

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

BEFORE THE PATENT TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

ALARM.COM INC.,
Petitioner,

v.

VIVINT, INC.,
Patent Owner.

Case IPR2015-01965 (Patent 7,884,713 B2)
Case IPR2016-00116 (Patent 6,147,601)
Case IPR2016-00161 (Patent 6,462,654 B1)
Case IPR2016-00173 (Patent 6,535,123 B2)¹

Before MICHAEL R. ZECHER, JAMES B. ARPIN, and
CHARLES J. BOUDREAU, *Administrative Patent Judges*.

ARPIN, *Administrative Patent Judge*.

ORDER

Granting Petitioner's Motions for Admission *Pro Hac Vice* of
Marc J. Khadpe
37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c)

¹ This Order addresses issues pertaining to all four proceedings. Therefore, we exercise our discretion to issue one Order to be filed in each proceedings. The parties are not authorized to use this style heading for any subsequent papers.

IPR2015-01965 (Patent 7,884,713 B2)
IPR2016-00116 (Patent 6,147,601)
IPR2016-00161 (Patent 6,462,654 B1)
IPR2016-00173 (Patent 6,535,123 B2)

Petitioner, Alarm.com, Inc., moves for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Marc J. Khadpe. IPR2015-01965, Paper 26; IPR2016-00116, Paper 23; IPR2016-00161, Paper 27; IPR2016-00173, Paper 23. Petitioner provides Affidavits from Mr. Khadpe in support of its Motions. IPR2015-01965, Ex. 1031; IPR2016-00116, Ex. 1117; IPR2016-00161, Ex. 1117; IPR2016-00173, Ex. 1115. Petitioner also states that it has conferred with Patent Owner, Vivint, Inc., regarding Mr. Khadpe's admission *pro hac vice* and that Petitioner does not oppose Petitioner's Motions. IPR2015-01965, Paper 26, 3; IPR2016-00116, Paper 23, 3; IPR2016-00161, Paper 27, 3; IPR2016-00173, Paper 23, 3.

Based on the facts set forth in the Motions and the accompanying Declarations from Mr. Khadpe, we conclude that Mr. Khadpe has sufficient legal and technical qualifications to represent Petitioner in these cases, that Mr. Khadpe has demonstrated the necessary familiarity with the subject matter of these cases, and that there is a need for Petitioner to have counsel with experience as a litigation attorney in patent matters involved in these cases. Accordingly, Petitioner has established good cause for Mr. Khadpe's *pro hac vice* admission. Mr. Khadpe will be permitted to appear *pro hac vice* in these cases as back-up counsel only. *See* 37 C.F.R. § 42.10(c).

In consideration of the foregoing, it is hereby:

ORDERED that Petitioner's Motions for *pro hac vice* admission of Mr. Marc J. Khadpe are *granted*, and Mr. Khadpe is authorized to represent Petitioner as back-up counsel in these proceedings;

FURTHER ORDERED that Petitioner shall continue to have a registered practitioner as lead counsel in these cases;

IPR2015-01965 (Patent 7,884,713 B2)
IPR2016-00116 (Patent 6,147,601)
IPR2016-00161 (Patent 6,462,654 B1)
IPR2016-00173 (Patent 6,535,123 B2)

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Khadpe shall comply with the Office Patent Trial Practice Guide and the Board's Rules of Practice for Trials, as set forth in Title 37, Part 42, of the Code of Federal Regulations; and

FURTHER ORDERED that Mr. Khadpe is subject to the Office's disciplinary jurisdiction under 37 C.F.R. § 11.19(a), and to the USPTO Rules of Professional Conduct set forth in 37 C.F.R. §§ 11.101–11.901.

For PETITIONER:

William H. Mandir
Brian K. Shelton
SUGHRUE MION PLLC
wmandir@sughrue.com
bshelton@sughrue.com

For PATENT OWNER:

Robert Greene Sterne
Jason D. Eisenberg
Michael V. Messinger
Christian A. Camarce
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX P.L.L.C.
rsterne-PTAB@skgf.com
jasone-PTAB@skgf.com
mikem-PTAB@skgf.com
ccamarce-PTAB@skgf.com