Paper No. 25 Entered: October 6, 2015

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

- - - - -

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PARROT S.A. and PARROT, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00730 (Patent 7,584,071) Case IPR2014-00732 (Patent 8,106,748)

_ _ _ _ _ _

Oral Hearing Held on Wednesday, July 1, 2015

Before: HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS (via video link), and CHRISTOPHER M. KAISER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday, July 1, 2015, at 2:00 p.m., in Hearing Room B, taken at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

JAMES HOPENFELD, ESQ. Osha Liang LLP 2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. 4th Floor Washington, D.C. 20037 202-656-5097

TAMMY TERRY, ESQ. Osha Liang LLP Two Houston Center 909 Fannin Street Suite 3500 Houston, Texas 77010 713-228-8600

JEFFERY LANGER, PH.D., ESQ. Osha Liang LLP 1800 Diagonal Road Suite 600 Alexandria, Virginia 22314 571-366-1798

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

GENE TABACHNICK, ESQ.
JAMES G. DILMORE, PH.D., ESQ.
Beck & Thomas, P.C.
1575 McFarland Road
Suite 100
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216-1808
412-343-9700



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	(2:00 p.m.)
3	JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: Good afternoon. Please
4	be seated. This is the hearing for IPR2014-00730 and 732,
5	Parrot S.A. versus Drone Technologies.
6	Who do we have here for Petitioner?
7	MR. HOPENFELD: Good afternoon, members of
8	the Board. This is James Hopenfeld of Osha Liang. With me
9	is Tammy Terry, also of Osha Liang. Not on the papers but
10	also with me is Mr. Jeffery Langer of Osha Liang here in
11	Alexandria.
12	JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right. Can Judge
13	Clements hear?
14	JUDGE CLEMENTS: Yes, I can. Thank you.
15	JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right. And who do
16	we have for Patent Owner?
17	MR. TABACHNICK: Good afternoon. I'm Gene
18	Tabachnick from Beck & Thomas on behalf of Patent Owner.
19	And I have with me backup counsel, Jim Dilmore.
20	JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank you.
21	From Patent Owner we have in each case a motion
22	to exclude that is pending.
23	MR. TABACHNICK: Correct.
24	JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: Are you going to be
25	presenting arguments on that motion today?



1	MR. TABACHNICK: I believe so, Your Honor.
2	JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: Okay. Petitioner will
3	proceed first, and whenever you are ready. You have 60
4	minutes. You can reserve time for rebuttal and you can also
5	reserve time for rebuttal to the motion that he will be arguing.
6	MR. HOPENFELD: Thank you, Your Honor. I
7	would like to reserve 20 minutes of time for rebuttal on the
8	merits of the petitions themselves.
9	I don't know what the time limits are for the
10	motion, but to the extent that there are time limits to the
11	motion I will reserve, if I can reserve additional time for that,
12	I would as well.
13	JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: Well, you have 60
14	minutes total.
15	MR. HOPENFELD: Okay. I will reserve 20, a
16	minimum of 20. And if I don't finish, hopefully I won't need
17	the whole 40 minutes of the rest.
18	JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: Neither party needs to
19	take the entire 60 minutes.
20	MR. HOPENFELD: Okay. Thank you. This is a
21	case where I think the Board will find that the issues have
22	been briefed pretty well on the papers. And we would submit
23	to you that you would be well served to resolve all of the
24	issues on the papers.



1	And my primary purpose in coming here today,
2	quite frankly, is to make sure that I'm available to you to
3	answer any questions if any members of the Board has
4	questions about the merits of the petition.
5	In the absence of questions, what I'm happy to do
6	if it will be helpful for the Board is to walk through the
7	arguments very briefly and just provide an overall summary
8	and just a high-level view of the arguments, if the Board
9	would find that helpful.
10	JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right.
11	MR. HOPENFELD: Okay. I think to make things
12	a little bit easier here, what I'm going to do is I'm going to
13	start with the '748 patent, which is the IPR2014-00732
14	petition. And just for the record since we're dealing with two
15	petitions here, I think, I hope it's okay if we can use this
16	transcript for both petitions.
17	I don't know if there is something that I have to
18	say to preserve our right to do that just to make it
19	administratively easier. Is that acceptable?
20	JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: I think we're planning to
21	have one transcript for both, filed in both, each file.
22	MR. HOPENFELD: Okay. So let's turn to the '748
23	patent. If you look at the Patent Owner's arguments there are
24	really only two arguments, and essentially they have already
25	been addressed by the Board.



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

