 and '748 patents were within the realm of your expertise, what happened next?</li> <li>A I then told them that I was very busy and that I would only be able to do it if certain conditions were met, like, an estimate of the amount of this would take place.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall what you told them in</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> <li>Q Total or each?</li> <li>A Total.</li> <li>Q And then did also you spend time actually putting fingers to keyboard or pen to paper?</li> <li>A And creating the declaration.</li> <li>Q That's all the part of the or was that an additional effort?</li> <li>A That was an additional effort.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld that the '071 and '748 patents were within the realm of your expertise, what happened next?</li> <li>A I then told them that I was very busy and that I would only be able to do it if certain conditions were met, like, an estimate of the amount of time it would take place.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall what you told them in terms of estimated amount of time it would take, how</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> <li>Q Total or each?</li> <li>A Total.</li> <li>Q And then did also you spend time actually putting fingers to keyboard or pen to paper?</li> <li>A And creating the declaration.</li> <li>Q That's all the part of the or was that an additional effort?</li> <li>A That was an additional effort.</li> <li>Q Okay. How long do you think you spent</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld that the '071 and '748 patents were within the realm of your expertise, what happened next?</li> <li>A I then told them that I was very busy and that I would only be able to do it if certain conditions were met, like, an estimate of the amount of time it would take place.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall what you told them in terms of estimated amount of time it would take, how much you had available?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> <li>Q Total or each?</li> <li>A Total.</li> <li>Q And then did also you spend time actually putting fingers to keyboard or pen to paper?</li> <li>A And creating the declaration.</li> <li>Q That's all the part of the or was that an additional effort?</li> <li>A That was an additional effort.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld that the '071 and '748 patents were within the realm of your expertise, what happened next?</li> <li>A I then told them that I was very busy and that I would only be able to do it if certain conditions were met, like, an estimate of the amount of time it would take place.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall what you told them in terms of estimated amount of time it would take, how much you had available?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> <li>Q Total or each?</li> <li>A Total.</li> <li>Q And then did also you spend time actually putting fingers to keyboard or pen to paper?</li> <li>A And creating the declaration.</li> <li>Q That's all the part of the or was that an additional effort?</li> <li>A That was an additional effort.</li> <li>Q Okay. How long do you think you spent putting the declaration together, actually drafting it?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>A The only folks I have interacted with are James, Tammy Terry, also a lawyer at the firm, and some administrative folks for travel-related things.</li> <li>Q Okay. What about any of the technical folks at Parrot?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q You have never interacted with any of them?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q What about the business folks at Parrot, have you ever interacted with any of those?</li> <li>A No.</li> <li>Q So after you reported back to Mr. Hopenfeld that the '071 and '748 patents were within the realm of your expertise, what happened next?</li> <li>A I then told them that I was very busy and that I would only be able to do it if certain conditions were met, like, an estimate of the amount of time it would take place.</li> <li>Q Okay. Do you recall what you told them in terms of estimated amount of time it would take, how much you had available?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>going over the two patents very carefully, understanding exactly what they said to the best of my knowledge and to review prior art, and that would be the bulk of it. That's what I used to create the declaration.</li> <li>Q How long do you think you spent going over the two patents very carefully?</li> <li>A Probably if I were to estimate, four to eight hours each.</li> <li>Q And how long do you think you spent reviewing prior art?</li> <li>A Probably maybe 10 to 20 hours.</li> <li>Q Total or each?</li> <li>A Total.</li> <li>Q And then did also you spend time actually putting fingers to keyboard or pen to paper?</li> <li>A And creating the declaration.</li> <li>Q That's all the part of the or was that an additional effort?</li> <li>A That was an additional effort.</li> <li>Q Okay. How long do you think you spent putting the declaration together, actually drafting it?</li> </ul>

