

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

---

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

---

RPX CORPORATION, HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES USA, INC.,  
HUAWEI TECHNOLOGIES CO., LTD., HUAWEI DEVICE CO. LTD.,  
HUAWEI DEVICE (DONGGUAN) CO., LTD., and  
HUAWEI DEVICE USA, INC.,  
Petitioners,

v.

RED ANVIL, LLC,  
Patent Owner.

---

Case IPR2016-00003  
Patent No. 5,680,223

---

Before TRENTON A. WARD, LYNNE E. PETTIGREW, and  
CHRISTA P. ZADO, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ZADO, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER  
Patent Owner's Motion for *Pro Hac Vice* Admission of  
Mr. Brandon LaPray  
*37 C.F.R. § 42.10*

IPR2016-00003  
Patent 5,680,223

Patent Owner, Red Anvil LLC, filed a motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Brandon LaPray. Paper 13. Patent Owner also filed a declaration from Mr. LaPray in support of its motion. Ex. 2001. Petitioners, RPX Corp., Huawei Tech. USA, Inc., Huawei Tech. Co., Ltd., Huawei Device (Dongguan) Co. Ltd., and Huawei Device USA, Inc., have not opposed the motion.

Having reviewed the Motion and the declaration of Mr. LaPray, we conclude that Mr. LaPray has sufficient qualifications to represent Patent Owner in this proceeding and that Patent Owner has shown good cause for Mr. LaPray's *pro hac vice* admission. *See Unified Patents, Inc. v. Parallel Iron, LLC*, IPR2013-00639 (PTAB Oct. 15, 2013) (setting forth the requirements for *pro hac vice* admission) (Paper 7). Mr. LaPray will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* in this proceeding as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

#### ORDER

ORDERED that Patent Owner's motion for *pro hac vice* admission of Brandon LaPray is *granted*, and Mr. LaPray is authorized to represent Patent Owner only as back-up counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Patent Owner is to continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in this proceeding;

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. LaPray is to comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42 of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. LaPray is subject to the USPTO's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and the USPTO's Rules of Professional Conduct set forth at 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

IPR2016-00003  
Patent 5,680,223

FOR PETITIONER:

John D. Vandenberg  
Kristen L. Reichenbach  
KLARQUIST SPARKMAN, LLP  
john.vandenberg@klarquist.com  
kristen.reichenbach@klarquist.com

FOR PATENT OWNER:

Matt Brower  
browerlitigation@gmail.com

Brandon LaPray  
Brandon@thetexaslawoffice.com