UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Under Armour Inc.
Petitioner

V.

adidas AG, Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-00698

Patent No. 8,092,345

PATENT OWNER'S OBJECTIONS TO ADMISSIBILITY OF EVIDENCE SERVED WITH PETITIONER UNDER ARMOUR INC.'S REPLY TO PATENT OWNER'S RESPONSE

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.64(b), Patent Owner objects as follows to the admissibility of evidence served with Petitioner Under Armour Inc.'s Reply to Patent Owner's Response in the *Inter Partes* Review of U.S. Patent No. 8,092,345.



Evidence	Objections
Exhibit 1011	FRE 402: portions of the exhibit are not relevant to any ground
	upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon
	which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the
	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay,
	wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.
	FRE 602: Paragraphs 177-205 and 206-246 of the exhibit include
	assertions for which evidence has not been introduced sufficient
	to show that the witness has personal knowledge of the matters
	asserted.
	FRE 701/702/703: Paragraphs 177-205 and 206-246 of the
	exhibit include opinions that are not admissible under FRE 701,
	702, or 703, or Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc., 509 U.S.
	579 (1993).
	FRE 801/802: Paragraphs 177-205 and 206-246 of the exhibit
	include statements that are inadmissible hearsay if offered to
	prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.



Evidence	Objections
	FRE 805: the exhibit contains improper hearsay within hearsay.
	FRE 1006: the exhibit provides an improper summary of the
	evidence.
	37 C.F.R. § 42.65: the exhibit includes expert testimony that
	does not disclose the underlying facts or data and improper
	discussion of patent law.
Exhibit 1012	Patent Owner maintains its objections made during the deposition
	of William Michalson.
	FRE 402: the exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon which
	trial was instituted.
	FRE 802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove
	the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
	37 C.F.R. § 42.65: the exhibit does not conform to the
	requirements for taking testimony in an <i>inter partes</i> review
	proceeding, including, but not limited to, the limitations placed
	on the scope of deposition testimony and the manner of taking
	deposition testimony.



Evidence	Objections
	FRE 901: Petitioner has not produced evidence sufficient to
	support a finding that the exhibit is what Petitioner claims it is.
Exhibit 1013	Lack of Foundation: Petitioner has not provided sufficient
	explanation of what the exhibit is or what it allegedly shows.
	FRE 402: the exhibit is not relevant to any ground upon which
	trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon
	which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the
	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay,
	wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.
	FRE 802: the exhibit is inadmissible hearsay if offered to prove
	the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
Exhibit 1014	FRE 402: portions of the exhibit are not relevant to any ground
	upon which trial was instituted.
	FRE 403: the exhibit's probative value to any ground upon
	which trial was instituted is substantially outweighed by the
	danger of unfair prejudice, confusing the issues, undue delay,



Evidence	Objections
	wasting time, or needlessly presenting cumulative evidence.
	FRE 602: Paragraphs 12-15, 16-17, and 18-30 of the exhibit
	include assertions for which evidence has not been introduced
	sufficient to show that the witness has personal knowledge of the
	matters asserted.
	FRE 701/702/703: Paragraphs 12-15, 16-17, and 18-30 of the
	exhibit include opinions that are not admissible under FRE 701,
	702, or 703, or Daubert v. Merrell Dow Pharms., Inc., 509 U.S.
	579 (1993).
	FRE 801/802: Paragraphs 12-15, 16-17, and 18-30 of the exhibit
	include statements that are inadmissible hearsay if offered to
	prove the truth of any matter allegedly asserted therein.
	FRE 805: the exhibit contains improper hearsay within hearsay.
	FRE 1006: the exhibit provides an improper summary of the
	evidence.
	37 C.F.R. § 42.65: the exhibit includes expert testimony that
	does not disclose the underlying facts or data and improper



DOCKET

Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