Page	17

	Page 17		Page 19
1	all those things of course.	1	A Sure.
2	Q Of course. And did you draft the	2	Q How much time did you spend identifying
3	declaration yourself?	3	prior art that you would review?
4	A I did not do the typing.	4	A I understand your question now. Most of
5	Q Okay. Who did the typing?	5	the prior art was given to me.
6	A Tammy Terry.	6	Q By whom?
7	Q Was she with you doing it?	7	A By James.
8	A Yes.	8	Q Mr. Hopenfeld?
9	Q In Zurich?	9	A Correct.
10	A In Zurich yes.	10	Q And when did he give you the prior art?
11	Q Is she a better typist than you are?	11	A I don't remember.
12	A Yeah.	12	Q Was it before Ms
13	Q Do you recall how long she was with you in	13	A Yes.
14	Zurich?	14	Q Terry came to Zurich?
15	A Yes. 20 hours.	15	A Clearly, yes.
16	Q And was all of that spent doing the typing	16	Q Did you have an opportunity to review the
17	for the declarations?	17	prior art before Ms. Terry came to Zurich?
18	A Was the 20 hours spent doing the typing?	18	A Yes.
19	Q Yes.	19	Q Did you have any issues with any of the
20	A Amongst other things.	20	prior art that Parrot's lawyers provided to you?
21	Q Okay. What other things?	21	A What do you mean by "issues"?
22	A We also created the claim charts.	22	Q I don't think this is relevant. Isn't this
23	Q Anything else?	23	the same as something else? Do I really need to look
24	A Not to my recollection.	24	at all of this? I have a better idea. Any of that?
25	Q So you and Ms. Terry together or at	25	A No, not to my recollection.
			, ,
	Page 18		Page 20
1	Page 18	1	Page 20
1	least she was doing the manual	1	Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior
2	least she was doing the manual A Right.	2	Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient
2 3	least she was doing the manual A Right. Q effort of typing. You were giving her	2 3	Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?
2 3 4	least she was doing the manual A Right. Q effort of typing. You were giving her the input I presume?	2 3 4	Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis? A Yes.
2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input, correct.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> <li>guidance on format and things like that?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> <li>guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> </ul>
2 3 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> <li>guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references</li> </ul>
2 3 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input, correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and the claim charts. Anything else that you and</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> <li>guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and</li> <li>the claim charts. Anything else that you and</li> <li>Ms. Terry did together?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 12 13	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> <li>guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and</li> <li>the claim charts. Anything else that you and</li> <li>Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> <li>guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and</li> <li>the claim charts. Anything else that you and</li> <li>Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q How much time did you spend doing research</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> <li>Q Can you recall any of those?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> <li>guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and</li> <li>the claim charts. Anything else that you and</li> <li>Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q How much time did you spend doing research</li> <li>to identify the prior art that you needed for your</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> <li>Q Can you recall any of those?</li> <li>A I have many textbooks. I don't recall the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input, correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and the claim charts. Anything else that you and</li> <li>Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q How much time did you spend doing research to identify the prior art that you needed for your analysis?</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> <li>Q Can you recall any of those?</li> <li>A I have many textbooks. I don't recall the specific one.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> <li>guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and</li> <li>the claim charts. Anything else that you and</li> <li>Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q How much time did you spend doing research</li> <li>to identify the prior art that you needed for your</li> <li>analysis?</li> <li>A I would have included that in the 20 hours</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> <li>Q Can you recall any of those?</li> <li>A I have many textbooks. I don't recall the specific one.</li> <li>Q If my recollection is correct, you included</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> <li>guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and</li> <li>the claim charts. Anything else that you and</li> <li>Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q How much time did you spend doing research</li> <li>to identify the prior art that you needed for your</li> <li>analysis?</li> <li>A I would have included that in the 20 hours</li> <li>that I did overall.</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> <li>Q Can you recall any of those?</li> <li>A I have many textbooks. I don't recall the specific one.</li> <li>Q If my recollection is correct, you included in your declarations a list of references reviewed or</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> <li>guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and</li> <li>the claim charts. Anything else that you and</li> <li>Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q How much time did you spend doing research</li> <li>to identify the prior art that you needed for your</li> <li>analysis?</li> <li>A I would have included that in the 20 hours</li> <li>that I did overall.</li> <li>Q Okay. So how much time did you spend doing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> <li>Q Can you recall any of those?