

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

DUODECAD IT SERVICES LUXEMBOURG S.À.R.L.,
FRIENDFINDER NETWORKS INC., AND
STREAMRAY INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

WAG ACQUISITION, LLC,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01036
Patent 8,364,839 B2

Before GLENN J. PERRY, TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, and
BRIAN J. McNAMARA, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

PERRY, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

FINAL WRITTEN DECISION
35 U.S.C. § 318(a) and 37 C.F.R. § 42.73

I. INTRODUCTION

This is a Final Written Decision entered in an *inter partes* review instituted pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 314. For reasons discussed below, we determine that Petitioner has shown by a preponderance of the evidence that claims 1, 3, 4, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13, 15, 17, 18, and 20 of U.S. Patent No. 8,364,839 B2 (Ex. 1001, “the ’839 patent”) are unpatentable. However, Petitioner has not established by a preponderance of evidence that claims 7, 14, and 21 are unpatentable.

A. Procedural History

Duodecad IT Services Luxembourg S.à r.l., Friendfinder Networks Inc., and Streamray Inc., (collectively, “Duodecad” or “Petitioner”) filed a Petition (Paper 2, “Pet.”), to institute an *inter partes* review of claims 1–21 (the “challenged claims”) of U.S. Patent No. 8,364,839 (“the ’839 patent”). 35 U.S.C. § 311. WAG Acquisition, LLC (“WAG” or “Patent Owner”) timely filed a Preliminary Response (Paper 6, “Prelim. Resp.”) contending that the petition should be denied as to all challenged claims. We instituted an *inter partes* review of claims 1, 3, 4, 6–8, 10, 11, 13–15, 17, 18, 20 and 21 of the ’839 patent.

After institution of trial, Patent Owner timely filed a Patent Owner Response (Paper 11, “Resp.”) and Petitioner filed a Reply (Paper 13, “Reply”). We heard oral argument on July 18, 2016. A transcript of the argument was entered into the record. Paper 16 (“Tr.”).

B. Real Parties in Interest

Petitioner identifies the following real parties-in-interest: Docler USA, LLC, Duodecad IT Services Luxembourg S.à r.l., Docler Holding S.à r.l., Gattyàn Family Irrevocable Trust (including Mr. György Gattyàn in his capacity as Grantor and Investment Advisor), Duodecad IT Services Hungary KFT, Gattyàn Group S.à r.l., FriendFinder Networks Inc., StreamRay Inc., WMM, LLC, WMM Holdings, LLC, Multi Media LLC, Various, Inc., Interactive Network, Inc., Data Tech Global, LLC, and DataTech Systems, LLC. Pet. 2. Patent Owner does not challenge Petitioner's statement of real parties in interest.

C. Related Matters

Petitioner states that Patent Owner asserted the '839 patent in eight pending litigations: *WAG Acquisition, LLC v. Sobonito Investments, Ltd.*, Case No. 2:14-cv-1661-ES-JAD (D.N.J.); *WAG Acquisition, LLC v. Multi Media, LLC*, Case No. 2:14-cv-2340-ES-JAD (D.N.J.); *WAG Acquisition, LLC v. Data Conversions, Inc.*, Case No. 2:14-cv-2345-ES-JAD (D.N.J.); *WAG Acquisition, LLC v. Flying Crocodile, Inc.*, Case No. 2:14-cv-2674-ES-MAH (D.N.J.); *WAG Acquisition, LLC v. Gattyàn Group S.à r.l.*, Case No. 2:14-cv-2832-ES-JAD (D.N.J.); *WAG Acquisition, LLC v. MFCXY, Inc.*, Case No. 2:14-cv-3196-ES-MAH (D.N.J.); *WAG Acquisition, LLC v. FriendFinder Networks Inc.*, Case No. 2:14-cv-3456-ES-JAD (D.N.J.); and *WAG Acquisition, LLC v. Vubeology, Inc.*, Case No. 2:14-cv-04531-ES-JAD (D.N.J.). Pet. 2.

In addition to this *inter partes* review, Petitioner filed petitions for *inter partes* reviews of U.S. Patent No. 8,185,611 ("the '611 patent"), U.S.

IPR2015-01036
Patent 8,364,839 B2

Patent No. 8,122,141 and U.S. Patent No. 8,327,011. The '839 patent states on its face that it is a continuation of the '611 patent, involved in IPR2015-01035. Prelim. Resp. 13, Ex. 1001. Petitions in related *inter partes* reviews IPR2015-01033 (U.S. Patent No. 8,327,011), IPR2015-01035 (U.S. Patent No. 8,185,611), and IPR2015-01037 (U.S. Patent No. 8,122,141) were denied.

D. The '839 Patent

1. Described Invention

The '839 patent, titled “Streaming Media Delivery System,” issued on January 29, 2013. It describes users viewing or listening to streaming content over Internet connections encounter interruptions (“drops outs”) due to transmission delays and losses. Ex. 1001, 2:16–23. The '839 patent addresses a “need for improved systems and methods for delivering streaming content over the Internet or other communications medium, which facilitate continuous transmission of streaming content, respond on demand without objectionable buffering delay, and perform without disruption or dropouts.” *Id.* at 3:24–29.

The '839 patent tells us that Internet streaming, as practiced in the prior art, relied on a server transmitting streaming media continuously at the playback rate of the media, where the playback rate corresponds to the frames-per-second at which the media was encoded for playback at normal speed. *Id.* at 1:30–2:15. Data in each frame can be encoded using Constant Bit Rate (CBR) or Variable Bit Rate (VBR) encoding. *Id.*

A client device for receiving and playing a streamed transmission (e.g., a computer running media player software) typically used a playback

buffer (user buffer) for collecting frames of data being streamed. The client would not begin playback until the user buffer was filled to a specified level. The user buffer thus provided a reservoir of data available in the event of packet loss or delay, corresponding to the playback time of the amount of media initially buffered. If losses or delays occurred during transmission, the content of the user buffer (reservoir of data) would shrink as playback continued during the period of such losses or delays. See, e.g. Ex. 1001, 2:16–38. Because playback continued at the playback rate, the buffer did not refill after depletion, other than by suspending playback and waiting for it to refill. Startup of playback always had to wait for the user buffer initially to accumulate data to a specified level, which required a noticeable startup delay.

The '839 patent approach uses the server's built-in transport mechanism, e.g., the server's TCP stack, as a control mechanism. *Id.* at 8:9–13. The server buffer sends data, via the transport mechanism, to the user buffer. At any time, the connection between the server and user buffers, as moderated by the server's transport mechanism, sends as much data as the transport mechanism will accept, and sends the data as fast as the connection will allow. *Id.* at 10:24–33.

The server buffer is pre-filled before a user joins the stream and transmission starts. *Id.* at 8:31–44. Pre-filling of the server buffer can be rapid if the data comes from disk storage. If joining a live (real time) transmission in progress, the server buffer is already filled at the time the user joins the stream. Once the server buffer is sufficiently full, the server buffer sends its contents, as fast as the connection will support, to the user

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.