trials@uspto.gov 571-272-7822

DOCKET

IPR2015-01205, Paper No. 36 September 27, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

AKORN, INC., Petitioner,

v.

SENJU PHARMACEUTICAL CO., LTD, MITSUBISHI CHEMICAL CORPORATION, Patent Owner.

> Case IPR2015-01205 Patent 6,114,319

Held: September 7, 2016

BEFORE: DEBORAH KATZ, JACQUELINE WRIGHT BONILLA, and GRACE KARAFFA OBERMANN, Administrative Patent Judges.

The above-entitled matter came on for hearing on Wednesday, September 7, 2016, commencing at 10:03 a.m., at the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, 600 Dulany Street, Alexandria, Virginia.

APPEARANCES:

ON BEHALF OF THE PETITIONER:

ELDORA LYNN ELLISON, Ph.D., ESQUIRE CHANDRIKA VIRA, ESQUIRE SANA F. HUSSAIN, ESQUIRE Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox, PLLC 1100 New York Avenue, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20005

ON BEHALF OF PATENT OWNER:

JOHN KAPPOS, ESQUIRE FILKO PRUGO, ESQUIRE O'Melveny & Myers, LLP 610 Newport Center Drive Seventeenth Floor Newport Beach, California 92660

 JUDGE KATZ: Okay. I think we'll get started. Go morning. This is an oral argument for inter partes review num 2015-01205. The petitioner is Akorn, Inc., and patent owners Senju Pharmaceutical Company Limited and Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation. I am Judge Katz. Judge Bonilla is on right and Judge Obermann is on my left. And before we get started, I would like to point out a few housekeeping things. The hearing is open to the public, a a full transcript of it will become part of the public record, just you know. Each party has been accorded 45 minutes in total t present their arguments. Petitioner will go first followed by th patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So th petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time. 	ber are my
 morning. This is an oral argument for inter partes review num 2015-01205. The petitioner is Akorn, Inc., and patent owners Senju Pharmaceutical Company Limited and Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation. I am Judge Katz. Judge Bonilla is on right and Judge Obermann is on my left. And before we get started, I would like to point out a few housekeeping things. The hearing is open to the public, a a full transcript of it will become part of the public record, just you know. Each party has been accorded 45 minutes in total t present their arguments. Petitioner will go first followed by th patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So th 	ber are my
 2015-01205. The petitioner is Akorn, Inc., and patent owners Senju Pharmaceutical Company Limited and Mitsubishi Chemical Corporation. I am Judge Katz. Judge Bonilla is on right and Judge Obermann is on my left. And before we get started, I would like to point out a few housekeeping things. The hearing is open to the public, a a full transcript of it will become part of the public record, just you know. Each party has been accorded 45 minutes in total t present their arguments. Petitioner will go first followed by th patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So th petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time. 	are my
 6 Senju Pharmaceutical Company Limited and Mitsubishi 7 Chemical Corporation. I am Judge Katz. Judge Bonilla is on 8 right and Judge Obermann is on my left. 9 And before we get started, I would like to point out a 10 few housekeeping things. The hearing is open to the public, a 11 a full transcript of it will become part of the public record, just 12 you know. Each party has been accorded 45 minutes in total t 13 present their arguments. Petitioner will go first followed by the 14 patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So the 15 petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time. 	my
 Chemical Corporation. I am Judge Katz. Judge Bonilla is on right and Judge Obermann is on my left. And before we get started, I would like to point out a few housekeeping things. The hearing is open to the public, a a full transcript of it will become part of the public record, just you know. Each party has been accorded 45 minutes in total t present their arguments. Petitioner will go first followed by th patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So th petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time. 	
 right and Judge Obermann is on my left. And before we get started, I would like to point out a few housekeeping things. The hearing is open to the public, a a full transcript of it will become part of the public record, just you know. Each party has been accorded 45 minutes in total t present their arguments. Petitioner will go first followed by th patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So th petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time. 	
9 And before we get started, I would like to point out a 10 few housekeeping things. The hearing is open to the public, a 11 a full transcript of it will become part of the public record, just 12 you know. Each party has been accorded 45 minutes in total t 13 present their arguments. Petitioner will go first followed by th 14 patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So th 15 petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time.	
10 few housekeeping things. The hearing is open to the public, a 11 a full transcript of it will become part of the public record, just 12 you know. Each party has been accorded 45 minutes in total t 13 present their arguments. Petitioner will go first followed by th 14 patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So th 15 petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time.	
 a full transcript of it will become part of the public record, just you know. Each party has been accorded 45 minutes in total t present their arguments. Petitioner will go first followed by th patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So th petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time. 	
12 you know. Each party has been accorded 45 minutes in total to 13 present their arguments. Petitioner will go first followed by the 14 patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So the 15 petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time.	ıd
 present their arguments. Petitioner will go first followed by th patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So th petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time. 	SO
 patent owner. There is no motion to amend in this case. So the petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time.)
15 petitioner carries the burden and may reserve rebuttal time.	e
1	e
16 Counsel should not interrupt the other side when	
17 making objections. And if you have objections, you can discu	SS
18 them during your argument but not please don't interrupt the	
19 other side.	
20 When you refer to an exhibit when you are presenting	g
21 your argument, please indicate that in numbers, either the slide	i,
22 number, the exhibit number, the page number, the line number	,
23 whatever you are referring to so that the record is complete	
24 because the transcript will obviously only have what you say.	So
25 please don't just gesture.	

