UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

_____

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

_____

UniKey Technologies, Inc.,
Petitioner

v.

ASSA ABLOY AB,
Owner of U.S. Patent No. 7,706,778 to Lowe

_____

Case IPR2015-01440

Patent No. 7,706,778

_____

**PATENT OWNER'S MOTION FOR ADMISSION PRO HAC VICE OF
RONALD P. OINES PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. §42.10**

I.   RELIEF REQUESTED

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.10 and the Board's "Order -- Authorizing Motion for Pro Hac Vice Admission" in Case IPR2013-00639, Patent Owner ASSA ABLOY AB requests that the Board admit Ronald P. Oines *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

II.   STATEMENT OF FACTS

Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §42.10(c),

> The Board may recognize counsel pro hac vice during a proceeding upon a showing of good cause, subject to the condition that lead counsel be a registered practitioner and to any other conditions as the Board may impose. For example, where the lead counsel is a registered practitioner, a motion to appear pro hac vice by counsel who is not a registered practitioner may be granted upon showing that counsel is an experienced litigating attorney and has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding

37 C.F.R. §41.10(c).

Ronald P. Oines is lead trial counsel in an ongoing district court patent infringement case (*ASSA ABLOY AB v. Spectrum Brands, Inc.*, Civil Action No. 14-cv-00947-CJC) involving the patent at issue in this proceeding. Mr. Oines has familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this proceeding. Mr. Oines has been actively involved in all aspects of the pending district court case, including the issue of validity of the patents-in-suit. (Id. ¶¶ 7-8.) Patent Owner therefore submits

that there is good cause to allow Mr. Oines to represent the Patent Owner pro hac

vice in this proceeding. The facts, supported by the attached Declaration of Ronald

P. Oines in Support of Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* ("Oines Decl."),

establish good cause to admit Mr. Oines *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

1.   Lead counsel Benjamin Deming is a registered practitioner and is

experienced in inter *partes* proceedings in the USPTO.

2.   Mr. Oines is an experienced litigating attorney. Mr. Oines has been a

litigating attorney for more than 25 years. (Oines Decl. ¶ 1.) Mr. Oines has been

litigating patent cases for at least 20 of those years. (Id. ¶ 2.) Mr. Oines is a

member in good standing of the California State Bar and the Texas State Bar, with

no suspensions or disbarments from practice, nor any application for admission to

practice denied, nor any sanctions or contempt citations, and is admitted to practice

in the United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit and Ninth Circuit, and

the United States District Courts for the Central, Northern, Southern and Eastern

Districts of California, the Eastern District of Texas and the Eastern District of

Michigan. (Id. ¶¶ 3-6.)

3.   Mr. Oines has familiarity with the subject matter at issue in this

proceeding based on his work as lead counsel in the pending district court case

ASSA ABLOY AB v. Spectrum Brands, Inc., Civil Action No. 14-cv-00947-CJC,

filed on June 19, 2014 in the U.S. District Court for the Central District of

California, which involves the same patent at issue in this proceeding. (Id. ¶ 7.) Mr. Oines has been actively involved in all aspects of the pending district court case, including the issue of validity of the patents-in-suit. (Id. ¶¶ 7-8.)

4.   Mr. Oines has read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules for Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of 37 C.F.R, and he agrees to be subject to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§11.101 et seq., and to disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. §11.19(a). (Id. ¶¶ 9-10.) Aside from the companion proceeding Case IPR2015-01441, Mr. Oines has not applied to appear *pro hac vice* in any other proceedings before the Office in the last three (3) years. (Id. ¶ 11.)

III.   ANALYSIS

The facts contained in the Statement of Facts above, and contained in the Oines Declaration, establish that there is good cause to admit Mr. Oines *pro hac vice* in this proceeding under 37 C.F.R. §42.10. Lead counsel is a registered practitioner, Mr. Oines is an experienced litigating attorney, and Mr. Oines has an established familiarity with the subject matter at issue in the proceeding.

//

//

//

//

IV.   CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Patent Owner ASSA ABLOY AB respectfully

requests that the Board admit Ronald P. Oines *pro hac vice* in this proceeding.

Respectfully Submitted,

RUTAN & TUCKER LLP

BY: /Benjamin Deming/

Benjamin Deming
RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
611 Anton Blvd.
Suite 1400
Costa Mesa, CA 92626
T: 714-641-5100
F: 714-546-9035
bdeming@rutan.com

DOCKET ALARM

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts

Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research

With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips

Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

fastcase®
Smarter legal research.