

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GLOBAL TEL*LINK CORPORATION,
Petitioner,

v.

SECURUS TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00493 (Patent 7,899,167 B1)
Case IPR2014-00749 (Patent 8,577,003 B2)

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, BARBARA A. BENOIT, and
GEORGIANNA W. BRADEN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BENOIT, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Trial Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

Case IPR2014-00493 (Patent 7,899,167 B1)

Case IPR2014-00749 (Patent 8,577,003 B2)

On September 17, 2014, we entered a Decision to Institute a trial in each of IPR2014-00493 (Paper 8) and IPR2014-00749 (Paper 6). A Scheduling Order issued in each case set the date for oral hearing, if requested by either party, as June 4, 2015. Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70, both Petitioner and Patent Owner have requested an oral hearing in each proceeding. These requests are *granted*.

Oral argument for IPR2014-00493 and IPR2014-00749 will be held on June 4, 2015 on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

Commencing at 1:00 PM ET, oral argument for the issues in IPR2014-00493 will be held. Each party will have 45 minutes of argument time. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that the claims at issue are unpatentable. Therefore, Petitioner will proceed first to present its case with regard to the challenged claims and grounds on which we instituted trial in this proceeding. Patent Owner then will argue its opposition to Petitioner's case. Finally, Petitioner may use any time it reserved to rebut Patent Owner's opposition.

Following the completion of oral argument for the issues in IPR2014-00493, argument for the issues in IPR2014-00749 will be held. Each party will have 45 minutes of argument time. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of proof that the claims at issue are unpatentable. Therefore, Petitioner will proceed first to present its case with regard to the challenged claims and grounds on which we instituted trial in this proceeding. Patent Owner then will argue its opposition to Petitioner's case. Finally, Petitioner may use any time it reserved to rebut Patent Owner's opposition.

Case IPR2014-00493 (Patent 7,899,167 B1)

Case IPR2014-00749 (Patent 8,577,003 B2)

The Board will provide a court reporter for the hearing and the reporter's transcript will constitute the official record of the hearing. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis. If the parties have any concern about disclosing confidential information, they are to contact the Board at least 10 days in advance of the hearing to discuss the matter.

The parties are reminded that under 37 C.F.R. § 42.53(f)(7), a proponent of deposition testimony must file such testimony as an exhibit. The Board will not consider any deposition testimony that has not been so filed.

Furthermore, under 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits must be served at least five business days before the hearing. The parties shall provide a courtesy copy of any demonstrative exhibits to the Board at least five business days prior to the hearing by emailing them to Trials@uspto.gov. The parties shall *not* file any demonstrative exhibits in these proceedings without prior authorization from the Board.

The parties must file any objections to the demonstratives with the Board at least three business days before the hearing. Any objection to the demonstrative exhibits that is not presented timely will be considered waived. The objections should identify with particularity which demonstratives are subject to objection, and include a short (one sentence or less) statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider the objections and schedule a conference if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will reserve ruling on the objections until after the oral argument. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of*

Case IPR2014-00493 (Patent 7,899,167 B1)

Case IPR2014-00749 (Patent 8,577,003 B2)

Regents of the University of Michigan, IPR2013-00041 (PTAB January 27, 2014) (Paper 65), for guidance regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits.

The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present at oral hearing, although any backup counsel may make the actual presentation, in whole or in part. If lead counsel for either party will not be in attendance at oral hearing, the Board should be notified via a joint telephone conference call no later than two business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

Any special requests for audio visual equipment should be directed to Trials@uspto.gov. Requests for special equipment will not be honored unless presented in a separate communication not less than five days before the hearing directed to the above email address.

At least one judge will be participating remotely via a videoconferencing device and will not be able to view the projection screen in the hearing room. The parties are reminded that the presenter must identify clearly and specifically each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by slide or screen number) referenced during the hearing to avoid confusion, and to ensure the clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript.

Case IPR2014-00493 (Patent 7,899,167 B1)

Case IPR2014-00749 (Patent 8,577,003 B2)

PETITIONER:

Lori A. Gordon

Michael B. Ray

STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX

lgordon-PTAB@skgf.com

mray-PTAB@skgf.com

PATENT OWNER:

Rajiv P. Patel

Darren E. Donnelly

FENWICK & WEST LLP

rpatel-ptab@fenwick.com

ddonnelly-ptab@fenwick.com