UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
_____

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD
_____

HANGZHOU LANGHONG TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD. and
LANGHONG TECHNOLOGY USA INC.,

Petitioner

v.

INVUE SECURITY PRODUCTS, INC.,
Patent Owner.
_____

Case IPR2015-01263
Patent 8,896,447 B2
_____

Before BRIAN J. McNAMARA, NEIL T. POWELL,
and DANIEL J. GALLIGAN, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

McNAMARA, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DISMISSAL OF PETITION
Conduct of the Proceeding
37 C.F.R. § 42.5

Hangzhou Langhong Technology Co., Ltd. and Langhong Technology USA Inc. (collectively, "Petitioner") submitted a Petition for *inter partes* review of U.S. Patent No. 8,896,447 B2 (the "Subject Patent"). During a telephone conference on July 8, 2015, we advised counsel that, although the Petition submitted on May 22, 2015 was accompanied by eight Exhibits, because Petitioner did not include as an exhibit a copy of the Subject Patent, a corrected Petition was required to obtain a filing date. A petition for *inter partes* review must include the exhibit number of the supporting evidence relied upon to support the challenge, including identifying specific portions of the evidence that support the challenge. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(5). The petition must also identify the challenged claim and state how the challenged claim is to be construed. 37 C.F.R. § 42.104(2),(3). Thus, a copy of the Subject Patent is required for the Petition to receive a filing date.

On July 16, 2015, we ordered that a corrected Petition with a copy of the Subject Patent be submitted within five days, or the Petition would be denied a filing date and dismissed.

A corrected Petition has not been submitted. Therefore, the Petition has not been accorded a filing date and the Petition is dismissed.

In consideration of the above, it is

ORDERED that the Petition is DISMISSED.

PETITIONER

Dion Bregman
dbregman@morganlewis.com

PATENT OWNER

Trent Kirk
trentkirk@invuesecurity.com

Bruce Rose
bruce.rose@alston.com