

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

THE BOEING COMPANY
Petitioner

v.

SEYMOUR LEVINE
Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-01341
U.S. Patent No. RE39,618

PETITIONER'S REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. § 42.70(a) and the Scheduling Order (Paper 9),
Petitioner submits this Request for Oral Argument on all instituted grounds of
unpatentability of U.S. Patent No. RE39,618 and related issues. Petitioner
specifically requests, without waiving consideration of any issue not listed below,
to address the following issues at Oral Argument:

1. Whether claims 4, 5, 14, and 16 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. §
103(a) over Ward¹ in view of ARINC 624-1².
2. Whether claims 8, 9, and 10 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. §
103(a) over Ward in view of ARINC 624-1 in further view of
Monroe³.
3. Whether claims 4, 5, 14, and 16 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. §
103(a) over Dyson⁴ in view of Chetail⁵.

¹ Ex. 1015.

² Ex. 1014.

³ Ex. 1017.

⁴ Ex. 1019.

⁵ Ex. 1018.

4. Whether claims 8, 9, and 10 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Dyson in view of Chetail in further view of Monroe.
5. Whether claims 4, 5, 14, and 16 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Dowling⁶ in view of ARINC 624-1.
6. Whether claims 8, 9, and 10 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Dowling in view of ARINC 624-1 in further view of Monroe.
7. Whether claims 8, 9, and 10 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Ward in view of ARINC 624-1, ARINC 702-6⁷, and FAA, Increased FDR Parameters⁸.
8. Whether claims 8, 9, and 10 are obvious under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Ward in view of ARINC 624-1, FAA, Increased FDR Parameters, and Farmakis⁹.

⁶ Ex. 1013.

⁷ Ex. 1016.

⁸ Ex. 1011.

⁹ Ex. 1021.

9. Petitioner's Motion to Exclude, which is being filed contemporaneously with this Request for Oral Argument.
 10. Any motions to exclude evidence filed by Patent Owner.
 11. Rebuttal to Patent Owner's presentation on all matters.
 12. Any additional issues on which the Board seeks clarification.
- The Board has already scheduled a Hearing for September 14, 2016 (Paper 24).

Petitioner respectfully requests one hour per side of oral argument time with the right to reserve 20 minutes of its time for rebuttal. One hour is necessary and reasonable given the number of grounds upon which the Board instituted trial, the number of references at issue, and the other issues raised in the parties' papers. Petitioner also respectfully requests the ability to use audio visual equipment to display demonstrative exhibits, including the use of a projector and screen that connects to a laptop computer.

Dated: August 22, 2016

Respectfully submitted,

/Ryan J. McBrayer/
Ryan J. McBrayer (Reg. No. 54,299)
Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101

Attorneys for The Boeing Company

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing REQUEST FOR ORAL ARGUMENT was served in its entirety on August 22, 2016, upon the following counsel for Patent Owner via e-mail, pursuant to the parties' agreement concerning service:

Bruce R. Zisser
Amar L. Thakur
Quinn Emanuel Urquhart & Sullivan, LLP
865 South Figueroa Street, 10th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90017
brucezisser@quinnemanuel.com
amarthakur@quinnemanuel.com
Attorneys for Patent Owner Seymour Levine

Dated: August 22, 2016

/Ryan J. McBrayer/
Ryan J. McBrayer (Reg. No. 54,299)
Perkins Coie LLP
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 4900
Seattle, WA 98101

Attorneys for The Boeing Company