

5 60 5 "rfc interest" to "rfc dist" The list name
 6 is called "rfc dist"
 7 (rfc distribution)
 8
 9
 10
 11
 12
 13
 14
 15
 16
 17
 18
 19
 20
 21
 22
 23
 24
 25

Sandy Ginoza
February 8, 2013

Page 1

UNITED STATES INTERNATIONAL TRADE COMMISSION

WASHINGTON, D.C.

In the Matter of)
)
CERTAIN DEVICES WITH)
SECURE COMMUNICATION) Investigation No. 337-TA-858
CAPABILITIES, COMPONENTS)
THEREOF, AND PRODUCTS)
CONTAINING THE SAME)
_____)

DEPOSITION OF INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE
THROUGH ITS DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE, SANDY GINOZA
FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 2013
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA

Reported By:

Lindsay Pinkham, CSR 3716, CCRR

Stratos Legal Services

Sandy Ginoza
February 8, 2013

Page 2

1 Deposition of INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE,
2 THROUGH ITS DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE SANDY GINOZA,
3 taken on behalf of Respondent APPLE INC., commencing at
4 8:57 a.m., Friday, February 8, 2013, at 750 North Nash
5 Street, El Segundo, California 90245, before LINDSAY
6 PINKHAM, CSR 3716, CCR.

7
8 APPEARANCES OF COUNSEL:
9 For Complainant VIRNETX, INC.:
10 MCKOOL SMITH P.C.
11 BY: STACIE GRESKOWIAK, ESQ.
12 1999 K Street, N.W.
13 Suite 600
14 Washington, D.C. 20006
15 (202) 370-8300
16 sgreskowiak@mckoolsmith.com
17 (Via Speakerphone)

18
19 For Complainant SCIENCE APPLICATIONS INTERNATIONAL
20 CORPORATION:
21 URRABAZO LAW, P.C.
22 BY: LARA PETREDIS, ESQ.
23 2029 Century Park East
24 14th Floor
25 Los Angeles, California 90067
(310) 388-9099
lpetredis@ulawpc.com

26
27 For RESPONDENT APPLE INC.:
28 WILLIAMS, MORGAN & AMERSON, P.C.
29 BY: LEISA TALBERT PESCHEL, PH.D., ESQ.
30 10333 Richmond
31 Suite 1100
32 Houston, Texas 77042
33 (713) 934-4096
34 lpeschel@wmalaw.com

Page 3

1 APPEARANCES, CONTINUED:
2
3 For the Deponent INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE:
4
5 THOMPSON HINE LLP
6 BY: MATTHEW D. RIDINGS, ESQ.
7 3900 Key Center
8 127 Public Square
9 Cleveland, Ohio 44114
10 (216) 566-5561
11 matt.ridings@thompsonhine.com

12
13 Also Present:
14 PHIL MAZO, VIDEOGRAPHER
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

Page 4

1 INDEX
2
3 Examination Page
4 By Ms. Peschel 8
5 By Ms. Greskowiak 50
6
7
8
9 RESPONDENT'S EXHIBITS
10 No. 1 Subpoena Ad Testificandum 14
11 No. 2 Subpoena Duces Tecum 15
12 No. 3 Document entitled "Declaration of the 16
13 RFC Publisher for the Internet
14 Engineering Task Force, an Organized
15 Activity of the Internet Society" 18
16 No. 4 Document entitled "The Tao of IETF:
17 A Novice's Guide to the Internet
18 Engineering Task Force" 20
19 No. 5 RFC 1034, 337-TA-858-IETF00022 thru
20 00073
21 No. 6 RFC 1035, 337-TA-858-IETF00074 thru 22
22 00125
23 No. 7 RFC 1123, 337-TA-858-IETF00241 thru 23
24 00337
25 No. 8 RFC 1631, 337-TA-858-IETF00345 thru 25
00354
26 No. 9 RFC 1889, 337-TA-858-IETF00541 thru 27
27 00611
28 No. 10 RFC 2052, 337-TA-858-IETF00715 thru 29
29 00724
30
31
32
33
34
35