</li> <li>A I have many textbooks. I don't recall the specific one.</li> <li>Q If my recollection is correct, you included in your declarations a list of references reviewed or something like that. Did you include the textbooks in</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input,</li> <li>correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you</li> <li>guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and</li> <li>the claim charts. Anything else that you and</li> <li>Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q How much time did you spend doing research</li> <li>to identify the prior art that you needed for your</li> <li>analysis?</li> <li>A I would have included that in the 20 hours</li> <li>that I did overall.</li> <li>Q Okay. So how much time did you spend doing</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> <li>Q Can you recall any of those?</li> <li>A I have many textbooks. I don't recall the specific one.</li> <li>Q If my recollection is correct, you included in your declarations a list of references reviewed or something like that. Did you include the textbooks in that list, do you recall?</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her</li> <li>the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input, correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and the claim charts. Anything else that you and</li> <li>Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q How much time did you spend doing research to identify the prior art that you needed for your analysis?</li> <li>A I would have included that in the 20 hours that I did overall.</li> <li>Q Okay. So how much time did you spend doing the research?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I remember the total was</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> <li>Q Can you recall any of those?</li> <li>A I have many textbooks. I don't recall the specific one.</li> <li>Q If my recollection is correct, you included in your declarations a list of references reviewed or something like that. Did you include the textbooks in that list, do you recall?</li> <li>A I don't recall. I can certainly have a</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input, correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and the claim charts. Anything else that you and Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q How much time did you spend doing research to identify the prior art that you needed for your analysis?</li> <li>A I would have included that in the 20 hours that I did overall.</li> <li>Q Okay. So how much time did you spend doing the research?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I remember the total was about 20 hours. I don't remember the it's hard for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> <li>Q Can you recall any of those?</li> <li>A I have many textbooks. I don't recall the specific one.</li> <li>Q If my recollection is correct, you included in your declarations a list of references reviewed or something like that. Did you include the textbooks in that list, do you recall?</li> <li>A I don't recall. I can certainly have a look at the declarations.</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input, correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and the claim charts. Anything else that you and Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q How much time did you spend doing research to identify the prior art that you needed for your analysis?</li> <li>A I would have included that in the 20 hours that I did overall.</li> <li>Q Okay. So how much time did you spend doing the research?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I remember the total was about 20 hours. I don't remember the it's hard for me to say</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> <li>Q Can you recall any of those?</li> <li>A I have many textbooks. I don't recall the specific one.</li> <li>Q If my recollection is correct, you included in your declarations a list of references reviewed or something like that. Did you include the textbooks in that list, do you recall?</li> <li>A I don't recall. I can certainly have a look at the declarations.</li> <li>Q All right. But if you had relied on the</li> </ul>
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>least she was doing the manual</li> <li>A Right.</li> <li>Q effort of typing. You were giving her the input I presume?</li> <li>A Yeah. We were discussing the input, correct.</li> <li>Q And I'm assuming she was giving you guidance on format and things like that?</li> <li>A Well, she was taking care of the format.</li> <li>Q So there was typing the declarations and the claim charts. Anything else that you and Ms. Terry did together?</li> <li>A No. I don't recall.</li> <li>Q How much time did you spend doing research to identify the prior art that you needed for your analysis?</li> <li>A I would have included that in the 20 hours that I did overall.</li> <li>Q Okay. So how much time did you spend doing the research?</li> <li>A I don't remember. I remember the total was about 20 hours. I don't remember the it's hard for</li> </ul>	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	<ul> <li>Q Okay. Were you satisfied that the prior art provided to you by Parrot's lawyers was sufficient for you to perform your analysis?</li> <li>A Yes.</li> <li>Q And you didn't feel the need to do any independent research?</li> <li>A Define independent research.</li> <li>Q Research independent of what Parrot's lawyers provided to you?</li> <li>A I had my textbooks that I made references to.</li> <li>Q Which textbooks?</li> <li>A Textbooks in my office.</li> <li>Q Can you recall any of those?</li> <li>A I have many textbooks. I don't recall the specific one.</li> <li>Q If my recollection is correct, you included in your declarations a list of references reviewed or something like that. Did you include the textbooks in that list, do you recall?</li> <li>A I don't recall. I can certainly have a look at the declarations.</li> </ul>

DOCKET ALARM Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at <u>docketalarm.com</u>.

## DOCKET A L A R M



# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

### **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.