

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

LG ELECTRONICS, INC., and  
LG ELECTRONICS U.S.A., INC.,  
Petitioner,

v.

TOSHIBA SAMSUNG STORAGE TECHNOLOGY KOREA  
CORPORATION,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2015-01642 (Patent 6,721,110 B2)  
Case IPR2015-01644 (Patent 6,785,065 B1)  
Case IPR2015-01653 (Patent RE43,106 E)  
Case IPR2015-01659 (Patent 7,367,037 B2)<sup>1</sup>

---

Before KALYAN K. DESHPANDE, MICHAEL R. ZECHER, and  
TREVOR M. JEFFERSON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ZECHER, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER  
Conduct of the Proceeding  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.5*

---

<sup>1</sup> This Order addresses an issue that is identical in all four cases. We, therefore, exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each of the four cases. The parties, however, are not authorized to use this style heading in any subsequent papers.

IPR2015-01642 (Patent 6,721,110 B2)  
IPR2015-01644 (Patent 6,785,065 B1)  
IPR2015-01653 (Patent RE43,106 E)  
IPR2015-01659 (Patent 7,367,037 B2)

## I. DISCUSSION

On August 18, 2016, we received an email correspondence from Petitioner, LG Electronics, Incorporated and LG Electronics, U.S.A, Incorporated (collectively, “LG”), requesting a conference call to seek authorization to file a motion to reschedule the oral argument in each of the proceedings identified above. According to LG, the oral argument for these proceedings is scheduled on October 4, 2016, during the religious holiday of Rosh Hashanah. LG represented that, because Rosh Hashanah does not end until nightfall on October 4, 2016, back-up counsel for LG will not be able to participate in the oral argument. LG further represented that Patent Owner, Toshiba Samsung Storage Technology Korea Corporation (“Samsung”), does not oppose its request to reschedule the oral argument. After meeting and conferring, the parties proposed the following two alternative dates for the oral argument: (1) October 6, 2016 and (2) October 13, 2016.

Upon receiving the email correspondence from LG, we checked with the Board’s Administrative Staff to determine if there were any hearing rooms available on the two alternative dates proposed by the parties. We were notified that the only hearing room available for use was in the Alexandria campus on October 6, 2016. We then notified the parties via email that, based on the particular circumstances presented here, LG’s request to reschedule the oral argument in these cases is *granted*, and the date of the oral argument would be reset to October 6, 2016.

IPR2015-01642 (Patent 6,721,110 B2)  
IPR2015-01644 (Patent 6,785,065 B1)  
IPR2015-01653 (Patent RE43,106 E)  
IPR2015-01659 (Patent 7,367,037 B2)

## II. ORDER

Accordingly, it is

ORDERED that LG's request to reschedule the oral argument in these cases is *granted*; and

FURTHER ORDERED that DUE DATE 7, the date of the oral argument for these proceedings, is reset to October 6, 2016.

IPR2015-01642 (Patent 6,721,110 B2)  
IPR2015-01644 (Patent 6,785,065 B1)  
IPR2015-01653 (Patent RE43,106 E)  
IPR2015-01659 (Patent 7,367,037 B2)

For PETITIONER:

Jason M. Shapiro  
Brian A. Tollefson  
Michael V. Battaglia  
Rothwell, Figg, Ernst & Manbeck, P.C.  
[jshapiro@rothwellfigg.com](mailto:jshapiro@rothwellfigg.com)  
[btollefson@rothwellfigg.com](mailto:btollefson@rothwellfigg.com)  
[mbattaglia@rothwellfigg.com](mailto:mbattaglia@rothwellfigg.com)

For PATENT OWNER:

Joseph A. Rhoa  
Jonathan A. Roberts  
Nixon & Vanderhye P.C.  
[jar@nixonvan.com](mailto:jar@nixonvan.com)  
[jr@nixonvan.com](mailto:jr@nixonvan.com)