

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Parrot S.A. and Parrot, Inc.

Petitioners,

v.

Drone Technologies, Inc.

Patent Owner

Case IPR2014-00732
U.S. Patent No. 8,106,748

Before HOWARD B. BLANKENSHIP, MATTHEW R. CLEMENTS, and
CHRISTOPHER M. KAISER, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Case IPR2014-00732
Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Board's Scheduling Order (Paper 9), Petitioners Parrot S.A. and Parrot, Inc. (collectively "Petitioner") respectfully request oral argument, currently scheduled for July 1, 2015, on the issues raised in the Petition, in the Board's Institution Decision, Patent Owner's Response, Petitioner's Reply to Patent Owner's Response, Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude (if filed), and Petitioner's Opposition to Patent Owner's Motion to Exclude (if filed).

Petitioner respectfully requests one (1) hour of time for oral argument on all issues raised in the parties' filings, including without limitation the following:

1. Whether claims 1-12 of the '748 patent are unpatentable in view of the cited prior art on the grounds instituted in the Board's Decision of Institution, Paper 7, including the following:

2. Whether claims 1-3, 5, and 10-12 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by the combination of prior art references Spirov (Ex. 1005, U.S. App. Pub. No. 2006/0144994), Bathiche (Ex. 1009, U.S. Patent No. 7,145,551), and Shkolnikov (Ex. 1010, U.S. App. Pub. No. 2004/0263479);

3. Whether claims 4, 8, and 9 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by the combination of prior art references Spirov (Ex. 1005), Bathiche (Ex. 1009), Shkolnikov (Ex. 1010), and Fouche (Ex. 1008, U.S. Patent No. 6,751,529);
and,

Case IPR2014-00732
Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument

4. Whether claims 6 and 7 are rendered obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103 by the combination of prior art references Spirov (Ex. 1005), Bathiche (Ex. 1009), Shkolnikov (Ex. 1010), and Barr (Ex. 1007, U.S. Patent No. 7,219,861).

5. Issues raised in any other party filing.

6. Issues raised in oral argument.

7. Any issue the Board deems necessary.

Petitioner requests authorization to use audio visual equipment to display possible demonstratives and exhibits, including the use of a computer, projector, and screen during oral argument.

Dated: May 27, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/James E. Hopenfeld/

James E. Hopenfeld (Reg No. 47,661)

Hopenfeld@oshaliang.com

Tammy J. Terry (Reg No. 69,167)

Terry@oshaliang.com

OSHA LIANG LLP

909 Fannin Street, Suite 3500

Houston, Texas 77010

Tel: 713-228-8600/Fax: 713-228-8778

Counsel for Petitioner

Case IPR2014-00732
Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies service pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.6(e) on the Patent Owner by email and U.S. Mail a copy of the Petitioner's Request for Oral Argument as follows:

Gene Tabachnick
James Dilmore
gtabachnick@beckthomas.com
jdilmore@beckthomas.com
docket@beckthomas.com
BECK & THOMAS, P.C.
1575 McFarland Road, Suite 100
Pittsburgh, PA 15216-1808

Dated: May 27, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/James E. Hopenfeld/

James E. Hopenfeld (Reg No. 47,661)

Hopenfeld@oshaliang.com

Tammy J. Terry (Reg No. 69,167)

Terry@oshaliang.com

OSHA LIANG LLP

909 Fannin Street, Suite 3500

Houston, Texas 77010

Tel: 713-228-8600/Fax: 713-228-8778

Counsel for Petitioner