Page 5

1 INDEX, CONTINUED:
2
3 No. 11 RFC 2065, 337-TA-858-IETF00725 thru 30
4 00763
5 No. 12 RFC 2131, 337-TA-858-IETF00764 thru 32
6 00806
7
8 No. 13 RFC 2167, 337-TA-858-IETF02137 thru 34
9 02196
10 No. 14 RFC 2230, 337-TA-858-IETF00880 thru 36
11 00890
12
13 No. 15 RFC 2246, 337-TA-858-IETF00891 thru 37
14 00965
15 No. 16 RFC 2401, 337-TA-858-IETF01122 thru 3
16 01183
17
18 No. 17 RFC 2535, 337-TA-858-IETF01574 thru 40
19 01617
20 No. 18 RFC 2459, 337-TA-858-IETF01485 thru 42
21 01557
22
23 No. 19 RFC 2543, 337-TA-858-IETF01647 thru 45
24 01789
25 No. 20 RFC 882, 337-TA-858-IETF02034 thru 46
02063
26
27 No. 21 RFC 883, 337-TA-858-IETF02064 thru 48
28 02136
29
30 INFORMATION REQUESTED
31 (None)
32
33 QUESTIONS WITNESS INSTRUCTED NOT TO ANSWER
34 (None)
35

Sandy Ginoza
February 8, 2013

Page 6	Page 8
1 EL SEGUNDO, CALIFORNIA; FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 8, 2013 2 8:57 A.M. 3 4 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We are on the record at 8:57 5 a.m. This is the videotaped deposition of Sandy Ginoza, 6 representing the Internet Engineering Task Force in the 7 matter of Certain Devices With Security Communication 8 Capabilities, Components Thereof, and Products 9 Containing the Same, in Investigation No. 337-TA-858. 10 This deposition is being held at Hyatt Place, 750 11 North Nash Street, El Segundo, California, 90245, on 12 February 8, 2013. 13 My name is Phil Mazo, and I am the 14 videographer. I am present on behalf of Stratos Legal. 15 The court reporter is Lindsay Pinkham, also present on 16 behalf of the Stratos Legal. 17 Counsel will now state their appearances and 18 firm affiliation for the record. 19 MS. PESCHEL: Leisa Talbert Peschel, Williams, 20 Morgan & Amerson, on behalf of Apple Inc. 21 MS. PETREDIS: Lara Petredis, Urrabazo Law, 22 P.C., on behalf of SAIC. 23 MR. RIDINGS: Matt Ridings from Thompson Hine 24 on behalf of IETF. 25 And I'd also like to note before we get started	1 EXAMINATION 2 BY MS. PESCHEL: 3 Q Ms. Ginoza, have you ever had your deposition 4 taken before? 5 A No. 6 MS. GRESKOWIAK: I'm sorry. Could you speak 7 up? It's hard to hear. 8 MS. PESCHEL: Sure. 9 Q Have you ever had your deposition taken before? 10 A No. 11 Q So in the beginning, I'm just going to go 12 through a series of questions to kind of get us on the 13 same page about the deposition. 14 A Okay. 15 Q You understand that the court reporter's here 16 to take down what you say? 17 A Yes. 18 Q And that any answers that you give need to be 19 spoken out loud rather than a nod? 20 A Okay. 21 Q So that she can take that down? 22 A Yes. 23 Q I'm going to try my best not to talk over you, 24 and I would ask you to agree not to do the same. 25 A Okay.
Page 7	Page 9
1 for the record that about an hour ago I received a 2 number of exhibits from counsel for VirnetX, including 3 some exhibits that IETF has not produced in respond to 4 the subpoena. 5 I have not had a chance to review these 6 exhibits, the deponent has not had a chance to review 7 these exhibits, and frankly, as a matter of professional 8 courtesy, I really don't appreciate receiving these an 9 hour before the deposition. I don't think it's 10 appropriate. And we'll deal with those when we get 11 there. But I just want to note that for the record. 12 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Counsel on the phone? 13 MS. GRESKOWIAK: This is Stacie Greskowiak on 14 behalf of complainant VirnetX, Inc. 15 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: Will the court reporter 16 please swear in the witness. 17 18 INTERNET ENGINEERING TASK FORCE, THROUGH 19 ITS DESIGNATED REPRESENTATIVE, SANDY GINOZA 20 having been first duly sworn, 21 was examined and testified as follows: 22 23 THE VIDEOGRAPHER: We may proceed. 24 /// 25 ///	1 Q I try to ask very clear questions, but 2 sometimes I don't succeed. Will you agree to let me 3 know if you don't understand what I'm asking? 4 A Yes. 5 Q Will you also agree to let me know if the 6 answer you're giving is a guess or an estimate? 7 A Yes. 8 Q And can I assume that if you don't qualify your 9 answer as a guess or an estimate, that it is not a guess 10 or an estimate? 11 A Yes. 12 Q Will you agree to let me know if later in the 13 deposition you need to change an answer you gave 14 previously, if you think of something additional? 15 A Sure. 16 Q About every hour we'll probably take a short 17 break. If for any reason you need a break sooner than 18 that, will you just let me know? 19 A Sure. 20 Q And are you feeling okay today? 21 A Yes. 22 Q There's nothing physically that would prevent 23 you from giving truthful and accurate testimony? 24 A No. 25 Q And you're not on any medication that would

