

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD, SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS
AMERICA, INC., and SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC,
Petitioner,

v.

NVIDIA CORPORATION,
Patent Owner.

Cases¹

IPR2015-01028 (Patent 6,198,488 B1)
IPR2015-01029 (Patent 6,992,667 B2)
IPR2015-01070 (Patent 6,690,372 B2)

Before KEVIN F. TURNER, BEVERLY M. BUNTING, AND
JON B. TORNQUIST, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

BUNTING, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER
Conduct of the Proceedings
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

¹ This Order addresses issues that are identical in each case. We exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each case. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

IPR2015-01028 (Patent 6,198,488 B1)
IPR2015-01029 (Patent 6,992,667 B2)
IPR2015-01070 (Patent 6,690,372 B2)

An initial conference call for Cases IPR2015-01028, IPR2015-01029, and IPR2015-01070 took place on November 3, 2015 among respective counsel for Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, Inc. and Samsung Semiconductor, Inc. (collectively, “Petitioner”), NVIDIA Corporation (“Patent Owner”), and Administrative Patent Judges Turner, Tornquist, and Bunting. A court reporter was present on the call. Counsel should file a copy of the transcript when available.

We asked that the parties attempt to resolve disputes between themselves before contacting the Board for help. *See generally* 37 C.F.R. §§ 42.1(c) and 42.11.

The following matters were discussed during the initial conference call:

1. Schedule

As explained during the call, the trial schedules for each of the above-identified inter partes reviews have been synchronized for efficiency. The parties did not propose any changes to the due dates set forth in the Scheduling Orders entered in these cases. The parties are reminded that they may stipulate to different dates for DUE DATES 1-5, but cannot go later than DUE DATE 6, as provided in the Scheduling Order, by filing an appropriate Notice with the Board. The parties may not stipulate to any other changes to the Scheduling Order.

IPR2015-01028 (Patent 6,198,488 B1)
IPR2015-01029 (Patent 6,992,667 B2)
IPR2015-01070 (Patent 6,690,372 B2)

2. Protective Order

The parties agreed to the default protective order in IPR2015-01028 (IPR2015-01028, Paper 13).² If the parties should require entry of a protective order later in IPR2015-01070, they may stipulate to the default Standing Protective Order, Office Trial Practice Guide, 77 Fed. Reg. 48,769-71, App. B (Aug. 14, 2012) (“Trial Practice Guide”) or they must submit a joint, proposed protective order accompanied by a red-lined version based on the default protective order in Appendix B to the Trial Practice Guide.

3. Discovery

The Parties do not have any agreements in place as to disclosures or discovery, nor does either party anticipate requesting additional discovery at this time.

4. Motion to Amend

Patent Owner indicated that it would not file a motion to amend in these proceedings, and was instructed to request a conference with the Panel for guidance should it later elect to file a motion to amend. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.121 (A patent owner may file one motion to amend a patent, but only after conferring with the Board.)

5. Other Motions

Petitioner filed a proposed list of motions. No other motions were authorized.

² A separate order will be entered in IPR2015-01029 to address Patent Owner’s Motion to Seal. Paper 7.

IPR2015-01028 (Patent 6,198,488 B1)

IPR2015-01029 (Patent 6,992,667 B2)

IPR2015-01070 (Patent 6,690,372 B2)

6. Settlement

The parties indicated that there has been no discussion on settlement. In the event that the parties wish to terminate this proceeding pursuant to a settlement, they should request a conference with the Board.

7. Oral Hearing

Petitioner indicated its preferred location for the oral hearing is the Alexandria Headquarters, in Virginia, while Patent Owner indicated its preference is the Silicon Valley Regional Office, in California. The hearing location will be designated in the Oral Hearing Order, if oral argument is requested.

IPR2015-01028 (Patent 6,198,488 B1)
IPR2015-01029 (Patent 6,992,667 B2)
IPR2015-01070 (Patent 6,690,372 B2)

PETITIONER:

Robert A. Appleby, P.C.
Gregory S. Arovas, P.C.
KIRKLAND & ELLIS LLP
robert.appleby@kirkland.com
greg.arovas@kirkland.com
Samsung-NVIDIA-IPR-Service@kirkland.com

PATENT OWNER:

Christopher Broderick
Don Daybell
ORRICK HERRINGTON & SUTCLIFFE LLP
CPBPTABDocket@orrick.com
D2DPTABDocket@orrick.com