

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Under Armour Inc.

Petitioner

v.

adidas AG,

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-00698

Patent No. 8,092,345

**MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION UNDER
37 C.F.R. § 42.10**

Patent Owner adidas AG ("Patent Owner") files this motion for *pro hac vice* admission under 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c), as authorized in the Notice of Filing Date Accorded, Paper No. 5. The Board requires that such motions be filed in accordance with the "Order – Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission" in Case IPR2013-00639, Paper 7 ("Order").

Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board recognize Mr. Jonathan D. Olinger as counsel *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.

1. Time for Filing

This motion for *pro hac vice* admission is filed no sooner than twenty-one (21) days after service of the petition, as required by the Order.

2. Statement of Facts

The following statement of fact shows that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Olinger *pro hac vice*.

Mr. Olinger is an experienced litigation attorney, and has been involved in numerous litigations involving patent infringement in District Courts across the country. Mr. Olinger has experience in jury and bench trials, and *Markman* hearings. Mr. Olinger's biography is attached hereto as Exhibit 2001.

U.S. Patent No. 8,092,345 is currently asserted against Petitioner in *adidas AG v. Under Armour et al.*, Case No. 14-130 (D. Del.) ("the co-pending

litigation”). Mr. Olinger is counsel for adidas AG in the co-pending litigation and, as such, has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. In the co-pending litigation, Mr. Olinger has reviewed prior art, developed validity arguments, and currently is involved in expert discovery. Patent Owner has expended significant financial resources in the co-pending litigation with Mr. Olinger as counsel, and Patent Owner wishes to continue using Mr. Olinger as counsel in this proceeding.

Further, counsel for Petitioner does not oppose Mr. Olinger appearing *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.

Therefore, Patent Owner respectfully submits that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Olinger as counsel *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.

3. Affidavit or Declaration of Individual Seeking to Appear

This Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission is accompanied by the declaration of Mr. Jonathan D. Olinger, as required by authorization of the Board.

Dated: October 22, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mitchell G. Stockwell
Mitchell G. Stockwell
Reg. No. 39,389
Lead Counsel for Patent Owner
adidas AG

**DECLARATION OF MR. JONATHAN D. OLINGER IN SUPPORT
OF MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION**

I, Jonathan D. Olinger, am over eighteen years of age and would be competent to testify as to the matters set forth herein if called upon to do so.

1. I am a member in good standing of the state bar of Georgia, as well as the following Federal Courts:

- a) U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia;
- b) U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Texas; and
- c) U.S. District Court for the District of Colorado

2. I have not been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.

3. I have never had an application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied.

4. No sanction or contempt citation has been imposed against me by any court or administrative body.

5. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R.

6. I will be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

7. During the past 3 years I have applied to appear pro hac vice before the Office in no other proceedings.

8. I am familiar with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding. I am co-counsel for adidas AG in a co-pending litigation, *adidas AG v. Under Armour et al.*, Case No. 14-130 (D. Del.), in which U.S. Patent No. 8,092,345, is currently asserted against Petitioner Under Armour et al. In the co-pending litigation, I have reviewed prior art, developed infringement contentions, and currently am involved in expert discovery. I therefore have an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding, including the prior art on which Petitioner relied in this request.

9. I am an experienced litigation attorney, with experience in numerous litigations involving patent infringement in District Courts across the country, including experience in jury and bench trials, and *Markman* hearings. My biography is attached hereto as Exhibit 2001.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.