

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

KAPSCH TRAFFICCOM IVHS INC., KAPSCH TRAFFICCOM IVHS
HOLDING CORP., KAPSCH TRAFFICCOM IVHS TECHNOLOGIES
HOLDING CORP., KAPSCH TRAFFICCOM U.S. CORP., and
KAPSCH TRAFFICCOM HOLDING CORP.,
Petitioner,

v.

NEOLOGY, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-00808 (Patent 6,229,443 B1)
Case IPR2015-00814 (Patent 6,690,264 B2)
Case IPR2015-00818 (Patent 8,237,568 B2)
Case IPR2015-00819 (Patent 8,325,044 B2)¹

Before JUSTIN T. ARBES, GLENN J. PERRY, and
TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ARBES, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION

Patent Owner's Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of Robert H. Sloss
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

¹ This Decision addresses an issue pertaining to all four cases. Therefore, we exercise our discretion to issue a single Decision to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

IPR2015-00808, IPR2015-00814
IPR2015-00818, IPR2015-00819

Patent Owner filed a Motion requesting *pro hac vice* admission of Robert H. Sloss in each of the instant proceedings, and provided a Declaration from Mr. Sloss in support of each request. *See* IPR2015-00808, Paper 7; IPR2015-00814, Paper 7; IPR2015-00818, Paper 7; IPR2015-00819, Paper 9.² Patent Owner's lead counsel, Noel C. Gillespie, is a registered practitioner. Petitioner did not file an opposition to any of the Motions. Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and Declarations, we conclude that Patent Owner has established good cause for Mr. Sloss's *pro hac vice* admission. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c); *Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, Case IPR2013-00639, slip op. at 3–4 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (Paper 7) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Patent Owner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Robert H. Sloss are *granted*, and Mr. Sloss is authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in the instant proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in the instant proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Sloss is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Sloss is subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901 and the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

² Patent Owner filed each Motion and Declaration together as a single document. The parties are reminded that declarations must be filed as exhibits, rather than papers, and numbered sequentially in the appropriate range. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.63.

IPR2015-00808, IPR2015-00814
IPR2015-00818, IPR2015-00819

PETITIONER:

Gregg F. LoCascio
Nathan S. Mammen
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
gregg.locascio@kirkland.com
nathan.mammen@kirkland.com

PATENT OWNER:

Noel C. Gillespie
Victor M. Felix
PROCOPIO, CORY, HARGREAVES & SAVITCH LLP
gail.poulos@procopio.com
victor.felix@procopio.com