

RECORD OF ORAL HEARING  
UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

-----

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

-----

PARROT S.A. and PARROT, INC.,

Petitioner,

v.

DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,

Patent Owner.

-----

Case IPR2014-00730 (Patent 7,584,071)

Case IPR2014-00732 (Patent 8,106,748)

-----

Oral Hearing Held on Wednesday, July 1, 2015

Before: HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, MATTHEW R.  
CLEMENS (via video link), and CHRISTOPHER M. KAISER,  
*Administrative Patent Judges.*

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday, July 1,  
2015, at 2:00 p.m., in Hearing Room B, taken at the U.S. Patent and  
Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

Case IPR2014-00730 (Patent 7,584,071)

Case IPR2014-00732 (Patent 8,106,748)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

JAMES HOPENFELD, ESQ.  
Osha Liang LLP  
2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.  
4th Floor  
Washington, D.C. 20037  
202-656-5097

TAMMY TERRY, ESQ.  
Osha Liang LLP  
Two Houston Center  
909 Fannin Street  
Suite 3500  
Houston, Texas 77010  
713-228-8600

JEFFERY LANGER, PH.D., ESQ.  
Osha Liang LLP  
1800 Diagonal Road  
Suite 600  
Alexandria, Virginia 22314  
571-366-1798

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

GENE TABACHNICK, ESQ.  
JAMES G. DILMORE, PH.D., ESQ.  
Beck & Thomas, P.C.  
1575 McFarland Road  
Suite 100  
Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania 15216-1808  
412-343-9700

P R O C E E D I N G S

(2:00 p.m.)

JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: Good afternoon. Please be seated. This is the hearing for IPR2014-00730 and 732, Parrot S.A. versus Drone Technologies.

Who do we have here for Petitioner?

MR. HOPENFELD: Good afternoon, members of the Board. This is James Hopenfeld of Osha Liang. With me is Tammy Terry, also of Osha Liang. Not on the papers but also with me is Mr. Jeffery Langer of Osha Liang here in Alexandria.

JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right. Can Judge Clements hear?

JUDGE CLEMENTS: Yes, I can. Thank you.

JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right. And who do we have for Patent Owner?

MR. TABACHNICK: Good afternoon. I'm Gene Tabachnick from Beck & Thomas on behalf of Patent Owner. And I have with me backup counsel, Jim Dilmore.

JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right. Thank you.

From Patent Owner we have in each case a motion to exclude that is pending.

MR. TABACHNICK: Correct.

JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: Are you going to be presenting arguments on that motion today?

1 MR. TABACHNICK: I believe so, Your Honor.

2 JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: Okay. Petitioner will  
3 proceed first, and whenever you are ready. You have 60  
4 minutes. You can reserve time for rebuttal and you can also  
5 reserve time for rebuttal to the motion that he will be arguing.

6 MR. HOPENFELD: Thank you, Your Honor. I  
7 would like to reserve 20 minutes of time for rebuttal on the  
8 merits of the petitions themselves.

9 I don't know what the time limits are for the  
10 motion, but to the extent that there are time limits to the  
11 motion I will reserve, if I can reserve additional time for that,  
12 I would as well.

13 JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: Well, you have 60  
14 minutes total.

15 MR. HOPENFELD: Okay. I will reserve 20, a  
16 minimum of 20. And if I don't finish, hopefully I won't need  
17 the whole 40 minutes of the rest.

18 JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: Neither party needs to  
19 take the entire 60 minutes.

20 MR. HOPENFELD: Okay. Thank you. This is a  
21 case where I think the Board will find that the issues have  
22 been briefed pretty well on the papers. And we would submit  
23 to you that you would be well served to resolve all of the  
24 issues on the papers.

1           And my primary purpose in coming here today,  
2 quite frankly, is to make sure that I'm available to you to  
3 answer any questions if any members of the Board has  
4 questions about the merits of the petition.

5           In the absence of questions, what I'm happy to do  
6 if it will be helpful for the Board is to walk through the  
7 arguments very briefly and just provide an overall summary  
8 and just a high-level view of the arguments, if the Board  
9 would find that helpful.

10           JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: All right.

11           MR. HOPENFELD: Okay. I think to make things  
12 a little bit easier here, what I'm going to do is I'm going to  
13 start with the '748 patent, which is the IPR2014-00732  
14 petition. And just for the record since we're dealing with two  
15 petitions here, I think, I hope it's okay if we can use this  
16 transcript for both petitions.

17           I don't know if there is something that I have to  
18 say to preserve our right to do that just to make it  
19 administratively easier. Is that acceptable?

20           JUDGE BLANKENSHIP: I think we're planning to  
21 have one transcript for both, filed in both, each file.

22           MR. HOPENFELD: Okay. So let's turn to the '748  
23 patent. If you look at the Patent Owner's arguments there are  
24 really only two arguments, and essentially they have already  
25 been addressed by the Board.

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.