

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

CORELOGIC, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

BOUNDARY SOLUTIONS, INC.,
Patent Owner.

IPR2015-00219 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)
IPR2015-00222 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)
IPR2015-00226 (Patent 7,499,946 B2)
IPR2015-00228 (Patent 7,092,957 B2)¹

Before LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, PETER P. CHEN, and
RICHARD H. MARSCHALL, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PETTIGREW, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Trial Hearing
37 C.F.R. § 42.70

¹ We use this caption to indicate that this Order applies to, and is entered in, each of four proceedings. The parties are not authorized to use this caption.

IPR2015-00219 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)
IPR2015-00222 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)
IPR2015-00226 (Patent 7,499,946 B2)
IPR2015-00228 (Patent 7,092,957 B2)

Petitioner, CoreLogic, Inc., requests an oral hearing in each of these proceedings pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70. Paper 39 (IPR2015-00219); Paper 39 (IPR2015-00222); Paper 41 (IPR2015-00226); Paper 41 (IPR2015-00228). Patent Owner, Boundary Solutions, Inc., requests a consolidated hearing for the four proceedings. Paper 38 (IPR2015-00219); Paper 38 (IPR2015-00222); Paper 40 (IPR2015-00226); Paper 40 (IPR2015-00228). We grant the requests, including Patent Owner's request for a consolidated hearing for the four cases, which involve related patents and overlapping prior art. The consolidated hearing will commence at **2:00 PM Eastern Time on Thursday, February 11, 2016**, on the ninth floor of Madison Building East, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, VA.

Each party will have 75 minutes of argument time. Petitioner bears the ultimate burden of persuasion that the claims at issue are unpatentable. Therefore, Petitioner will open the hearing by presenting its case regarding the challenged claims for which the Board instituted trial. Petitioner also may present argument regarding its Motions to Exclude filed in each proceeding. Patent Owner then will have the opportunity to respond to Petitioner's arguments. Finally, Petitioner may use any time it has reserved for rebuttal to respond to arguments presented by Patent Owner. Patent Owner may not reserve rebuttal time.

The Board will provide a court reporter, and the transcript shall constitute the official record of the hearing. The hearing will be open to the public for in-person attendance that will be accommodated on a first-come, first-served basis.

IPR2015-00219 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)
IPR2015-00222 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)
IPR2015-00226 (Patent 7,499,946 B2)
IPR2015-00228 (Patent 7,092,957 B2)

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(b), demonstrative exhibits, if any, must be served on opposing counsel at least five business days before the hearing and filed with the Board no later than two business days before the hearing. The parties are directed to *St. Jude Medical, Cardiology Division, Inc. v. The Board of Regents of the University of Michigan*, IPR2013-00041, Paper 65 (PTAB Jan. 27, 2014), regarding the appropriate content of demonstrative exhibits. A hard copy of the demonstratives should be provided to the court reporter at the hearing.

The Board expects that the parties will meet and confer in good faith to resolve any objections to demonstrative exhibits, but if such objections cannot be resolved, the parties must file any objections to the demonstratives with the Board at least three business days before the hearing. The objections should identify with particularity which portions of the demonstratives are subject to objection, and include a short (one sentence or less) statement of the reason for each objection. No argument or further explanation is permitted. The Board will consider the objections and schedule a conference if deemed necessary. Otherwise, the Board will reserve ruling on the objections. Any objection to the demonstrative exhibits that is not timely presented will be considered waived.

At least one member of the panel will be attending the hearing remotely, by video and audio link. The parties are reminded that, during the hearing, the presenter must identify clearly each demonstrative exhibit (e.g., by referencing a specific slide or screen number) to ensure clarity and accuracy of the reporter's transcript and to ensure that a remote judge can follow the argument, even if the video connection is disrupted.

IPR2015-00219 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)
IPR2015-00222 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)
IPR2015-00226 (Patent 7,499,946 B2)
IPR2015-00228 (Patent 7,092,957 B2)

Questions regarding specific audio-visual equipment should be directed to the Board at (571) 272-9797. Requests for audio-visual equipment must be made five days in advance of the hearing date. The request is to be sent to Trials@uspto.gov. If the request is not timely received, the equipment may not be available on the day of the hearing.

No live testimony from any witness will be taken at the oral hearing. The Board expects lead counsel for each party to be present at the oral hearing, although any counsel of record may make the actual presentation. If either party anticipates that its lead counsel will not be in attendance at the oral hearing, the parties should initiate a joint telephone conference with the Board no later than five business days prior to the oral hearing to discuss the matter.

IPR2015-00219 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)
IPR2015-00222 (Patent 8,065,352 B2)
IPR2015-00226 (Patent 7,499,946 B2)
IPR2015-00228 (Patent 7,092,957 B2)

FOR PETITIONER:

Joseph E. Palys
Naveen Modi
Paul Hastings LLP
josephpalys@paulhastings.com
naveenmodi@paulhastings.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Lawrence Edelman
The Law Office of Lawrence Edelman
lawrence.edelman@comcast.net

Bruce J. Wecker
Hausfeld LLP
bwecker@hausfeld.com