

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

PARROT S.A. and PARROT, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

DRONE TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00730
Patent 7,584,071 B2

Before HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, and
CHRISTOPHER M. KAISER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BLANKENSHIP, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION
35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73

I. BACKGROUND

Parrot S.A. and Parrot, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner”) filed a petition requesting an *inter partes* review of claims 1–15 of U.S. Patent No. 7,584,071 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’071 patent”) under 35 U.S.C. §§ 311–319. Paper 1 (“Petition” or “Pet.”). The Board instituted an *inter partes* review of

claims 1–15 on asserted grounds of unpatentability for anticipation and obviousness. Paper 8 (“Dec. on Inst.”).

Subsequent to institution, Patent Owner Drone Technologies, Inc. filed a patent owner response (Paper 15, “PO Resp.”). Petitioner filed a reply to the Patent Owner Response (Paper 18, “Pet. Reply”).

Oral hearing was held on July 1, 2015.¹

The Board has jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(c). This Final Written Decision is issued pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73.

For the reasons that follow, we determine that Petitioner has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1–3 and 5–14 of the ’071 patent are unpatentable. Petitioner has *not* shown that claims 4 and 15 are unpatentable.

A. Related Proceedings

According to Petitioner, the ’071 patent is involved in the following lawsuit: *Drone Technologies, Inc. v. Parrot S.A.*, No. 2:05-mc-02025 (W.D. Pa.). Pet. 4.

B. The ’071 Patent

The ’071 patent relates to a remote control system in which a remote control apparatus transmits a target motion signal to a remote-controlled motion apparatus. Ex. 1001, Abstract.

Figure 2 of the ’071 patent is reproduced below.

¹ The record includes a transcript of the oral hearing. Paper 25 (“Tr.”).




FIG. 2

Figure 2 is a system diagram of a remote control system. Ex. 1001, col. 3, ll. 13–14. Remote-controlled device 4 consists of communication module 41, terrestrial magnetism sensing module 42, processing module 43, and driving module 44. Communication module 41 receives target motion signal S_{TAR} from remote controller 3. *Id.* at col. 3, ll. 28–35. Remote controller 3 consists of terrestrial magnetism sensing module 31 and communication module 33. The terrestrial magnetism module detects the terrestrial magnetism of the remote controller and outputs terrestrial magnetism sensing signal S_G . Communication module 33 connects to terrestrial magnetism module 31 and transmits target motion signal S_{TAR} according to the terrestrial magnetism sensing signal. Target motion signal S_{TAR} is used to control remote-controlled device 4 to keep its detected terrestrial magnetism aligned with the target motion signal. *Id.* at col. 3, ll. 47–58.

C. Illustrative Claim

Claim 1, the sole independent claim, is illustrative and reproduced below.

1. A remote control system, comprising:

a remote controller, comprising:

a motion detecting module, which detects the remote controller's motion and outputs a motion detecting signal; and

a first communication module, which connects to the motion detecting module and receives the motion detecting signal, and transmits a target motion signal according to the motion detecting signal; and

a remote-controlled device, which is controlled by the remote controller, comprising:

a second communication module, which receives the target motion signal from the remote controller;

a terrestrial magnetism sensing module, which detects the remote-controlled device's terrestrial magnetism and outputs a terrestrial magnetism sensing signal;

a processing module, which has a first input connected to the terrestrial magnetism sensing module and receives the terrestrial magnetism sensing signal, and a second input connected to the second communication module and receives the target motion signal, and processes the terrestrial magnetism sensing signal and the target motion signal to output a driving control signal; and

a driving module, which connects to the processing module and receives the driving control signal, and adjusts the remote-controlled device's motion according to the driving control signal.

D. Prior Art

Smith, III et al. (“Smith”) (Ex. 1002)	US 5,043,646	Aug. 27, 1991
Barr (Ex. 1005)	US 7,219,861 B1	May 22, 2007
Fouche (Ex. 1006)	US 6,751,529 B1	June 15, 2004
Spirov et al. (“Spirov”) (Ex. 1007)	US 2006/0144994 A1	July 6, 2006
Bathiche et al. (“Bathiche”) (Ex. 1008)	US 7,145,551 B1	Dec. 5, 2006
Shkolnikov (Ex. 1009)	US 2004/0263479 A1	Dec. 30, 2004

E. Instituted Grounds of Unpatentability

The Board instituted *inter partes* review on the following asserted grounds of unpatentability against claims 1–15 under 35 U.S.C. §§ 102(b) and 103(a) (Dec. on Inst. 19):

Reference(s)	Basis (35 U.S.C.)	Claim(s)
Smith	§ 102(b)	1–5 and 10–14
Smith and Barr	§ 103(a)	6 and 7
Smith and Fouche	§ 103(a)	8 and 9
Smith, Spirov, Bathiche, and Shkolnikov	§ 103(a)	15

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.