

**UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

ERICSSON INC. and TELEFONAKTIEBOLAGET LM ERICSSON,  
Petitioner

v.

INTELLECTUAL VENTURES II LLC  
Patent Owner

---

Case IPR2014-01185  
Patent 7,269,127

---

**PATENT OWNER PRELIMINARY RESPONSE**

Mail Stop PATENT BOARD  
Patent Trial and Appeal Board  
U.S. Patent & Trademark Office  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**Table of Contents**

I. Introduction.....1

II. The '127 Patent.....1

    A. Background .....1

    B. The Innovations of the '127 Patent .....4

III. Patent Owner’s Proposed Claim Construction.....9

    A. “frame structure” .....10

    B. “Preamble Structure” .....12

    C. “Data Structure” .....14

    D. “Pilot Symbol” .....15

        1. A “pilot symbol” includes samples in the frequency domain. .15

        2. The claims require that a “pilot symbol” is inserted into a data block, not a data structure as alleged by Petitioners.....17

        3. A “pilot symbol” is used “for refining the calibration of a receiver to a transmitter.” .....18

    E. “Training Symbol” .....19

    F. “Enhanced Training Symbol” .....20

IV. Grounds based on Schmidl and Arslan [Grounds 1-3].....22

    A. Claims 1-3 and 5 are Patentable over Schmidl and Arslan [Ground 1].  
        .....22

        1. The combination of Schmidl and Arslan fails to disclose an “encoder having a pilot/training symbol inserter ... configured to insert pilot symbols into data blocks.” .....23

2.	The combination of Schmidl and Arslan fails to disclose a “preamble structure comprising at least one training symbol and an enhanced training symbol.” .....	26
B.	Dependent claims 4 and 6-10 are patentable over the combination of Schmidl, Arslan and Kim [Ground 2]. .....	28
C.	Dependent claim 17 is patentable over the combination of Schmidl, Arslan, Kim, and Heiskala [Ground 3]. .....	30
V.	Grounds based on Schmidl and Kim [Grounds 4-5]. .....	30
A.	Claims 20 and 21 are Patentable over Schmidl and Kim [Ground 4].	30
1.	The Petition lacks sufficient rational underpinnings to support a legal conclusion of obviousness.....	31
2.	Petitioner did not establish that the proposed combination discloses “combining the data blocks and training blocks in a parallel format to provide a parallel combination” as recited in claim 20.....	32
B.	Dependent claims 23 and 24 are patentable over the combination of Schmidl, Kim and Heiskala [Ground 5].....	33
VI.	Conclusion .....	34

**Table of Authorities**

Cases

*ACTV, Inc. v. Walt Disney Co.*,  
346 F.3d 1082 (Fed. Cir. 2003) .....10

*Aspex Eyewear, Inc. v. Marchon Eyewear, Inc.*,  
672 F.3d 1335 (Fed. Cir. 2012) .....18

*General Electric Co. v. Brenner*,  
407 F.2d 1258 (D.C. Cir.1968).....29

*In re Kahn*,  
441 F.3d 977 (Fed. Cir. 2006) .....31

*KSR International Co. v. Teleflex Inc.*,  
550 U.S. 398 (S.Ct. 2007) .....31

*Phillips v. AWH Corp.*,  
415 F.3d 1303 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (en banc) .....11

*Stratoflex, Inc. v. Aeroquip Corp.*,  
713 F.2d 1530 (Fed. Cir. 1983) .....31

*Superguide Corp. v. DirecTV Enterprises, Inc.*,  
358 F.3d 870 (Fed. Cir. 2004) .....12

Statutes

35 U.S.C. § 103 .....31

Regulations

37 C.F.R. § 42.100(b) .....9

37 C.F.R. §1.57(e).....29

**Exhibit List**

<b>Exh. No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
<b>IV 2001</b>	Biography of Gordon Stüber (October 14, 2014), <a href="http://users.ece.gatech.edu/stuber/">http://users.ece.gatech.edu/stuber/</a>
<b>IV 2002</b>	Biography of Dr. Apurva N. Mody (October 14, 2014), <a href="http://www.inatel.br/iwt2013/index.php/keynote-speakers-sp-212359168/dr-apurva-n-mody">http://www.inatel.br/iwt2013/index.php/keynote-speakers-sp-212359168/dr-apurva-n-mody</a>

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.