

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

CORELOGIC, INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

BOUNDARY SOLUTIONS, INC.,  
Patent Owner.

---

IPR2015-00219 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)

IPR2015-00222 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)

IPR2015-00226 (Patent 7,499,946 B2)

IPR2015-00228 (Patent 7,092,957 B2)

---

Held: February 11, 2016

---

BEFORE: LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, PETER P. CHEN, and  
RICHARD H. MARSCHALL, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Thursday,  
February 11, 2016, commencing at 2:00 p.m., at the U.S. Patent  
and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

IPR2015-00219 (Patent 8,065,352 B2); IPR2015-00222  
(Patent 8,065,352 B2); IPR2015-00226 (Patent 7,499,946 B2);  
IPR2015-00228 (Patent 7,092,957 B2)

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

JOSEPH E. PALYS, ESQ.  
DANIEL ZEILBERGER, ESQ.  
NAVEEN MODI, ESQ.  
Paul Hastings LLP  
875-15th Street, N.W.  
Washington, D.C. 20005

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

LAWRENCE EDELMAN, ESQ.  
The Law Offices of Lawrence Edelman  
130 San Aleso Avenue  
San Francisco, California 94127

and

BRUCE J. WECKER, ESQ.  
Hausfeld  
600 Montgomery Street, Suite 3200  
San Francisco, California 94111

IPR2015-00219 (Patent 8,065,352 B2); IPR2015-00222  
(Patent 8,065,352 B2); IPR2015-00226 (Patent 7,499,946 B2);  
IPR2015-00228 (Patent 7,092,957 B2)

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 - - - - -

3 JUDGE PETTIGREW: Please be seated.

4 Good afternoon, everyone. This is a consolidated  
5 hearing for four cases, IPR 2015-00219, IPR 2015-00222, both of  
6 which challenge U.S. patent number 8,065,352. The third case is  
7 IPR 2015-00226, which challenges patent number 7,499,946.  
8 And then, finally, IPR 2015-00228, which challenges U.S. patent  
9 number 7,092,957.

10 Petitioner is CoreLogic, Inc. Patent Owner is Boundary  
11 Solutions, Inc. Each side has 75 minutes to argue. Petitioner has  
12 the ultimate burden of establishing unpatentability, so Petitioner  
13 will argue first. Petitioner also may address its motion to exclude  
14 in its opening argument. Patent Owner then will present its  
15 opposing argument, and then, finally, Petitioner may use any time  
16 it has reserved for rebuttal to respond to Patent Owner's  
17 argument.

18 We may take a short break after either Petitioner's  
19 opening argument or Patent Owner's argument, depending on  
20 how much time has passed when we reach either one of those  
21 points.

22 Judge Chen is joining us by video from our Silicon  
23 Valley office and won't have the benefit of the visual cues in the  
24 room. So, when you speak about an exhibit or a demonstrative,  
25 please begin by identifying it with specificity, including the

IPR2015-00219 (Patent 8,065,352 B2); IPR2015-00222  
(Patent 8,065,352 B2); IPR2015-00226 (Patent 7,499,946 B2);  
IPR2015-00228 (Patent 7,092,957 B2)

1 particular page or slide number. Also, please be sure to speak  
2 into the microphone to ensure that Judge Chen can hear you.  
3 Sometimes we have counsel that tend to wander, but it's  
4 important to speak into the microphone.

5           Before we begin with your arguments, a brief  
6 housekeeping item, we have Petitioner's objections to Patent  
7 Owner's -- to some of Patent Owner's demonstratives. We won't  
8 spend time this afternoon discussing or ruling on any of the  
9 objections. As you know, the demonstratives are not evidence  
10 but instead are aids to facilitate the panel's understanding of the  
11 arguments presented by the parties at the hearing. We think the  
12 panel is capable of determining whether information in a  
13 demonstrative is improper, and we will not rely on any such  
14 information in our final written decisions, nor is there a jury  
15 present that might be confused by such information.

16 Nevertheless, we remind the parties that, as set forth in the Trial  
17 Practice Guide, at oral argument, a party may rely only on  
18 evidence that has been submitted previously and may only  
19 present arguments relied upon in the papers previously submitted.

20           Counsel, each of you, when you begin your argument,  
21 please identify yourself and the party you represent for the record.

22           Petitioner, you may begin when ready.

23           MR. WECKER: Your Honor, Bruce Wecker for the  
24 Patent Owner. We have a short response to the objections to the

IPR2015-00219 (Patent 8,065,352 B2); IPR2015-00222  
(Patent 8,065,352 B2); IPR2015-00226 (Patent 7,499,946 B2);  
IPR2015-00228 (Patent 7,092,957 B2)

1 slides which we're ready to file and we would seek permission to  
2 file that, if -- if it would be useful.

3 JUDGE PETTIGREW: We will address that later when  
4 I have had a chance to confer with the panel. Let's start the  
5 arguments for now.

6 MR. WECKER: Thank you.

7 JUDGE PETTIGREW: All right, Petitioner, you may  
8 begin when ready. First, do you wish to reserve any rebuttal  
9 time?

10 MR. PALYS: Yes, Your Honor. I'm shooting for 45  
11 minutes for rebuttal depending on how our initial arguments go.

12 JUDGE PETTIGREW: Forty-five for rebuttal.

13 MR. PALYS: Just another -- in response to the  
14 housekeeping note, Patent Owner's counsel provided us a copy of  
15 their response to the objections, and we'll just note that they're  
16 argumentative. So, we will leave it at that.

17 JUDGE PETTIGREW: Thank you. We appreciate  
18 that. We will make a determination on what to do with that later.

19 MR. PALYS: I understand.

20 May it please the Board, my name is Joseph Palys, and I  
21 represent the Petitioner, CoreLogic, today in these proceedings.

22 I'm joined with my colleagues Naveen Modi and Dan Zeilberger.

23 Can we turn to slide 2, please. As the Board has already  
24 noted, there's four matters here. The 219 and 222 relates to the  
25 '352 patent; the '946 relates to the -- I'm sorry, the 226 matter

# Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

## Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

## Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

## API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

## LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

## FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

## E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.