## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

\_\_\_\_\_

COALITION FOR AFFORDABLE DRUGS VII, LLC, Petitioner,

V.

POZEN INC., Patent Owner.

\_\_\_\_\_

Case IPR2015-01718 Patent 8,945,621

\_\_\_\_\_

PATENT OWNER POZEN INC.'S PATENT OWNER RESPONSE PURSUANT TO 37 C.F.R. § 42.120



#### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

I.	INTRODUCTION			
	A.	Background	1	
	B.	Relief Requested	1	
	C.	Related Proceedings	1	
	D.	Summary of Argument	2	
II.	REL	EVANT LAW	6	
III.	RELEVANT TECHNICAL BACKGROUND			
	A.	NSAID-Induced Gastric Ulcers	9	
	B.	NSAIDs Taken Concurrently With LDA Pose an Increased Risk of Gastric Ulcer Compared to NSAIDs Taken Alone	13	
	C.	The Inventors of the '621 Patent Surprisingly Found that a Unit Dose Form of Immediate-Release Esomeprazole and Delayed-Release Naproxen is More Effective at Reducing NSAID-Associated Ulcers in Patients Taking LDA than in Patients Not Taking LDA	15	
	D.	The Prosecution History of the '621 Patent	18	
IV.	LEV	EL OF SKILL IN THE ART	21	
V.	INTERPRETATIONS OF THE '621 PATENT CLAIMS			
	A.	"Low Dose Aspirin" and "LDA"	22	
	B.	"Unit Dosage Form"	22	
VI.	THE SCOPE AND CONTENT OF THE CITED ART			
	A.	Plachetka	23	
	B.	Graham	25	
	C.	Goldstein	26	
VII.	ARGUMENT			
	A.	The "Wherein" Clause is Entitled to Patentable Weight Because it was Added by the Examiner as a Condition for Allowance	29	
	B.	Claims 1-16 of the '621 Patent are Not Obvious Over Plachetka in View of Graham and Goldstein	33	



		1.	The Data from Graham and Goldstein Does Not Support a Conclusion as to the Effect of Taking Concomitant LDA with an Acid Inhibitor and an NSAID	36	
		2.	Dr. Shargel Uses Flawed Data from Goldstein that Render His Calculations Meaningless	40	
		3.	A POSA Would Not Have Expected that Administering a Unit Dose Form of Immediate-Release Esomeprazole and Delayed-Release Naproxen is More Effective at Reducing NSAID-Associated Ulcers in Patients Taking LDA than in Patients Not Taking LDA	43	
	C.	Claims 1-16 of the '621 Patent are Not Obvious Over Plachetka			
		1.	Plachetka Does Not Inherently Teach that "Administration of the Unit Dose Form is More Effective at Reducing the Incidence of the NSAID-Associated Ulcers in Patients Taking LDA than in Patients Not Taking LDA who are Administered the Unit Dose Form"	47	
		2.	A POSA Would Have Had No Expectation of Success in Administering a Unit Dose Form of Immediate-Release Esomeprazole and Delayed-Release Naproxen that is More Effective at Reducing NSAID-Associated Ulcers in Patients Taking LDA than in Patients Not Taking LDA	50	
	D.	Weig	if the Final "Wherein" Clause is Not Afforded Patentable ht, the Challenged Claims are Not Obvious Due to		
		Surpr	rising and Unexpected Results	51	
VIII.	CON	CLUS	ION	55	