3 (Pages 6 to 9)

Stratos Legal Services



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Sandy Ginoza
February 8, 2013

<p style="text-align: right;">Page 10</p> <p>1 prevent you from giving truthful or accurate testimony? 2 A No. 3 Q Do you have any questions so far? 4 A No. 5 Q And you understand that you're here today 6 testifying on behalf of the Internet Engineering Task 7 Force? 8 A Yes. 9 Q And that your answers are given on behalf of 10 the IETF? 11 A Yes. 12 Q And you understand when I refer to "IETF," that 13 I'm referring to the Internet Engineering Task Force? 14 A Yes. 15 Q If you need to give an answer on a personal 16 basis, please qualify your answer as such. 17 A Okay. 18 Q And you understand that if I ask a question, 19 I'm really asking a question to the IETF and not to you 20 personally, unless I specifically address it to you 21 personally. 22 A Okay. 23 Q Now, I do want to talk a little bit with you 24 about your personal background and history. What is 25 your current relationship with the IETF?</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 12</p> <p>1 the IETF. But I was a project assistant. 2 Q And what was your next position? 3 A I believe it was a -- weird titles -- 4 administrative assistant to the senior editor after 5 that, and I left that, ISI, as senior editor. 6 Q And when did you leave ISI? 7 A January of 2010. 8 Q And what happened in January of 2010? 9 A The contract to provide the RFC Editor services 10 was transferred over to AMS, and... 11 Q Did you go with -- 12 A Yes, I did. 13 Q You went with the functionality. So what are 14 the current responsibilities that you have? 15 A It's very similar to what I was doing 16 previously. It's tracking the documents through the 17 publication process once they've been approved for 18 publication. 19 Q And does that involve maintaining any of the 20 records? 21 A Yes. 22 Q What functions would you -- do you perform for 23 maintaining the records? 24 A So once -- I don't personally input the initial 25 information into our database, but one of our staff</p>
<p style="text-align: right;">Page 11</p> <p>1 A I am responsible -- I'm the RFC Director, so 2 the RFC Publication Director, and so we publish the IETF 3 documents that come out as RFCs. 4 Q And how long have you been involved in the 5 IETF? 6 A Thirteen-ish years. 7 Q And what was your position when you started 8 with the IETF? 9 A Similar to what I am doing now, but I probably 10 started as a project assistant. 11 Q Okay. So what would have been your 12 responsibilities at that time? 13 A The documents that were approved for 14 publication, it would be to enter them into a queue, 15 track them through the process, format them, edit the 16 documents, interact with the authors to make sure that 17 the documents say what they wanted to say, and then 18 publish the documents on the website. 19 Q Okay. And have you been publishing the 20 documents, the RFC documents, for that whole time 21 period, the 13 years? 22 A Yes. 23 Q What was your first position with the IETF? 24 A Well, I really worked for ISI, which is part of 25 USC, but the contract included receiving documents from</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 13</p> <p>1 people does. And we track the documents, make sure they 2 get edited, interact with the authors, get author 3 approval to publish the documents, and then when the 4 authors sign off on it, I would then go input the 5 correct -- any corrected data into the database, update 6 the indexes, place the document online, and send out an 7 announcement that the document is available. 8 Q When is the document considered published by 9 the IETF? 10 A The IETF considers it published on the date the 11 announcement is sent. It's possible that it may be in a 12 public repository a day or two before that, but it's 13 considered when the announcement is sent, because that's 14 when people know it's there. 15 Q And who has access to the repository? 16 A Everyone. Anyone who has access to the 17 Internet. 18 Q So a member of the public could go on the 19 Internet and search for a particular RFC and be able to 20 see that? 21 A Yes. 22 Q Do you know how far back the records go? 23 A Records for? 24 Q The RFCs. 25 A The records that are online?</p>

4 (Pages 10 to 13)

Stratos Legal Services



Find authenticated court documents without watermarks at docketalarm.com.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.