

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

FLIR SYSTEMS, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

CANVS CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-00773
Patent 6,911,652 B2

Before SCOTT E. KAMHOLZ, SCOTT A. DANIELS, and
ROBERT J. WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

WEINSCHENK, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

INITIAL CONFERENCE SUMMARY
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

On November 6, 2014, Judges Kamholz, Daniels, and Weinschenk held an initial conference with counsel for the parties. The following subjects were discussed during the conference.

Scheduling Order

Neither party raised an objection to any date in the Scheduling Order. We remind the parties that, if the parties agree to change any of Due Dates 1 through 5 in the Scheduling Order, the stipulated dates cannot be later than Due Date 6, and the parties promptly must file a joint stipulation indicating such change.

Motions

Neither party currently anticipated filing any motions not already authorized by our Rules or the Scheduling Order. We remind the Patent Owner that, if it decides to file a motion to amend, Patent Owner must schedule a call to confer with us prior to filing the motion. We instruct Patent Owner to schedule any such conference call at least two weeks prior to the due date, Due Date 1, for filing a motion to amend.

We also remind the parties that any motions that are not already authorized by our Rules or the Scheduling Order require authorization from us before filing. In that regard, we instruct the parties that (1) prior to requesting a conference with us to seek authorization for a motion, the parties should consult with each other and attempt to resolve any issues between them, and (2) if a conference with us is necessary, the parties should suggest several dates and times for the desired conference.

Protective Order

Neither party believed that a protective order would be necessary, and a protective order has not been entered in this proceeding. We remind the

IPR2014-00773
Patent 6,911,652 B2

parties that, if the parties later find the need for a protective order in this proceeding, the parties may agree to the default protective order in Appendix B of the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide. *See* Office Patent Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,756, 48,771 (Aug. 14, 2012). If the parties wish to deviate from the default protective order, the parties must submit a redlined version of the default protective order that shows any such deviations and explain to us why such deviations are necessary.

IPR2014-00773
Patent 6,911,652 B2

PETITIONER:

David L. McCombs
Thomas B. King
Greg J. Michelson
Kevin S. White
HAYNES AND BOONE, LLP
David.mccombs.ipr@haynesboone.com
ipr.thomas.king@haynesboone.com
Greg.Michelson.ipr@haynesboone.com
kevin.white@haynesboone.com

PATENT OWNER:

Joseph J. Zito
DNL ZITO
jzito@dnlzito.com

Paul Grandinetti
LEVY GRANDINETTI
mail@levygrandinetti.com