

Trials@uspto.gov
571-272-7822

IPR2015-01749, Paper 13
IPR2015-01755, Paper 13
IPR2015-01795, Paper 13
Entered: May 2, 2016

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

GLOBUS MEDICAL, INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

FLEXUSPINE, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01749 (Patent 7,204,853 B2)
Case IPR2015-01755 (Patent 7,909,869 B2)
Case IPR2015-01795 (Patent 8,647,386 B2)

Before WILLIAM V. SAINDON, HYUN J. JUNG, and
TIMOTHY J. GOODSON, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

GOODSON, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

DECISION
Granting Motions for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission
37 C.F.R. § 42.10

IPR2015-01749 (Patent 7,204,853 B2)
IPR2015-01755 (Patent 7,909,869 B2)
IPR2015-01795 (Patent 8,647,386 B2)

In each of the proceedings identified above, Patent Owner has filed a Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Jonathan T. Suder and a declaration in support of that motion. Paper 6; Ex. 2001.¹ Patent Owner has also filed a Motion for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of Mark D. Strachan and a declaration in support of that motion. Paper 7; Ex. 2002. Petitioner has not filed an opposition to either motion. After reviewing Patent Owner's motions and the supporting declarations, Patent Owner's motions are *granted*.

Accordingly, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Patent Owner's motions for *pro hac vice* admission are granted, and Messrs. Suder and Strachan are authorized to represent Patent Owner as back-up counsel in IPR2015-01749, IPR2015-01755, and IPR2015-01795;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner shall continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Messrs. Suder and Strachan shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Messrs. Suder and Strachan are subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101 *et seq.*

¹ For expediency, this Decision cites only to the papers and exhibits filed in Case IPR2015-01749. Similar papers were also filed in the other two proceedings.

IPR2015-01749 (Patent 7,204,853 B2)
IPR2015-01755 (Patent 7,909,869 B2)
IPR2015-01795 (Patent 8,647,386 B2)

PETITIONER:

George D. Moustakas
gdmoustakas@hdp.com

David P. Utykanski
davidu@hdp.com

PATENT OWNER:

Brett M. Pinkus
pinkus@fsclaw.com