### **TABLE OF AUTHORITIES**

	Page(s)
Cases	
Allergan, Inc. v. Sandoz Inc., 726 F.3d 1286 (Fed. Cir. 2013)	49
Biosig Instruments, Inc. v. Nautilus, Inc., 783 F.3d 1374 (Fed. Cir. 2015)	31
Biosig Instruments, Inc. v. Nautilus, Inc., 715 F.3d 891 (Fed. Cir. 2013), vacated and remanded on other grounds, 134, S. Ct. 2120 (2014)	32
Crocs, Inc. v. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 598 F.3d 1294 (Fed. Cir. 2010)	52
In re Cyclobenzaprine Hydrochloride Extended-Release Capsule Patent Litig., 676 F.3d 1063 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	6
Eltech Sys. Corp. v. PPG Indus., Inc., 710 F. Supp. 622 (W.D. La. 1988) aff'd, 903 F.2d 805 (Fed. Cir. 1990)	32
Graham v. John Deere Co. of Kansas City, 383 U.S. 1 (1966)	7
Hoffer v. Microsoft Corp., 405 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2005) (per curiam)	31
<i>In re Huai-Hung Kao</i> , 639 F.3d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	52
Intelligent Bio-Sys., Inc. v. Illumina Cambridge Ltd., No. 2015-1693, 2016 WL 2620512 (Fed. Cir. May 9, 2016)	7
KSR Int'l Co. v. Teleflex Inc., 550 U.S. 398 (2007)	6



Minton v. Nat'l Ass'n of Sec. Dealers, Inc., 336 F.3d 1373 (Fed. Cir. 2003)	30, 31
Nike, Inc. v. Adidas AG, 812 F.3d 1326 (Fed. Cir. 2016)	7
Numatics, Inc. v. Balluff, Inc., 66 F. Supp. 3d 934, 941 (E.D. Mich. 2014)	43
In re Oelrich, 666 F.2d 578 (C.C.P.A. 1981)	8, 48, 49
Ortho-McNeil Pharm., Inc. v. Mylan Labs., Inc., 520 F.3d 1358 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	52
Panduit Corp. v. Dennison Mfg. Co., 810 F.2d 1561 (Fed. Cir. 1987)	7
PAR Pharm., Inc. v. TWI Pharm., Inc., 773 F.3d 1186 (Fed. Cir. 2014)	6, 8, 48, 49
Research Found. of State Univ. of New York v. Mylan Pharm. Inc., 723 F. Supp. 2d 638 (D. Del. 2010)	31
Ricoh Co., Ltd. v. Quanta Computer Inc., 550 F.3d 1325 (Fed. Cir. 2008)	8
Stratoflex, Inc. v. Aeroquip Corp., 713 F.2d 1530 (Fed. Cir. 1983)	52
Texas Instruments Inc. v. U.S. Int'l Trade Comm'n, 988 F.2d 1165 (Fed. Cir. 1993)	
Thermalloy Inc. v. Aavid Eng'g, Inc., 935 F. Supp. 55 (D.N.H. 1996), amended by, 935 F. Supp. 63 (D.N.H. 1996), aff'd, 121 F.3d 691 (Fed. Cir. 1997)	32
Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling, Inc. v. Maersk Drilling USA, Inc., 699 F.3d 1340 (Fed. Cir. 2012)	7
Unigene Labs, Inc. v. Apotex, Inc., 655 F 3d 1352 (Fed. Cir. 2011)	7



# DOCKET

# Explore Litigation Insights



Docket Alarm provides insights to develop a more informed litigation strategy and the peace of mind of knowing you're on top of things.

# **Real-Time Litigation Alerts**



Keep your litigation team up-to-date with **real-time** alerts and advanced team management tools built for the enterprise, all while greatly reducing PACER spend.

Our comprehensive service means we can handle Federal, State, and Administrative courts across the country.

## **Advanced Docket Research**



With over 230 million records, Docket Alarm's cloud-native docket research platform finds what other services can't. Coverage includes Federal, State, plus PTAB, TTAB, ITC and NLRB decisions, all in one place.

Identify arguments that have been successful in the past with full text, pinpoint searching. Link to case law cited within any court document via Fastcase.

### **Analytics At Your Fingertips**



Learn what happened the last time a particular judge, opposing counsel or company faced cases similar to yours.

Advanced out-of-the-box PTAB and TTAB analytics are always at your fingertips.

#### API

Docket Alarm offers a powerful API (application programming interface) to developers that want to integrate case filings into their apps.

#### **LAW FIRMS**

Build custom dashboards for your attorneys and clients with live data direct from the court.

Automate many repetitive legal tasks like conflict checks, document management, and marketing.

#### **FINANCIAL INSTITUTIONS**

Litigation and bankruptcy checks for companies and debtors.

#### **E-DISCOVERY AND LEGAL VENDORS**

Sync your system to PACER to automate legal marketing.

