

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GOOGLE INC., DROPCAM, INC., AND NEST LABS, INC.

Petitioners

v.

E.DIGITAL CORPORATION

Patent Owner

Case No. IPR2015-01475

U.S. Patent No. 8,315,619

**MOTION FOR *PRO HAC VICE* ADMISSION
OF
ANTON NASRI HANDAL
UNDER 37 C.F.R. §42.10**

The Patent Owner respectfully requests that the Board recognize Anton Nasri Handal as counsel *pro hac vice* during this proceeding. Notwithstanding the filing of this Motion, the Patent Owner reserves all objections related to the timeliness of service or other filing defects related to the Petition.

Mr. Handal has served as litigation counsel for the Patent Owner e.Digital Corporation for over three years. He is an experienced litigation attorney and has been and is actively involved in patent infringement lawsuits involving the patent-at-issue. His role would be that of back-up counsel together with the Patent Owner's counsel of record, the undersigned, Robert E. Purcell. Mr. Handal is familiar with the relevant technology, the products-at-issue, and the patent-at-issue and has obtained first-hand knowledge of related proceedings involving the patent-at-issue as lead litigation counsel for the patent owner for over a year, including a deep familiarity with the file history, claim construction issues, and validity issues.

Therefore, Patent Owner respectfully submits that there is good cause for the Board to recognize Mr. Handal as counsel *pro hac vice* during this proceeding.

This Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission is accompanied by the attached Declaration of Anton Nasri Handal.

Dated: July 14, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

/Robert E. Purcell/
Robert E. Purcell

U.S. Patent No. 8,315,619 Petition for *Inter Partes* Review

Registration No. 28,532
Counsel for Patent Owner
THE LAW OFFICE OF ROBERT E.
PURCELL, PLLC
211 West Jefferson Street
Suite 24
Syracuse, New York 13202
(315) 671-0710

**DECLARATION OF ANTON NASRI HANDAL IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR PRO HAC VICE ADMISSION**

I, Anton Nasri Handal, upon oath, hereby attest to the following:

1. I am a member of good standing of the State Bar of California as well as the following federal courts:
 - a) U.S. District Court for the Southern District of California (June 15, 1984);
 - b) U.S. District Court for the Northern District of California (October 19, 2005);
 - c) U.S. District Court for the Central District of California (October 12, 1989);
 - d) United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit (November 2, 2007); and,
 - e) United States Supreme Court (December 1, 2008).
2. I have not been suspended or disbarred from practice before any court or administrative body.
3. I have never had an application for admission to practice before any court or administrative body denied.
4. No sanction or contempt citation has been imposed against me by any court or administrative body.

5. I have read and will comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials set forth in part 42 of the C.P.R.;

6. I will be subject to the USPTO Code of Professional Responsibility set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 10.20, *et seq.* and disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a).

7. I have been granted admission to appear *pro hac vice* on behalf of e.Digital Corporation before the Office in two other, recent proceedings involving different patents in the last three (3) years, Case No. IPR2015-00519 and IPR2015-01120.

8. I am an experienced litigation attorney, both as lead counsel and as an active participant in patent infringement lawsuits as trial counsel. I have been the patent litigation counsel for the patent owner e.Digital Corporation for approximately 3 years and am familiar with the technology, file history, patent claims, and products relevant to the Petition in this *inter partes* review proceeding and have kept abreast of related proceedings involving the patent-at-issue, U.S. Patent No. 8,315,619, for over one year. I intend to serve as back-up counsel in this *inter partes* review along with Robert E. Purcell.

Explore Litigation Insights

Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

Real-Time Litigation Alerts



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time alerts** and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

Advanced Docket Research



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

Analytics At Your Fingertips



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

LAW FIRMS

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.