

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC., and LG
ELECTRONICS MOBILECOMM U.S.A., INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

BLACK HILLS MEDIA, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00334
Patent 8,050,652 B2

Before BRIAN J. McNAMARA, FRANCES L. IPPOLITO, and
TINA E. HULSE, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McNAMARA, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Institution of *Inter Partes* Review and Grant of Motion for Joinder
37 C.F.R. § 42.108
37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b)

Introduction

LG Electronics, Inc., LG Electronics U.S.A., Inc., and LG Electronics MobileComm U.S.A., Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner LG”) filed a Petition, Paper 2 (“Pet.”), to institute an *inter partes* review of claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 13, 42, 44, 45, 47–50, 52, and 55 (the “challenged claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 8,050,652 B2 (“the ’652 Patent”). 35 U.S.C. § 311. Petitioner LG has also moved to join this proceeding with *Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd. v. Black Hills Media, LLC*, IPR2014-00737 (“the Samsung IPR”). Paper 3 (“Motion for Joinder”). Black Hills Media, LLC (“Patent Owner”) and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc., and Samsung Telecommunications America, LLC do not oppose Petitioner LG’s Motion for Joinder.

For the reasons described below, we institute an *inter partes* review of challenged claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, and 55 and grant Petitioner LG’s Motion for Joinder. We do not institute a trial on challenged claims 11 and 49.

Institution on the same grounds as those asserted in the Samsung IPR

The Petition in this proceeding asserts the same grounds as those asserted in the Samsung IPR. On November 4, 2014, we instituted a trial in the Samsung IPR on the following ground:

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 13, 42, 44, 45, 47, 48, 50, 52, and 55, as unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. § 103 over U.S. Patent No. 7,187,947 B1, issued Mar. 6, 2007 to White.

In view of the identity of the challenges in the instant Petition and those of the petition in the Samsung IPR, we institute an *inter partes* review in this proceeding on the same ground on which we instituted the Samsung IPR. We deny the Petition as to claims 11 and 49.

Joinder with the Samsung IPR

The Petition in this proceeding has been accorded a filing date of December 3, 2014, and therefore satisfies the joinder requirement of being filed within one month of our instituting a trial in the Samsung IPR. 37 C.F.R. § 42.122(b). In a teleconference on December 9, 2014, counsel for Petitioner LG represented that the only grounds Petitioner LG seeks to pursue in this proceeding are those on which we instituted the Samsung IPR. Counsel for Petitioner LG also agreed that all filings in the joined proceeding would be made by the petitioner in the Samsung IPR (“Petitioner Samsung”) and that Petitioner LG would not seek discovery other than that sought by Petitioner Samsung. Petitioner Samsung and Petitioner LG further agreed to resolve any disputes between them concerning the conduct of the joined proceeding and to contact the Board if any such matters cannot be resolved. The parties agreed that no additional burdens would be placed on Patent Owner as a result of the joinder.

In consideration of the above, we institute an *inter partes* review in IPR2015-00334 and grant Petitioner LG’s motion to join that proceeding to IPR2014-00737.

ORDER

In view of the foregoing, it is:

ORDERED that IPR2015-00334 is instituted and joined with IPR2014-00737;

IPR2015-00334
Patent 8,050,652 B2

FURTHER ORDERED that the ground on which IPR2014-00737 was instituted is unchanged and no other grounds are instituted in the joined proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that the Scheduling Order in place for IPR2014-00737 (Paper 12) is unchanged and applies to the joined proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that throughout the joined proceeding, Petitioner Samsung will file all papers jointly on behalf of Petitioner Samsung and Petitioner LG;

FURTHER ORDERED that except as otherwise agreed by counsel, Petitioner Samsung will conduct cross-examination and other discovery on behalf of Petitioner Samsung and Petitioner LG and that Patent Owner is not required to provide separate discovery responses or additional deposition time as a result of the joinder;

FURTHER ORDERED that IPR2015-00344 is terminated under 37 C.F.R. § 42.72 and all further filings in the joined proceeding are to be made in IPR2014-00737; and

FURTHER ORDERED that the case caption in IPR2014-00737 shall be changed to reflect joinder with this proceeding in accordance with the attached example.

IPR2015-00334
Patent 8,050,652 B2

PETITIONER:

Dori Johnson Hines
Jonathan R. Stroud
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW,
GARRETT & DUNNER, LLP
dori.hines@finnegan.com
jonathan.stroud@finnegan.com

PATENT OWNER

Thomas Engellenner
Reza Mollaaghababa
Christopher Horgan
PEPPER HAMILTON LLP
engellenert@pepperlaw.com
mollaaghababar@pepperlaw.com

Christopher Horgan
CONCERT TECHNOLOGY
chris.horgan@concerttechnology.com

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.