3

1	So now if counsel for petitioner, if you could introduce
2	yourself and those with you, please.
3	MS. ELLISON: Good morning, Your Honor. I'm
4	Eldora Ellison from Sterne, Kessler, Goldstein & Fox. I have
5	with me my backup counsel, Chandrika Vira and Sana Hussain.
6	JUDGE KATZ: Thank you. And for patent owners,
7	please introduce yourself.
8	MR. KAPPOS: Good morning, Your Honors. I'm John
9	Kappos from O'Melveny & Myers, and I represent Senju
10	Pharmaceuticals, Mitsubishi Chemical and Alcon, the licensee
11	under the '319 patent. And I'm joined today by my partner, Filko
12	Prugo and Barry Copeland from the legal department at Alcon.
13	JUDGE KATZ: Thank you. So petitioner, would you
14	like to reserve time?
15	MS. ELLISON: Yes, Your Honor. I would like to
16	reserve ten minutes for rebuttal.
17	JUDGE KATZ: So I will set this at 35 minutes and
18	note that you have ten minutes. Whenever you are ready, I'll start
19	the clock when you let me know.
20	MS. ELLISON: May it please the Board, I would also
21	like to split the argument with my backup counsel, Chandrika
22	Vira, if you don't mind. I will address the prima facie case and
23	I'll spend about 25 minutes doing that, and she will address
24	objective indicia and spend about ten minutes. And that will
25	leave us ten minutes for rebuttal.

DOCKET

1	So we, of course, have prepared demonstratives. If you
2	would like paper copies, we have those available to you and can
3	give them to you if you would like to have paper copies.
4	JUDGE KATZ: Sure.
5	MS. ELLISON: Yes, if we may approach the bench.
6	So we have provided on slide 2 an overview of our arguments
7	which is that all of the challenged claims would have been
8	unpatentable for obviousness over a combination the '848 patent,
9	which is also known as Kimura, and the Ding reference. Ding
10	discloses emulsions and the '848 patent discloses suspensions of
11	difluprednate, difluprednate being the active ingredient here. We
12	also will address Senju's objective indicia arguments.
13	Turning to slide 3, we've set forth the independent
14	claims. There are two of them, claims 1 and 18. Our opponents
15	have not made any separate arguments regarding patentability of
16	any of the dependent claims. So all of the dependent claims fall
17	together with these independent claims. Claim 18 is more narrow
18	in scope than claim 1 and thus falls within claim 1. So most of
19	the arguments have been directed specifically to claim 18 since
20	that will of course also address claim 1.
21	What you see is a claim composition. And it's
22	important to remember that the claims are directed to
23	compositions because many of our opponent's arguments pretty
24	much act like these claims are method claims, but they are not.
25	They are composition claims directed to a difluprednate emulsion

DOCKET A L A R M



Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.