

trials@uspto.gov

IPR2015-00810 Paper No. 43

IPR2015-00811 Paper No. 43

IPR2015-00812 Paper No. 42

571-272-7822

July 6, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

APPLE, INC.,

Petitioner,

V.

VIRNETX INC.,

Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00810 (Patent 8,868,705 B2)

Case IPR2015-00811 (Patent 8,868,705 B2)

Case IPR2015-00812 (Patent 8,850,009 B2)

Wednesday, June 8, 2016

Before HONORABLE KARL D. EASTHOM, HONORABLE
JENNIFER S. BISK, and HONORABLE GREGG I. ANDERSON,
Administrative Patent Judges.

Hearing in the above matter was held at the U.S. Patent &
Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board, Madison Building, 9th
Floor, Hearing Room A, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia, at
1:30 p.m.

Reported by Elizabeth Mingione, RPR and Notary Public for
the Commonwealth of Virginia.

APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:

ON BEHALF OF PETITIONER, APPLE INC.:

SCOTT BORDER, ESQUIRE
THOMAS A. BROUGHAN, III, ESQUIRE
SIDLEY AUSTIN, LLP
1501 K Street, Northwest
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 736-8314
Tbroughan@sidley.com
Sborder@sidley.com

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER, VIRNETX, INC.:

JOSEPH E. PALYS, ESQUIRE
DANIEL ZEILBERGER, ESQUIRE
CHETAN BANSAL, ESQUIRE
NAVEEN MODI, ESQUIRE
PAUL HASTINGS, LLP
875 15th Street, Northwest
Washington, D.C. 20005
(202) 551-1996
Josephpalys@paulhastings.com
Chetanbansal@paulhastings.com
Naveenmodi@paulhastings.com
Danielzeilberger@paulhastings.com

1 P R O C E E D I N G S

2 (1:30 p.m.)

3 JUDGE BISK: Please be seated. Judge
4 Anderson, can you hear us?

5 JUDGE ANDERSON: I can. Thank you.

6 JUDGE BISK: Okay. Great. So this is a
7 hearing for Apple, Inc. versus Virnetx, Inc. And it's three
8 separate cases that we are hearing together,
9 IPR2015-00810, 2015-00811 and 2015-00812.

10 As you can see, we have Judge Anderson
11 joining us on video from Denver. Or where are you
12 joining us from? Are you in California or Denver today?

13 JUDGE ANDERSON: I'm in California today.

14 JUDGE BISK: California. So please be aware
15 of that and try to direct all of your speaking into the
16 microphone. Otherwise, Judge Anderson can't hear you.

17 He also can't see the board. So if you are
18 using your slides, be sure to tell us which slide number
19 you are on. And with that, we have 40 minutes per side.
20 And can the parties tell us who's here for each party.

21 MR. BORDER: Yes, Your Honor. Scott
22 Border for Petitioner, Apple. And with me is Tom
23 Broughan.

1 MR. ZEILBERGER: Your Honor, Daniel
2 Zeilberger for patent owner; lead counsel, Joseph Palys;
3 Naveen Modi, chief counsel.

4 JUDGE BISK: Okay. And, Petitioner,
5 whenever you are ready. Did you want to save time for
6 rebuttal?

7 MR. BORDER: Yes, Your Honor. I plan on
8 saving about 15 minutes.

9 JUDGE BISK: Okay.

10 MR. BORDER: May I approach with
11 demonstratives?

12 JUDGE BISK: Sure.

13 MR. ZEILBERGER: Your Honor, would you
14 like us to provide you our demonstratives as well?

15 JUDGE BISK: Sure. Whenever you are ready.

16 PRESENTATION FOR PETITIONER

17 MR. BORDER: Good afternoon, Your Honors.

18 May it please the Board. We are here to
19 discuss three proceedings involving two patents owned by
20 Virnetx, the '705 and the '009 patents.

21 There are two primary prior art references
22 we'll be discussing today, Exhibit 1007, a U.S. patent to
23 Beser, and Exhibit 1009, which is an Aventail reference
24 publication. We'll also be discussing RFC 2401, which is
25 Exhibit 1008.

1 Can you change to slide 15, please.

2 Very quickly I want to go over Claim 1 of the
3 '705 patent. The '705 describes a method of creating an
4 encryptic communications channel between a client and
5 target device, and comprises three steps.

6 The first step is intercepting from the client
7 device a request to look up the IP address. The second
8 step is determining whether that request corresponds to a
9 device that accepts an encrypted channel connection. The
10 final step is in response to that determination, providing
11 certain provisioning information to initiate the creation of
12 the encrypted communication channel.

13 The basic steps of Claim 1 of the '009 patent
14 are similar, but they are from the point of view of a client
15 device, rather than a server. There are some distinctions.
16 I'll touch on those shortly.

17 On slide 3, I've created a brief road map of the
18 issues we are going to discuss today with respect to the
19 '810 and '812 proceedings. There are four primary issues
20 I want to address.

21 But, first, before I get into these, I want to
22 walk through the combination of Beser and RFC 2401, and
23 then I'll focus on these issues of dispute. Slide 4, please.

24 This slide includes two figures from Beser and
25 one excerpt from RFC 2401. At the top left is Figure 1 of

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.