

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

UNDER ARMOUR, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

ADIDAS AG,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00697 (Patent 6,810,019 B2)

Case IPR2015-00698 (Patent 8,092,345 B2)

Case IPR2015-00700 (Patent 8,579,767 B2)

Before JENNIFER S. BISK, MICHAEL J. FITZPATRICK, and JUSTIN BUSCH,
Administrative Patent Judges.

BISK, *Administrative Patent Judge.*

DECISION

Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Jonathan D. Olinger
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

Case IPR2015-00697 (Patent 6,810,019 B2)

Case IPR2015-00698 (Patent 8,092,345 B2)

Case IPR2015-00700 (Patent 8,579,767 B2)

Patent Owner filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Jonathan D. Olinger. Paper 16 in each of these proceedings.¹ Patent Owner also filed a declaration in support. Paper 16 (following the motion).² Patent Owner states that the motions are unopposed. Paper 16.

Having reviewed the motions and the accompanying declaration, we conclude that Mr. Olinger has sufficient qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding and that Patent Owner has shown good cause for Mr. Olinger's *pro hac vice* admission. Mr. Olinger will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* in this proceeding as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

It is

ORDERED that Patent Owner's motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Jonathan D. Olinger are *granted*, and Mr. Olinger is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Olinger is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Olinger is subject to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth at 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

¹ Patent Owner filed substantially identical motions in all three cases. All references will be to papers in IPR2015-00697.

² Paper 16 has no printed page numbers.

Case IPR2015-00697 (Patent 6,810,019 B2)

Case IPR2015-00698 (Patent 8,092,345 B2)

Case IPR2015-00700 (Patent 8,579,767 B2)

Petitioner:

Brian E. Ferguson

Anish R. Desai

Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP

brian.ferguson@weil.com

anish.desai@weil.com

Patent Owner:

Mitchell G. Stockwell

Wab P. Kadaba

Kilpatrick Townsend & Stockton LLP

mstockwell@kilpatricktownsend.com

wkadaba@kilpatricktownsend.com