Case IPR2014-01439, Paper No. 36 Case IPR2014-01441, Paper No. 35 Case IPR2014-01443, Paper No. 37

February 5, 2016

571-272-7822

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

TOSHIBA CORPORATION, Petitioner,

v.

OPTICAL DEVICES, LLC, Patent Owner.

Case IPR2014-01439, Patent RE42,913 Case IPR2014-01441, Patent RE43,681 Case IPR2014-01443, Patent RE40,927

Held: January 12, 2016

BEFORE: ERICA A. FRANKLIN, GLENN J. PERRY, and JAMES B. ARPIN, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Tuesday, January 12, 2016, commencing at 10:01 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.



APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

ALAN A. LIMBACH, ESQ. ROBERT C. WILLIAMS, ESQ. DLA Piper, LLC 2000 University Avenue East Palo Alto, California 94303-2215

ON BEHALF OF THE PATENT OWNER:

STEPHEN J. TYTRAN, ESQ. Optical Devices, LLC 20 Depot Street, Suite 2A Peterborough, New Hampshire 03458

and

THEODOSIUS THOMAS, ESQ. CHERYL RAMEY, ESQ. Scenera Research 5400 Trinity Road, Suite 303 Raleigh, North Carolina 27607



1	PROCEEDINGS
2	
3	JUDGE PERRY: Good morning. Be seated.
4	Judge Arpin, can you hear us okay?
5	JUDGE ARPIN: Yes, Judge Perry.
6	JUDGE PERRY: Good, okay. Well, welcome,
7	everyone. We're going to be together for two
8	days, about three hours on each day. So I want
9	to say right off the bat if anyone feels the need
10	for a break, please let us know, and we'll take a
11	short recess. Also, I don't know whether you
12	want to have a long break in between so
13	you have an opportunity to go eat something,
14	since we're running over the lunch hour. If you
15	do, you know, let me know, and we'll take a
16	longer break. Otherwise, I'll assume we're going
17	to take perhaps ten minutes between sides.
18	We're here today to hear argument, Toshiba
19	versus Optical Devices, the patent owner, in
20	three cases, IPR2014-01439, 41, and 43 dealing
21	with Patents RE42,913; 43,681; and Reissue 40,927
22	As you see, Judge Arpin is attending by
23	video, so I'd like to request that we all be
24	extra careful to refer clearly to the exhibit



- 1 we're talking about and to stay near the
- 2 microphone. If you drift too far from the
- 3 microphone, he won't be able to hear very well.
- 4 So I would appreciate that accommodation. Some
- 5 of us have a tendency to drift away. Please
- 6 fight that urge if you -- if it's happening to
- 7 you.
- 8 Judge Arpin, please jump in whenever you
- 9 feel the need with a question. I know there's a
- 10 very slight delay, and we'll try to accommodate
- 11 that, of course.
- 12 I'm presuming that you wish to present
- argument with Petitioner handling its entire
- argument and then Patent Owner handling its
- 15 entire argument. If you've agreed otherwise,
- please tell me. If you'd prefer to bounce it
- 17 back and forth for each the case or some other
- approach, whatever way you've agreed to is fine.
- 19 Otherwise, we'll have Petitioner argue their 90
- 20 minutes and then Patent Owner.
- 21 MR. TYTRAN: Hello, Judge. I had planned
- 22 at least to have Mr. Limbach present his case in
- 23 chief, then my case in chief on the Motion to
- 24 Amend and then let him --



- 1 JUDGE PERRY: You know --
- 2 JUDGE ARPIN: This is perhaps a good time
- 3 to remind you that if you don't speak at the
- 4 microphone, I cannot hear what you're saying.
- 5 MR. TYTRAN: Apologies, Judge Arpin.
- 6 My name is Stephen Tytran for Optical
- 7 Devices. I had planned that Mr. Limbach would
- 8 present his case in chief; that I would present
- 9 my case in chief on the Motion to Amend; and then
- 10 rebuttal; and then I would also reserve time at
- 11 the end for rebuttal.
- MR. LIMBACH: Alan Limbach for Toshiba.
- 13 That was my understanding as well, that I would
- begin by our case in chief on the original
- 15 claims; and then they would rebut, as well as
- 16 present on their Motion to Amend; and then I
- would come up and oppose their Motion to Amend.
- JUDGE PERRY: Yes, okay. That works just
- 19 fine. So we will then begin with Petitioner.
- When you're ready, please begin. And
- 21 if you want to reserve time for rebuttal, please
- tell us how much, and we'll try to keep track of
- 23 the clock for you.
- MR. LIMBACH: Okay. So good morning, your



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

